

Wachapelah N. C.
Nov 18th 1889

My dear Genl.

I give you my
ideas as to Cotton Baggery or
wrapping - The sale should be
made net - and this can only be
done by law - Congress will not
pass such a law for us - So we
should have a uniform law sub-
mitted to each State - After view-
ing the freed carefully I think we
clipped up on Cotton Baggery -
It is too expensive and is caus-
ing the money to go to the bills
of the ammunition of the freed
really have no cotton
thing

I say down with fate but I do not wish to have to fall with him or to make his fall cost more than is necessary - no more fate say I. And I also say no more Cotton bagging at a profit of 50pc or more to the Manf =

I therefore hope that at the Conference at St. Louis you will resist any attempt to foster Cotton bagging on us under present conditions -

I think the whole matter should be referred to a Committee before to report before May next - The first object should be to arrange for sale of Cotton net - then as to what shall be the base for various kinds of wrapping -

I am in the hands of S.B.A. and do not know what route he will take or when he will start -

Yours

1 lb Cotton 9 cts = $1/3$ yds Bagging
 $12\frac{7}{8}$ (ft) per yd = $16.83\frac{1}{4}$ cts -
 Cotton 9. Mfr 2 = $\frac{11}{5.88\frac{1}{4}}$ cts on 11
 Profit

6 yds Cotton Bagging $12\frac{7}{8}$ cts = $75\frac{3}{4}$ cts
 Old 2 1/2 $\frac{40}{35\frac{3}{4}}$

6 yds Cotton Bagging $3/4$ lb = $4\frac{1}{2}$ lbs
 Old 2 1/2 or 2" head by 10 or 12"

$5\frac{1}{2}$ lbs = 9 $49\frac{1}{2}$ cts =
 $7\frac{1}{2}$ " 9 $67\frac{1}{2}$ cts -

$\frac{49\frac{1}{2}}{35\frac{3}{4}}$ $\frac{67\frac{1}{2}}{35\frac{3}{4}}$
 $85\frac{1}{4}$ Loss $1.03\frac{1}{4}$ Loss