In light of the growing drug abuse in our State, and social workers are burden has been placed on our courts to deal with drug in latto. At the same time, doctors, psychologists, and social workers are becoming increasingly insistent that drug cases be treated from a medical, rather than punitive, standpoint. One result has been the exposure of the inability of the court system to insure a spee defendant.

The total number of cases now on the dockets of North Carolina's courts has reached staggering numbers. In one ${\tt county}$ alone the number of cases pending in the Superior Court ${\tt stands}$ at over a thousand; a six-county survey reports more than ${\tt three}$ thousand Superior Court cases pending, many of which are well over two years old, and three hundred of these are narcotics violations. The paperwork and administration of such vast numbers of cases as well as repeated proceduaral delays ${\tt have}$ ground our court system almost to a standstill. Until ${\tt swiftness}$ of trial can be returned to the courts and respect for ${\tt justice}$ restored, there can be little hope for progress in the ${\tt expeditious}$ handling of drug cases.

In addition, the lack of flexibility in sentencing makes it all but impossible to deal with the drug problem from a medical standpoint. In order for a judge to consider and to provide for the medical needs of an offender, he must have enough discretion to be able to consider the merits of that individual case and to ascribe an appropriate sentence. But existing narcotics laws rigidly define the sentences for each offense, often making medical considerations impracticable.

It has been suggested that judges have the discretion t_0

commit a drug offender for medical treatment instead of sentencing him to an active sentence in a penal institut addition, the judge should have the power to give a "spl allowing him to sentence the offender to a short active and then a period of closely supervised probation.

However, North Carolinians should ask themselves whether facilities for drug treatment are adequate. Aside from mental hospitals, there are no public facilities where an addict can for help. And drug offenders in our state hospitals, as well in our prisons, unfortunately can receive only a minimum of constructive treatment and rehabilitative services.

It is clear that our courts are encountering difficulty in dealing efficiently with narcotics violations, and it will d_{emand} a combined effort of law enforcement agencies, correctional institutions, and specialized treatment facilities, as well as the more rapid administration of justice through the court system, to control the drug problem effectively.