Provost Sheerer's Report 11-3-09 F. Senate

Summary of 2009-10 Position Allocation Process

A total of 47.71 FTE faculty positions were received from 2009-10 enrollment increase funding.

Academic Council decided to take 13 FTE positions "off-the-top" to be used for restitution and institutional priorities. 10 FTE were identified for restitution and 3 FTE for university priorities. The positions for restitution were allocated to those units considered to be understaffed based on an analysis of their current faculty FTE vs. derived faculty FTE (the number they should have if fully funded according to the funding model). Of the 3 FTE allocated for institutional priorities, 2 FTE went to Engineering and 1 FTE to Research & Graduate Studies for Health Disparities.

After taking the 13 FTE "off-the-top" as described above, 34.71 FTE remained to be divided between Academic Affairs and Health Sciences. The split of these positions was based on each division's relative share of the total derived faculty growth between 2007-08 and 2008-09. Academic Affairs units accounted for 81.54 % of the overall growth in derived faculty for that period while Health Sciences units accounted for 18.46%. The resulting split was 28.3 FTE for Academic Affairs and 6.41 FTE for Health Sciences.

Each division then made decisions on the allocation of their share of positions across units within. Over half of the positions available for each division were allocated based on growth in derived faculty, with the remaining being allocated for divisional priorities. Each division held some positions centrally for future priorities/emergencies.

The salary level for positions allocated to colleges was based on the average teaching salary for the college per the 2008-09 Faculty Salary Report provided by Institutional Planning Assessment and Research.

The attached spreadsheet reflects the resulting position allocations to the specific areas.

Note: For each position allocated, \$8K in enrollment increase operating funds was provided.

| 19 FTE Based on Derived Faculty Growth 3.50 1.50 0.00 1.50 | 10 FTE Based on Restitution 1.00 0.50 1.00 | 3.00 FTE for Univ. Priorities | 15.71 FTE for Divisional Priorities 1.00 2.00 | 4.50 2.50 | 70,25 |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Based on Derived Faculty Growth 3.50 1.50 0.00 1.50 | Restitution 1.00 1.00 | for Univ. | for Divisional Priorities 1.00 2.00 | 4.50 2.50 | Salary Level) 95,232) 70,25 |
| Derived Faculty Growth 3.50 1.50 0.00 1.50 | Restitution 1.00 1.00 | for Univ. | for Divisional Priorities 1.00 2.00 | 4.50 2.50 | Salary Level) 95,232) 70,253 |
| 3.50 1.50 0.00 1.50 | 1.00 1.00 | | 1.00 2.00 | 4.50 2.50 |) 95,232) 70,25° |
| 3.50 1.50 2.50 0.00 | 1.00 | Priorities | 2.00 | 5.50 4.50 2.50 | 95,232 |
| 1.50 2.50 0.00 | 0.50 | | 2.00 | 4.50 | 95,232 |
| 2.50 0.00 1.50 | 0.50 | | | 2.50 | 62,029 |
| 0.00 | | | 2.00 | | |
| 1.50 | | | 2.00 | 250 | 67,237 |
| | 1.00 | | | (2.00 |) 07,231 |
| 0.50 | | | 2.00 | 4.50 | 62,499 |
| 0.00 | | 2.00 | 1.00 | 3.50 | 76,749 |
| 5.50 | 3.00 | | 1.00 | 9.50 | 62,561 |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | | | 2.5 2.00 | 69,671 |
| 1.50 | 2.50 | | | 4,5 (4,00 | 71,007 |
| 1.50 | | | | 1.50 |) TBD |
| | | 1.00 | | 1.00 |) TBD |
| | | | 4.30 | 4.30 | |
| | | | 1.41 | | 1.41 |
| 19.00 | 10.00 | 3.00 | 15.71 | 47.71 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| search initiatives | | | | | |
| nment initiatives | | | | | |
| princint initiatives | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 1.00 1.50 1.50 1.50 19.00 ation initiatives | 1.00 1.00 1.50 2.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 | 5.50 3.00 1.00 1.00 1.50 2.50 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 19.00 3.00 ation initiatives search initiatives | 5.50 3.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.50 2.50 1.50 1.00 4.30 1.41 19.00 10.00 3.00 15.71 ation initiatives search initiatives | 5.50 3.00 1.00 9.50 1.00 1.00 2.5 2.00 1.50 2.50 1.50 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 |