

Minutes

Faculty Senate of East Carolina University  
Sixth Regular Session of 1979/80 Academic Year  
26 February 1980

The Faculty Senate met on Tuesday, February 26, 1980, at 2:10 p.m. in Mendenhall Student Center, Room 221. The meeting was called to order by the Chair, Thomas Johnson. Upon the calling of the roll, the following members were absent: Allen (Biology), Condon (Business), Hamblen (Business), Ayers (Chemistry), Ward (Continuing Education), Levey (Education), Mikkelsen (Education), Hancock (HPERS), Brinn (Medicine). The following ex officio members were absent: Brewer (Chancellor) Maier (Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs). The following alternate was present: Dennison for Cheng (Health Affairs Library). The following members later joined the session: Hancock (HPERS), and Hamblen (Business).

The minutes of the Faculty Senate Meeting of January 29, 1980 were corrected as follows: Page 7, lines 23 and 24 should read: "Faulkner said that from three years' experience on the Career Education Committee..." Page 1, line 5 should read "Condon (Business)" and Page 1, line 7 should read "Snyder for Steele (Home Economics)". Allen (Biology) should be added to those absent. Ryan moved that the report of the Committee for Teaching Effectiveness listing recipients of grants together with the report of the University Research Committee be appended to the minutes. On a voice vote, the motion carried. (See Resolutions Passed 80-7.)

The Chair said several people have questioned the comment of Professor Debnath on page 8, line 9 and the phrase "not research in nature." Adler has agreed to clarify that statement. Adler said there were several reasons why book manuscripts were not funded. First, they were extremely costly; second, they questioned whether books qualified by being refereed; and third, the committee questioned receipt of royalties.

On a voice vote, the minutes of January 29, 1980 were approved as amended.

SPECIAL ORDER OF THE DAY

Agenda Item 3.A: Announcements. The Chair made the following remarks and announcements:

1. In a letter dated February 7, 1980, Chancellor Brewer approved all six resolutions passed by the Faculty Senate in its meeting of January 29, 1980.
2. In a letter dated February 13, 1980, to President William Friday, Chancellor Brewer gave his full support to Faculty Senate Resolution 80-4 concerning the tax sheltered retirement program.
3. The Faculty Senate Office has been relocated in Rawl Annex, Rooms 138-140. Committee meetings may be scheduled in Room 142.
4. The Chair made the following committee appointments: Professor Steven Beinstock (Aerospace Studies) and Professor Wilson Luquire (Library Services) to the Homecoming Steering Committee; Professor Philip Shea (Geography) to the Faculty Welfare Committee to fill the term of Professor Oral Parks (Political Science) which expires in the fall of 1982; Professor Jim Hix (Chemistry) to the University

Computer Committee to fill the term of Professor Edgar Heckel (Chemistry) which expires in the fall of 1982; Professor Herbert Carlton (Political Science) to the General College Committee to fill the term of Professor Jim Hix (Chemistry) which expires in the fall of 1982.

5. The Campus Facilities Planning and Development Committee has elected Professor Vince Bellis (Biology), Professor John Bray (Medicine), and Professor Patricia Rice (Home Economics) to the newly established University Facilities Committee. In its organizational meeting on February 12, 1980, the new committee elected Jim Lowry as Chair and Professor Patricia Rice as Secretary.

6. The Committee for Teaching Effectiveness has announced new guidelines for proposals funded by the committee. They were sent to all members of the faculty. The deadline is April 1, 1980. Also, outstanding teacher voting cards have been made available to all students during pre-registration. The committee will use the information it receives from these voting cards to make its recommendation to the Alumni Association for its Teaching Awards. The card reads as follows: "The purpose of this survey is to identify teacher excellence. Do not confuse this with teacher popularity or subject preference. Please list below the code numbers of at most three deserving teachers whom you have personally had as an instructor during the fall or spring semester of this academic year and assign to each nominated teacher a weight of 10 (highest), 8, or 6, depending upon the intensity of your nomination."

