Position Fund	Levels										ABLE 7
				*Average	Average						
				Faculty	Salary						
Unit				Salary	Requested						
College of Busin	ess			84,826	97,000						
College of Educa				61,078	63,901						
	arts & Communic	ation		54,029	52,700						
College of Huma	CONTRACTOR OF THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON OF			57,288	60,000						
College of Health	& Human Perfo	rmance		54,190	53,750						
College of Techr	ology & Comput	er Science		66,150	76,950						
TH College of Ar	ts & Sciences			55,516	61,014						
School of Allied	Health Sciences			63,982	74,675						
School of Nursin	g			61,725	69,800						
Position Allocati	on x Requested	Salary or Avg Sa	lary (greater	amount) for regu	lar allocation.	Commitment/P	riority funded at	requested leve	el.		
	Position	Requested	Salary	Commits/	Requested	Commits/	Requested	Commits/	Requested	Total	Total
College	Allocation	Salary	Pool	Priorities	Salary	Priorities	Salary	Priorities	Salary	Positions	Salary \$
СОВ	5.00	97,000	485,000							5.00	485,000
COE	6.00	63,901	383,406	1.00 a	46,000	1.00 b	84,500			8.00	513,90
CFAC	0.00	54,029	0	1.00 ^c	55,600					1.00	55,600
CHE	3.00	60,000	180,000	1.00 ^d	60,000					4.00	240,000
СННР	3.50	54,190	189,665							3.50	189,66
CTCS	1.50	76,950	115,425	1.00 e	66,150	1.00 ^f	100,150	1.00 ^g	81,150	4.50	362,87
THCAS	4.00	61,014	244,056	1.00 h	82,631	1.00 i	80,000	1.00 j	90,000	7.00	496,68
AHS	2.00	74,675	149,350							2.00	149,350
Nursing	8.00	69,800	558,400							8.00	558,400
a For STEPP	Position										
	aculty position to duate program in			s in Ed. Leadersl	nip		Total Position	s Allocated		43.00	3,051,48
	ed position in Fa		r Sciences Ed	ducation			University Price	orities		3.50	207,65
	For new MS in Construction Management For senior software engineering position in new MS in Software Engineering							Tourism Direct	or funding)		
g For software	g For software engineering position in new MS in Software Engineering h For joint Geology/CSI Program						Held Centrally for Emergencies 3.00				177,99
For joint Fcc	on/CSI Program						Total Positions			49.50	3,437,132

Comparison of Derived Fac	ulty to Cu	irrent Facu	ılty per B	D-119 fo	r Period 20	000-01 to	2006-07														TABLE
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)
																			*Adjusted		
	2000-01 BD-119	2000-01 Derived	Over		2001-02 Derived			2002-03		2003-04 BD 110	2003-04	Overl		2004-05			2005-06		2006-07 BD 440	2005-06	
Unit	Faculty					Over/ (under)	BD-119 Faculty	<u>Faculty</u>	Over/ (under)	BD-119 Faculty	Derived Faculty	Over/ (under)	BD-119 Faculty		Over/ (under)		<u>Faculty</u>		BD-119 Faculty	<u>Faculty</u>	
College of Business	76.75	114.78	(38.03)	79.00	123.69	(44.69)	79.00	130.28	(51.28)	93.00	131.45	(38.45)	98.00	132.43	(34.43)	114.00	121.13	(7.13)	119.00	121.13	(2.13
College of Education	87.40	95.66	(8.26)	87.90	113.98	(26.08)	108.15	136.18	(28.03)	117.15	162.67	(45.52)	126.15	189.07	(62.92)	167.90	177.75	(9.85)	175.90	177.75	(1.85
College of Fine Arts & Comm	122.75	136.97	(14.22)	148.50	142.64	5.86	148.55	149.93	(1.38)	145.90	158.92	(13.02)	152.48	161.04	(8.56)	160.98	138.71	22.27	161.98	138.71	23.27
College of Hlth & Hum. Perf.	56.61	67.19	(10.58)	58.11	69.53	(11.42)	63.71	71.88	(8.17)	66.71	76.53	(9.82)	71.71	86.77	(15.06)	86.76	86.98	(0.22)	90.26	86.98	3.28
College of Human Ecology	61.35	78.58	(17.23)	63.85	84.13	(20.28)	69.00	92.35	(23.35)	81.00	103.78	(22.78)	85.00	106.01	(21.01)	102.00	106.96	(4.96)	106.00	106.96	(0.96
College of Tech. & Comp Sc	33.50	50.50	(17.00)	41.50	54.35	(12.85)	44.35	60.01	(15.66)	60.10	65.36	(5.26)	67.10	74.95	(7.85)	85.20	72.32	12.88	89.70	72.32	17.38
College of Arts & Sciences	357.05	434.00	(76.95)	353.30	446.81	(93.51)	347.40	474.38	(126.98)	376.95	486.44	(109.49)	387.40	488.48	(101.08)	423.25	459.35	(36.10)	430.25	459.35	(29.10
School of Allied Health	61.70	63.00	(1.30)	65.40	59.31	6.09	66.40	62.73	3.67	68.40	73.98	(5.58)	70.90	84.45	(13.55)	86.00	83.91	2.09	88.00	83.91	4.09
School of Nursing	59.60	42.72	16.88	64.30	41.89	22.41	65.30	40.89	24.41	66.30	54.36	11.94	69.30	66.35	2.95	89.20	119.67	(30.47)	97.20	119.67	(22.47)
Totals	916.71	1083.40	(166.69)	961.86	1136.33	(174.47)	991.86	1218.63	(226.77)	1075.51	1313.49	(237.98)	1128.04	1389.55	(261.51)	1315.29	1366.78	(51.49)	1358.29	1366.78	(8.49)
% Over/Under			-15.39%			-15.35%			-18.61%			-18.12%			-18.82%			-3.77%			-0.62%

