

Lee, Lori

---

**From:** Lee, Lori  
**Sent:** Monday, November 28, 2005 3:40 PM  
**To:** Lee, Lori  
**Subject:** print

---

**From:** Rigsby, Catherine  
**Sent:** Monday, November 28, 2005 8:28 AM  
**To:** Smith, James LeRoy; McNamee, Lou  
**Cc:** Cannon, Debbie; Wetherington, Kitty H.; Lee, Lori  
**Subject:** RE: Faculty Senate Resolutions From November Meeting

Jim,

This is fine -- IF it is only way to get the resolution approved.

But, please make sure that the Chancellor understands that *any* change to the resolution will require that the resolution go back to the Faculty Governance committee and then back to the Senate for approval. And, please help us avoid having to go through this process! As you know, this is a very difficult issue for many faculty (and others) at ECU. The resolution is an attempt to get data and a "plan" for dealing with the growing number of fixed-term faculty. Note that it does not recommend any specific plan. Having this go back to the committee and the Senate would unnecessarily prolong the data-gathering and planning that the resolution requests.

The SPIRIT of the resolution is not changed by the revisions you suggest. The end result is still that a staffing plan be presented to the Faculty Senate.

An alternative way to handle the resolution (instead of sending it back to be changed) would be to approve it, as written, and to include in the approval letter an explanation of how Academic Council plans to fulfill the request. That is, put a statement in the approval letter that explains that the Academic Council will fulfill the request by drafting a plan, discussing it with the Chancellor's Executive Council and the Faculty Governance committee, and will then, in concert with the Faculty Governance committee, present the plan to the Faculty Senate. The end result would be the same. And the Chancellor would be on record about the process. (By the way, I agree, that this is a much better process!)

Catherine

---

**From:** Smith, James LeRoy  
**Sent:** Mon 11/28/2005 7:18 AM  
**To:** McNamee, Lou  
**Cc:** Cannon, Debbie; Wetherington, Kitty H.  
**Subject:** Faculty Senate Resolutions From November Meeting

Lou, I recommend that Chancellor Ballard approve the November Faculty Senate resolutions that were forwarded with only one revision, and that would occur in Resolution #056-45, in the "Therefore Be It Resolved: section, as follows:

Currently worded (bold indicates the portion I recommend replacing and what I suggest should replace it)

"Therefore Be It Resolved, that the Faculty Senate recommends, in agreement with the Office of the President's recommendations of March 6, 2002, that the Academic Council **recommend a plan** to the Faculty Senate that:"2

11/29/2005

I recommend revision of that sentence, as follows:

"Therefore Be It Resolved, that the Faculty Senate recommends, in agreement with the Office of the President's recommendations of March 6, 2002, that the Academic Council **draft a plan to discuss with the Chancellor's Executive Council, with the Governance Committee, and in concert with the Governance Committee,** with the Faculty Senate that:"

Kitty, do you agree, or have other suggestions?

Best / Jim

11/29/2005