7. Minutes of the Faculty Senate Meetings of North Carolina Central University, November 30, 1979, and Appalachian State University, January 14, 1980, are available in the Faculty Senate Office.

Agenda Item 3.B: The report of the Faculty Assembly was presented by Professor Patricia Daugherty (see attachment). Daugherty noted that all the senators had received a questionnaire from the Faculty Governance Committee of the Faculty Assembly. The information received from all the universities will be useful in faculty governance here and elsewhere. She urged senators to return the questionnaire to her by Friday.

Agenda Item 4: Unfinished Business. There was no unfinished business.

#### REPORT OF COMMITTEES

Agenda Item 5.A: The report of the Ad Hoc Screening Committee for Unit Codes was presented by its Chair, Professor Loren Champion. Champion said the committee had found the English Department code to be in order. The Chair clarified the status of the English code: the Senate had previously approved the code but the Chancellor had not. It is appropriate for the Faculty Senate to receive the code from the Ad Hoc Screening Committee at this time. Schmidt asked if there were instructors in the department with tenure. The reply was yes. Ryan asked if the tenured faculty in the department make one recommendation in personnel matters and the Chairman makes another? Champion said that was correct. Ryan said that the tenured faculty serve as the personnel committee under the provisions of Appendix D but they are not the personnel committee under the English Department Code. Faulkner said the personnel committee recommends and the tenured faculty accept or reject the report of the personnel committee. Ryan agreed that the tenured faculty are the ones who make the final recommendation that goes to the dean along with the chairperson's, so they do serve as a personnel committee under the provisions of Appendix D. The department personnel committee does not serve in

that capacity. This is a difficulty. Had the committee considered this? Ryan said there was a footnote in Appendix D which states that the personnel committee is that group of individuals in a department who makes personnel recommendations. Faulkner said the tenured faculty cannot recommend anybody that the personnel committee has not recommended to them. The tenured faculty cannot initiate recommendations. Ryan said that if in a case where the personnel committee recommended affirmatively, the tenured faculty recommended negatively, and the chairperson recommended affirmatively, would there be disagreeing recommendations coming from the department? Faulkner replied negatively. On a voice vote, the changes to the Department of English Code were approved by the Senate. (See Resolutions Passed 80-8).

Agenda Item 5.B: The report of the Agenda Committee was presented by Professor Robert Woodside. Woodside presented the meeting dates of the Agenda Committee and the Senate for 1980/81. Hamblen asked if any of the meetings occurred during pre-registration week. It was determined that there was one Agenda Committee meeting during a pre-registration week, but no Senate meetings. On a voice vote, the calendar was adopted by the Senate. (See Resolutions Passed 80-9.)

Agenda Item 5.C: The report of the Career Education Committee was presented by its chair, Professor John Childers. Childers said the resolution shown in the agenda from the Career Education Committee was the result of two years of deliberation. Bolt asked Childers to explain the term "highly visible to students." Childers said that now the Counseling Center is located on the third floor of Wright Annex and is inaccessible to handicapped students. Students don't know where the Career Planning and Placement Office is. The committee recommends relocating these services in proximity to one another so students can be referred across the hall rather than across campus. Faulkner spoke in favor of the resolution. Lambeth said that item number (1) infers that there is no central location. He disagreed with Childers. Childers said the committee is trying to enhance the visibility of the agencies by publications and materials. Haritun spoke for the resolution. On a voice vote, the resolution was approved. (See Resolutions Passed 80-10)