Attached and noted below are information from Provost Jim Smith in reference to the 2006-07 faculty position allocation from the new positions and other enrollment increase funding generated by our 2006-07 projected SCH increases.

October 24, 2006

Dear Deans:

By direction of Chancellor Ballard and on behalf of the Academic Council, I here forward the faculty position and associated operating fund allocations for 2006-2007. You will find as attachments to this e-mail the general memo, all of the individual unit memos, and the supporting tables that explain the allocation rationales.

We have for the past four years been developing with further refinement each year a method of allocation of enrollment increase funds that recognize student credit hour (SCH) change -that is how we earn these new monies, of course-but also that addresses faculty position restitution as judged by the number of faculty ("derived faculty") that the funding formula says each unit should have for their level of SCH production, even though when it was implemented in 1999, GA did not start us out "square." Also, as a third criterion, we recognize that program priorities and prior commitments must figure in our allocations.

We look forward to our continuing discussion of this methodology as we dedicate ourselves to competency, objectivity, fairness, integrity, and transparency.

Thanks for all you do to make your needs clear, to prioritize your needs under clear and strategic goals collaboratively developed within your unit, and to monitor your progress in those endeavors. It is just those steps that will allow us to refine our strategic research and creative activity plans, our strategic academic program development, our strategic enrollment management, our related facilities master plan development, and our related economic and service engagement plans.

Thanks for all you do for ECU.

Best / Jim Smith/Provost

				TABLE 3
Allocation of Faculty FTE Based on SC	H Change from 2004	-05 to 2005-06		
	(1) (From Column 11 of Table 2)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Position Position SCH Allocation Reduc		Position Reduction per Table	Net
<u>Unit</u>	04-05 to 05-06	Below	Below	Allocation
College of Business	2562	2.50	0.00	2.50
College of Education	3205	3.50	0.00	3.50
College of Fine Arts & Communication	949	1.50	0.00	1.50
College of Human Ecology	1032	1.50	0.00	1.50
College of Health & Human Performance	3606	3.50	0.00	3.50
College of Technology & Comp. Science	1829	2.50	0.00	2.50
TH College of Arts & Sciences	(7261)	0.00	-1.50	-1.50
School of Allied Health Sciences	1508	2.50	0.00	2.50
School of Nursing	1729	2.50	0.00	2.50
BSOM (non-med)	461	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Positions Allocated		20.00	-1.50	18.50
POSITION ALLOCATION TABLE:				
Positive Change in SCH	(Negative Change in	SCH)		
500 to 1500 SCH = +1.50 FTE	500 to 1500 SCH =	50 FTE		
1501 to 3000 SCH = +2.50 FTE	1501 to 3000 SCH =	-1.00 FTE		
3001 SCH & above = +3.50 FTE	3001 SCH & above =	-1.50 FTE		

.

Memorandum

To: Deans

From: James LeRoy Smith Date: October 24, 2006

Re: 2006-2007 Faculty Position and Permanent Operating Allocations

Individual College / School Memos are included which indicate your position allocation along with the position numbers to be used for searches / hires for these positions along with operating funds associated with position allocations. Also included in the individual memos are descriptions of previous commitments within the Division of Academic Affairs for use of other positions within your units, as well as a summary of undistributed salary increase funds for each college.