Agenda Item 5.D: The report of the Committee on Committees was given by its Chair, Professor Eugene Ryan. Ryan presented three revised charges for the Senate's consideration: Faculty Welfare Committee, University Computer Committee, and Career Education Committee. The Committee on Committees recommends approval of these charges. On a voice vote the charge of the Faculty Welfare Committee was approved (see Resolutions Passed 80-11). The charge of the University Computer Committee was approved on a voice vote (see Resolutions Passed 80-12). The charge of the Career Education Committee was discussed. Ryan said the footnote is an insertion and will be included in the final copy of the charge. Woodside said that the quorum of 4 faculty members creates a situation where the elected faculty could be out-voted by ex officio members. A number of years ago, committees were appointed by the administration. The Senate later was given the right to elect approximately half of the membership. Later, Dr. Jenkins was persuaded that it would be better to let the Senate elect all the membership. It took over three years to achieve this. It is an important accomplishment. Woodside moved that the charge of the Career Education Committee be recommitted to the Committee on Committees for further study. Dough asked for an explanation of the quorum of four. The Chair said that four is a majority of the elected members of the committee. Hough said the membership of the committee is reduced but the charge states that the membership must include representatives from the College of Arts and Sciences and other disciplines, some of whom are faculty even though ex officio. Woodside

replied that it disturbs him to establish a precedent by which ex officio members outnumber elected committee members in a quorum. Grossnickle agreed with Woodside. Ryan said that in the present charge there are 12 faculty and the quorum is 7 faculty. The Committee on Committees recommends a reduction in both numbers. Penry asked if ex officio members vote. The Chair said ex officio members do have a vote unless it is stated otherwise in the charge. By a show of hands, the motion to recommit the charge of the Career Education Committee was approved (23-11). (See Resolutions Passed 80-12.)

Ryan presented the next item, the charge of the Committee for Teaching Effectiveness shown on the agenda for February 26. After the Committee on Committees presented this to the Faculty Senate, questions arose concerning whether the formulation of the charge answered all the problems before the Committee for Teaching Effectiveness. The Committee on Committees considered this at their last meeting and directed Ryan to withdraw this item from the agenda to give the Committee on Committees an opportunity for further study. The Chair said that the Committee on Committees requested withdrawal of the charge after the Agenda Committee had met. This motion to change the agenda requires a 2/3 vote. Sadler asked for an explanation of the questions regarding the charge. Ryan said that one concerned the use of the results of a teaching survey. Sadler asked who had questioned the charge? Ryan said he had, the Committee for Teaching Effectiveness, Vice Chancellor Maier, and the other members of the Committee on Committees. South said he is opposed to the motion. Nischan asked Ryan to be more specific about the reasons for withdrawing the charge. Ryan said the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs wrote a letter raising issues and that was one of the concerns that led the committee to make this decision. Sadler agreed with South. Pories asked Ryan to give him one specific example that might be a problem. Ryan said one problem might be with whom the information is shared. The revised charge states that the information is shared with the instructor who may then make the data available to the unit head and the personnel committee. Another issue concerned the name of the committee--perhaps it more clearly should indicate what the committee does. Another issue was the question of the committee both working out teaching effectiveness programs and making awards. This seemed to call for such an enormous expenditure of time that they didn't have much time for either. Haritum spoke against the motion. Muzzarelli asked, if this document represents the choice of the committee? Ryan said yes. Sadler said there had been a series of hearings and quite a bit of faculty input. Faulkner spoke against the motion to withdraw. On a voice vote, the motion to withdraw from the agenda the proposed charge for the Committee for Teaching Effectiveness, Agenda Item 5.D Attachment, failed.

Agenda Item 5.E: The report of the Faculty Governance Committee was presented by its Chair, Professor Donald Sexauer. The Faculty Governance Committee has reviewed the proposed code amendments from Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Safety, and is presenting them for consideration and approval. Schmidt disagreed that the Discipline Advisory Committee could serve as a committee which initiates personnel recommendations and at the same time function as an appeals committee. Sexauer said the actual unit committee sending through a recommendation to the unit head is the Advisory Council. Advisory Committees at the discipline level are not really personnel committees but they do make recommendations. The final recommendation comes from the Advisory Council. Schmidt asked if that is also the council that serves as the appeals committee? Sexauer said yes. Schmidt said the recommendation process is not separate from the appeals process since those responsible for initiating and contributing to the recommendations are those who finally sit in review on appeals. Schmidt is opposed to that in principle. Pories agreed with Schmidt and said that an appeals process should not go back to the same

committee. Sexauer said the Faculty Governance Committee is presenting only a very subtle change in procedure. This code has already been approved by the Faculty Senate and by the Chancellor. Pories said nonetheless he believes Schmidt's point is very important. Dough asked if this situation would be covered by Robert's Rules of Order. The parliamentarian replied negatively. The Chair said he would relinquish the chair to speak to the issue. Hursey assumed the chair. Johnson said the question raised is whether or not the same committee, in this case the personnel committee, actually reviews or hears an appeal of a decision it has previously made. The Code does call for the personnel committee to review its actions. The procedure as outlined for change, in the opinion of the department, would be more equitable since it would prevent any recommendation going beyond a particular level before the opportunity for review. The existing code allows for a personnel recommendation to go beyond the discipline before review. The amendment to the Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Safety Code was approved by voice vote (see Resolutions Passed 80-14). The Chair said that should the Senate believe that there is a problem with grievance procedures, it might be addressed in the future. Hursey said that there is a problem with grievance procedures throughout the entire University of North Carolina. Pories said the Senate should not leave the issue until at least appointing an ad hoc committee to investigate. The parliamentarian said this should be new business and would take a two-thirds vote to place it on the agenda. The matter was deferred to the end of the session.

Agenda Item 5.F: The report of the University Curriculum Committee was presented by its chair, Professor William Grossnickle. Grossnickle asked the Senate to approve changes in the Parks, Recreation and Conservation major and minor included in the University Curriculum Committee minutes of January 17, 1980. Ryan said this program restricts the possibility of a minor. Did the University Curriculum Committee consider this? Grossnickle said they did consider it, but many professional degrees do not require minors. This major allows 7 hours of free electives. There are some degree programs sans free electives. The proposed changes to the Parks, Recreation and Conservation major and minor were adopted by the Senate on a voice vote. (See Resolutions Passed 80-15).

#### NEW BUSINESS

Agenda Item 6.A: The resolution on student harassment of faculty was presented by Professor Janice Faulkner. Faulkner read the resolution as presented in the agenda. She said she is not the author of this resolution but was the sponsor of it. There has been a dramatic increase in the number of incidents in which students have become physically, verbally, or otherwise abusive to faculty members in her department. The English Department has a large number of women and graduate students who do not defend themselves effectively or successfully arbitrate problems with their peers. An incident occurred recently in which the faculty member, about 5 feet tall and weighing 100 lbs., was so threatened, so intimidated that she tried to trace a grievance procedure for reporting this incident and defending herself. She discovered that there is no such grievance procedure. She also discovered that students have well defined grievance procedures for lodging complaints against faculty. The university-wide emphasis on literacy caused our department to implement a grammar exit exam which is administered to all freshmen before they complete the first course in the sequence. Many of the students, being young and new, do not know how to handle their frustrations when they do not succeed in passing. They try to negotiate. Faculty then continually deal with frustrated students who do not have sufficient maturity to understand their situation. Faulkner presented the resolution on the basis of 31 signatures from the English department. Price