Also included with this memorandum are the tables delineating overall position allocation. **Table 1** describes the total position allocation you received as well as the associated operating dollars. I want to describe the function of each attachment in reaching those conclusions:

Table 2 & Table 3 work together to show how we calculated the SCH change. Since there was negative as well as positive SCH change, we tried to find a balanced and restrained approach to rewards and penalties. Column 4 on Table 3 shows the net SCH change allocations and the position allocation table indicates the rationale for levels of allocation—18.5 positions were consumed.

Table 4 & Table 5 work together to show how we calculated the current vs. derived faculty differences based on the funding formula. Again, we tried to reach a reasonable proportionality in the allocation with 14 positions consumed for restitution.

Table 6 shows the history of derived faculty differences and the results of our restitution and other allocation work recently. Recent funding formula changes are functioning therein also, along with our attempts to move toward equity. *The effects of current allocations are included.*

Table 1 shows in column 5, the positions allocated for priorities / commitments and Table 7 shows the position funding levels for all units as well as funding levels for committed / priority positions.

Following the model developed over the last 3 years, we used SCH, restitution, and previous commitments and priorities in the allocation of positions and operating funds. However, given that UNC-GA recalibrated funding levels for academic programs, we used change in SCH for the student credit hour factor in allocating positions.

							TABLE 4
Analysis of Combined RT & DE Derived	Faculty vs. Curren	t Faculty					
Analysis of Combined IXT & DE Denveu	acuity vs. Curren	tracuity					
	141	101	(2)	/ 4\	/=\	/^\	/->
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(1)
							%
	Total	Total		Current		Difference	Difference
Hnit	05-06 SCHs Generated	Derived	Relative	Faculty FTE	Relative	Derived vs.	Derived vs.
<u>Unit</u>	Generated	Faculty	%	per BD-119	%	Current	Current
College of Business	52,032	121.13	8.77%	114.00	8.55%	-7.13	-5.89%
College of Education	65,145	177.75	12.87%	167.90	12.59%	-9.85	-5.54%
College of Fine Arts & Communication	61,218	138.71	10.05%	160.98	12.07%	22.27	16.06%
College of Human Ecology	43,734	106.96	7.75%	102.00	7.65%	-4.96	-4.64%
College of Health & Human Performance	41,154	86.98	6.30%	86.76	6.51%	-0.22	-0.25%
College of Technology/Comp Science	25,253	72.32	5.24%	85.20	6.39%	12.88	17.81%
TH College of Arts & Sciences	237,682	459.35	33.27%	423.25	31.74%	-36.10	-7.86%
School of Allied Health Sciences	19,475	83.91	6.08%	86.00	6.45%	2.09	2.49%
School of Nursing	20,111	119.67	8.67%	89.20	6.69%	-30.47	-25.46%
** BSOM (non-med)	2,225	14.02	1.02%	18.00	1.35%	3.98	28.39%
Totals	568,029	1,380.80	100.00%	1,333.29	100.00%	-47.51	-3.44%
Notes:			0000				
Column 1 - Total regular term and distant Column 2 - Derived faculty are positions				del matrix to SCH	s produced		
Column 3 - Relative % of unit derived fac				del matrix to oon	3 produced		
Column 4 - Faculty FTE based on curren							
Column 5 - Relative % of unit faculty FTE							
Column 6 - Numerical difference between				FTE			
Column 7 - % difference between derived	a faculty and curre	nt BD-119 facul	tyFTE				

.

Positions Held Centrally for Emergencies	3.00	0	6.06%		

				TABLE 5
Allocation of Faculty FTE Based on Resti	itution			
(Derived Faculty vs Current Faculty per E				
	(1) (From Column 6 of Table 4)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Current vs Derived Faculty	Position Allocation per Table	Position Reduction per Table	Net
<u>Unit</u>	Over/(Under)	Below	Below	Allocation
College of Business	(7.13)	2.50	0.00	2.50
College of Education	(9.85)	2.50	0.00	2.50
College of Fine Arts & Communication	22.27	0.00	-1.50	-1.50
College of Human Ecology	(4.96)	1.50	0.00	1.50
College of Health & Human Performance	(0.22)	0.00	0.00	0.00
College of Technology & Comp Science	12.88	0.00	-1.00	-1.00
H College of Arts & Sciences	(36.10)	5.50	0.00	5.50
School of Allied Health Sciences	2.09	0.00	-0.50	-0.50
School of Nursing	(30.47)	5.50	0.00	5.50
BSOM (non-med)	3.98	0.00	-0.50	-0.50
Total Positions Allocated		17.50	-3.50	14.00
POSITION ALLOCATION TABLE:				
(Under-Funded)	Over-Funded			
1.00 to 5.00 FTE = +1.50 FTE	1.00 to 10.00 FTE =	50 FTE		
6.00 to 10.00 FTE = +2.50 FTE	11.00 to 20.00 FTE	= -1.00 FTE		
11.00 to 15.00 FTE = +3.50 FTE	21.00 FTE and above	/e = -1.50 FTE		
16.00 to 20.00 FTE = +4.50 FTE				
21.00 FTE & above = +5.50 FTE				

.