disagreed with the last phrase, "formally lodging record of such instances and complaints in the student records," there should be proper hearings to see whether the complaints are justified or not. Meyer said he was not aware that there was such a serious problem, and if so it certainly needs to be investigated. Perhaps the honor board in the student judicial system might be an appropriate place for something like this. A task force is looking at the disciplinary system. He agreed with Price. Students have the right of access to their records and may contest what is there. He suggested eliminating the words following "student" in the third paragraph. Adler questioned Vice Chancellor Meyer about the procedure to follow when a class is being disrupted by a student. Meyer said in one case this year a student was removed from class. Mr. Mallory would take complaints. Adler asked what should be done in a lecture class where one student is being so disruptive that the other students cannot hear the lecture? Meyer said Security could be called if the student were that disruptive. Hursey moved an amendment, a period after the word "students" in the third paragraph and strike the remainder. The amendment was adopted on a voice vote. Adler moved that the resolution, if passed, be referred to the Faculty Welfare Committee. Ferrell said the Faculty Affairs Committee is more able to handle grievance procedures than is the Faculty Welfare Committee. Adler withdrew his amendment. Ferrell moved to refer the resolution to the Faculty Affairs Committee should it be adopted. The amendment passed on a voice vote. Sadler said a time should be specified, and moved that the Faculty Affairs Committee report not later than the September 1980 meeting of the Senate. The amendment was adopted by voice vote. Woodside and Nischan supported the resolution. The resolution as amended was approved by a voice vote. (See Resolutions Passed 80-16.)

Agenda Item 6.B: Pories moved that the Faculty Governance Committee review unit code appeals procedures and report at the next meeting. The Chair said that before the Senate could accept that motion it must vote on it as an item of new business. The Senate approved the discussion of the new item. The motion was then on the floor. Ferrell said the Faculty Governance Committee would have to review 25 or 30 codes within a month. Pories said the deadline of one month did not have to be a final report, but only a preliminary report. The Chair restated the motion: The Faculty Governance Committee shall study the problem in unit codes where personnel committees hear appeals on their previous action. A preliminary report shall be given at the meeting of March 24, 1980. The motion was approved on a voice vote (see Resolutions Passed 80-17).

There being no further business, the sixth session of the Faculty Senate in its 15th year adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

Rodney Schmidt  
Secretary of the Faculty

Patsey Woolard  
Faculty Senate Office Secretary

Attachment - Agenda Item 3.B - Faculty Assembly Report

The Thirty-Second Meeting of the Faculty Assembly was held February 15, 1980 in the General Administration Building at Chapel Hill. East Carolina University delegates Eugene Ryan, Thomas Johnson, Robert Hursey, and Patricia Daugherty were present.

President William Friday greeted the Assembly and introduced members of the General Administration who reported on resolutions approved by the Assembly at its meeting on November 30 and on issues expected to be considered by the North Carolina General Assembly when it reconvenes in May.

Vice President Raymond Dawson reported on fringe benefit issues being considered by the Advisory Committee of the Board of Trustees of the Teachers and State Employees Retirement System. These include dental insurance, a health maintenance plan, a proposed cost-of-living increase for retired members, and other changes in the retirement system. The all-University Committee on Faculty Welfare, which is advisory to President Friday, voted against a proposed plan for tax-sheltering social security contributions.

Vice President Felix Joyner commented on two resolutions passed by the Faculty Assembly that had been considered by the Budget and Finance Committee of the Board of Governors. The Committee concluded that the information presented concerning the State Contract Purchasing System was not sufficient to indicate that a study is necessary. Vice President Joyner will receive additional comments about problems encountered. The Committee will consider a resolution relative to out-of-state tuition reciprocity agreements in the future.

President Friday reported that a 10% increase in funds for faculty salaries is being requested. Although some have asked that longevity increases be requested from the General Assembly, the University believes that a lump-sum policy is best.

The University continues to be concerned about increased state funding sought by private institutions, and President Friday suggested that lack of accountability is an aspect that has not received the attention it deserves.

In the evening session, the Budget and Faculty Welfare Committees presented, and the Assembly approved, a resolution calling for study of a tax-sheltered option for retirement contributions. A resolution from the Budget Committee recommending tuition waivers for dependents of faculty was not approved. A resolution from the Governance Committee dealing with service of legislators on boards of trustees of institutions of higher education was recommitted.

A proposal from the East Carolina University delegation that changes in the retirement system permitting faculty near retirement to pay into the retirement fund for salary not earned in summer school or part-time teaching was considered by the Faculty Development Committee but no action was taken. This proposal will be presented again.

Minutes of the meeting will be placed in the Reserve Room of Joyner Library

East Carolina University Delegation

RESOLUTIONS PASSED

(80-7) The Faculty Senate approved: RESOLVED that lists furnished to the Faculty Senators by Committee for Teaching Effectiveness and the University Research Committee showing names, projects, and amounts granted for proposals by the committees shall be furnished to the entire faculty as an Appendix to the Faculty Senate minutes of the January 29, 1980 meeting. (See attached)

(80-8) Revisions to the Department of English Code were approved by the Faculty Senate.

(80-9) The Faculty Senate approved the Faculty Senate and Agenda Committee Dates of Meetings for 1980/81 (See attached).

(80-10) The Faculty Senate approved the resolution from Career Education Committee:

WHEREAS, the charge of the Career Education Committee states that it "recommends the procedures and changes necessary in career education programs," and that it "publicizes and promotes career and cooperative education programs at East Carolina University;" and

WHEREAS, the Career Education Committee makes its recommendations to the Faculty Senate,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Career Education Committee recommends that the University:

- (1) increase coordination of activities among agencies conducting career education (such as Cooperative Education, Career Planning and Placement, Center for Student Opportunities, Counseling Center, etc.) with particular attention given to the need for a centralized geographic location which is accessible and highly visible to students
- (2) enhance the internal and external visibility of the agencies conducting career education;
- (3) allocate funds to the agencies for publications and materials regarding careers (such as updating Career Profiles, newsletters, etc.)
- (4) conduct a student needs assessment regarding career development and/or career exploration; and
- (5) conduct a survey of employers of ECU graduates to: (a) assess the opinions of the employers concerning the competencies/productivity of their ECU employees, and (b) assess the employers current and future personnel needs.

(80-11) The Faculty Senate approved the revised charge of the Faculty Welfare Committee:

1. Faculty Welfare Committee
2. Nine faculty members chosen by the Faculty Senate at its organizational meeting in the spring plus ex officio: Director of Personnel.
3. Quorum: Five faculty members exclusive of ex officio.
4. A. The Faculty Welfare Committee monitors programs and policies concerned with insurance, annuities, leaves of absence, and other fringe benefits, and all other programs and policies which affect the general welfare of the faculty or of specific faculty members; recommends to the Faculty Senate new programs and policies and changes in existing programs and policies.

Six of the elected members of the Committee shall be selected annually by the Committee to serve as members of the Faculty and Staff Benefits Committee.

- B. The Committee makes recommendations on policy to the Faculty Senate, and recommendations concerning the implementation of policy to the Faculty and Staff Benefits Committee.
- C. The Committee reports to the Faculty Senate as often as necessary but at least once a year.
- D. The Committee is empowered to make recommendations concerning the implementation of policy to the Faculty and Staff Benefits Committee.

-----

(80-12) The Faculty Senate approved the revised charge of the University Computer Committee:

1. University Computer Committee
2. Nine faculty members, at least six of whom are from academic units that are bona fide users of the University computation facilities. The Director of the Computing Center will provide the Committee on Committees with appropriate user data to aid the Committee in determining qualifications.

Ex officio: Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, Vice Chancellor for Institutional Advancement and Planning or their appointed representatives, one student, Director of the Computing Center.

3. Quorum: Five faculty members exclusive of ex officio.
4. A. The University Computer Committee serves as a resource of faculty opinion on computer services and policies. The committee interprets the problems and policies of the University Computing Center to the faculty and brings faculty opinions and needs to the Computing Center staff. The Chair of the University Computer Committee represents the faculty as a member of the Information Systems Advisory Committee.
- B. The committee reports faculty opinions and needs to the Computing Center staff. The committee initiates recommendations affecting academic usage of computer facilities above the department and school level to the Faculty Senate or other appropriate authorities.