.

							TABLE 1
Summary of Position Allocations	Based on SCH Chang	e, Restitution (Cur	rent vs Derived Facu	Ity), & Commitments	Priorities of Ur	nits	
	(1) (From Column 2 of Table 3)	(2) (From Column 3 of Table 3)	(3) (From column 2 of Table 5)	(4) (From column 3 of Table 5)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	FTE Allocation Based on	FTE Reduction Based on	FTE Allocation Based on	FTE Reduction Based on	Allocations for Commits/	Net Position	**Operating
Unit	SCH Change	SCH Change	Current vs Derived	Current vs Derived	Priorities	Allocation	Allocation
College of Business	2.50	0.00	2.50	0.00	0.00	5.00	30,000
College of Education	3.50	0.00	2.50	0.00	2.00	8.00	48,000
College of Fine Arts & Comm.	1.50	0.00	0.00	-1.50	1.00	1.00	6,000
College of Human Ecology	1.50	0.00	1.50	0.00	1.00	4.00	24,000
College of Hlth & Human Perf.	3.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.50	21,000
College of Tech. & Comp. Science	2.50	0.00	0.00	-1.00	3.00	4.50	27,000
TH College of Arts & Sciences	0.00	-1.50	5.50	0.00	3.00	7.00	42,000
School of Allied Health Sciences	2.50	0.00	0.00	-0.50	0.00	2.00	12,000
School of Nursing	2.50	0.00	5.50	0.00	0.00	8.00	48,000
BSOM (non-med)	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.50	0.00	*.00	0
Totals	20.00	-1.50	17.50	-3.50	10.00	43.00	258,000
* BSOM (non-med) would have a - to negative net allocations.	.50 FTE allocation in	(Column 6), but in	this year's allocation	units are held harm	less with regar	d	
** Operating funds allocation is bassociated position.	ased on \$6K for each	faculty position re	ceived. It is intended	that these operating	funds suppor	the	
Note: General Institutional Suppo Council has not yet made de	And the Control of th		e 2 SPA positions for	each College or Sch	ool, but Execu	tive	
Total Positions		49.50	100.00%				
Allocations Based on SCH Chan Allocations for Dean's Commitm		33.00					
Positions Held for University Pri	orities	3.50	7.07%				

												TABLE 2
Analysis of SCH Change from AY	2004-05 to 2005	5-06										
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	Reg. Term SCHs	Reg. Term SCHs	SCH	%	DE SCHs	DE SCHs	SCH	%	Combined	Combined	SCH	%
Unit	2005-06	2004-05	Change	Change	2005-06	2004-05	Change	Change	2005-06	2004-05	Change	Change
College of Business	44,892	44,577	315	0.71%	7,140	4,893	2,247	45.92%	52,032	49,470	2,562	5.18%
College of Education	31,219	32,052	-833	-2.60%	33,926	29,888	4,038	13.51%	65,145	61,940	3,205	5.17%
College of Fine Arts/Comm.	54,801	56,091	-1,290	-2.30%	6,417	4,178	2,239	53.59%	61,218	60,269	949	1.57%
College of Human Ecology	39,136	38,992	144	0.37%	4,598	3,710	888	23.94%	43,734	42,702	1,032	2.42%
College of Hlth. & Human Perf.	36,645	34,493	2,152	6.24%	4,509	3,055	1,454	47.59%	41,154	37,548	3,606	9.60%
College of Tech./Comp. Science	19,955	18,690	1,265	6.77%	5,298	4,734	564	11.91%	25,253	23,424	1,829	7.81%
TH College of Arts & Sciences	232,068	240,162	-8,094	-3.37%	5,614	4,781	833	17.42%	237,682	244,943	-7,261	-2.96%
School of Allied Health Sciences	15,365	14,543	822	5.65%	4,110	3,424	686	20.04%	19,475	17,967	1,508	8.39%
School of Nursing	13,918	13,666	252	1.84%	6,193	4,716	1,477	31.32%	20,111	18,382	1,729	9.41%
BSOM (non-med)	2,043	1683	360	21.39%	182	81	101	124.69%	2,225	1,764	461	26.13%
Totals	490,042	494,949	-4,907	-0.99%	77,987	63,460	14,527	22.89%	568,029	558,409	9,620	1.72%