(continued)

- C. The committee reports to the Faculty Senate as often as necessary but at least once a year.
- D. The committee is empowered to represent the faculty interest in proposed changes to the University Computing Facilities which might materially affect service to the academic community.

-----

- (80-13) The Faculty Senate approved a resolution to return the revised charge of the Career Education Committee to the Committee on Committees for further study.
- (80-14) The Faculty Senate approved the Amendment to the Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Safety Department Code as shown in the agenda.
- (80-15) The Faculty Senate approved a revision of Parks, Recreation and Conservation major and minor as shown in University Curriculum Committee minutes of January 17, 1980.
- (80-16) The Faculty Senate approved:

WHEREAS, no recourse is possible within the university system for faculty members who have been physically or verbally abused, harassed, or threatened by students; and

WHEREAS, no task force within the planning effort of the university is charged with development or review of faculty life within the campus community;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Faculty Senate of East Carolina University will, through effort of established or newly-appointed committee, establish a system of redress of grievances by faculty harassed or threatened by students. This resolution to be referred to the Faculty Affairs Committee who will report to the Faculty Senate not later than September, 1980.

- (80-17) The Faculty Senate approved:

RESOLVED that the Faculty Governance Committee shall study the problem in unit codes where personnel committees hear appeals on their previous action. A preliminary report shall be given at the meeting of March 24, 1980.

COMMITTEE FOR TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS PROPOSALS FUNDED 1979/80

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>
Charles Coble	Science Education	\$675.00	Establish living organism care and observation centers in elementary schools and develop associated activity sheets.
Collett Dilworth Jim Kirkland Bertie Fearing Al Muller	English	500.00	Teach and test a method for developing better sentence structure.
Floyd Mattheis Allen Rappaport	Science Education Business	280.00	Solicit science experiments to be performed on a NASA space flight.
Melvin Williams	Sociology/Anth.	671.00	Teach, motivate, and test students to observe, study, and analyze social relationships through pictures and role-playing.
John Warren	English/Journalism	100.00	Conduct telephone interviews with public figures.
John Crammer	Mathematics	174.00	Design and implement a computer statistical analysis of responses to Committee's student questionnaire.
Oral Parks	Political Science	493.00	Design and test a computer-related instructional package for analyzing voting behavior.
Caroline Ayers	Chemistry	512.35	Travel to and participate in an NSF Chautauqua course entitled "Cognition and Teaching" in order to learn and try alternative teaching methods.
Wayne Ayers	Chemistry	555.00	Travel to and participate in an NSF Chautauqua course entitled "Industrial Chemicals from Biomass" to develop methods and materials for a similar course.

(80-7) Appendix to Faculty Senate Minutes of January 29, 1980

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>
David Hawkins	Music	\$888.60	Travel to and participate in 6th International Chamber Music Workshop in order to learn ideas and techniques for teaching chamber music type classes.
John Crammer	Mathematics	232.00	Determine factors which teachers rated as outstanding have in common.
Mallie Penry	Nursing	400.00	Videotape different methods of presenting same material and analyze the tapes in the classroom with a comparative evaluation of the methods.
Brett Watson	Music	642.00	Travel to and participate in Sommerakademie Johann Bach workshop in order to learn procedures for conducting this type music with choir and orchestra.
Dixie Koldjeski	Nursing	700.00	Develop an investigatory approach to clinical nursing which focuses on the common needs of groups of people with particular health problems.
Herbert Paschal	History	1431.50	Attend the 22nd Annual Williamsburg Seminar in Historical Management and Preservation in order to obtain information relevant to courses to be taught in this area.

RESEARCH GRANTS - 1979/80  
UNIVERSITY RESEARCH COMMITTEE

Name	Res Asst 1450	Trav 3100	Educ Supp 2300	Pub Subs 3400	Comm 3200	Equip 5300	Total
1-Baldwin/Kirkland		768			108	400	1276
2-Sullivan	75	200	100				375
3-Stephenson		400	50			25	475
4-Brett		220	94		125		439
7-Adler				324			324
8-Ajmera	625	208					833
9-Laubert		880					880
10-Laubert						2200	2200
11-Bissinger		260					260
12-Bissinger						1965	1965
13-Adler				105			105
14-Coulter				600			600
15-Byrd				300			300
16-Seykora				150			150
17-Varghese						840	840
19-Immele			422				422
21-Malby		515	50				565
22-Aronson			920				920
23-Parham	300		250				550
24-Chia yu Li	400		850				1250
25-Volpe	300		150				450
26-Ayers	300		500				800
27-Lamb	600		200				800
28-Caspar	300						300
29-Klein	500		300				800
31-Lennon		900					900
32-Gowen		1080					1080
33-Still/Stephenson	300	115	150				565
34-Nischan		850	150				1000
35-Bratton		640	25				665
36-Debnath				1248			1248
37-Wolf				132			132
38-Richardson		200	100		150		450
39-Richardson				288			288
43-Biyani		700	50		50		800
44-Wirth/Joyce	300		870		264	1050	2484
45-Debnath		1200					1200
46-Debnath	500	360	350		100	450	1760
47-Gulati		700					700
49-Leichtling		250			500		750
51-Topper			66		8		74
52-Shea		789	90				879
54-Double				150			150
56-Roberts		1200					1200
57-Erdmann		745					745
59-Morgan			600				600
60-Mauger		152	1100				1252
61-Terrell		250	50		25		325

<u>Name</u>	<u>Res Asst 1450</u>	<u>Trav 3100</u>	<u>Educ Supp 2300</u>	<u>Pub Subs 3400</u>	<u>Comm 3200</u>	<u>Equip 5300</u>	<u>Total</u>
64-Davis	600		580				1180
65-Ito						1620	1620
66-Kalmus						1692	1692
67-Sehgal	600		500				1100
68-Jeffreys	300		150				450
69-Kane	300	150	240				690
70-Means			500	20		50	570
71-Durham/Childers	300	200	150		50		700
72-Lao				210			210
73-Lao	300		400				700
74-Smith		300	400				700
75-Young		910	100		30		1040
76-Bort		1673	40				1713
77-Singh	300	250	200				750
78-Wilson	400		414				814
79-Ahad		100	500	100			700
82-Ahad	100	100	250	250			700
88-Altuner	200		30		50		280
91-Bland			300				300
92-Ryan		600					600
93-Ash		100	50		50	400	600
94-McClasky	100		300				400
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8,000</b>	<b>17,965</b>	<b>12,591</b>	<b>3,877</b>	<b>1,510</b>	<b>10,692</b>	<b>54,635</b>

(80-9)

FACULTY SENATE AND AGENDA COMMITTEE

DATES OF MEETINGS FOR 1980/81

Agenda Committee meetings at 2:00 p.m.

Senate Meetings at 2:10 p.m.

Tuesday, September 16, 1980 - Agenda  
Tuesday, September 30, 1980 - Senate

Tuesday, October 7, 1980 - Agenda  
Tuesday, October 21, 1980 - Senate

Tuesday, November 4, 1980 - Agenda  
Tuesday, November 18, 1980 - Senate

Tuesday, November 25, 1980 - Agenda  
Tuesday, December 9, 1980 - Senate

Tuesday, January 13, 1981 - Agenda  
Tuesday, January 27, 1981 - Senate

Tuesday, February 3, 1981 - Agenda  
Tuesday, February 17, 1981 - Senate

Tuesday, March 3, 1981 - Agenda  
Tuesday, March 17, 1981 - Senate

Tuesday, April 7, 1981 - Agenda  
Tuesday, April 21, 1981 - Senate

Wednesday, April 22, 1981 - Organizational Meeting