ANNUAL REPORT OF THE GENERAL COLLEGE COMMITTEE

I. DATE: 25 April 1988
TO: Faculty Senate

FROM: Robert R. Christian, Chair

II. Membership of the committee:

Robert Christian, Chair Frances Daugherty Bertie Fearing Brian Harris, Vice Chair Marilyn Miller, Secretary Ione Ryan Patricia Terrell Pam Chambers, Student Ex-officio Donald Bailey William Buckler Myra Cain Elmer Meyer

III. Committee meetings:

	Date		Absent	Comment
1	Sept.	1987	Ryan	
55	Sept.	1987	Fearing	
3	Nov.	1987	Daugherty, Harris,	unofficial meeting
			Terrell, Meyer	
1	Dec.	1987	Fearing, Miller,	unofficial meeting
			Terrell, Buckler, Cain	
5	Feb.	1988	Fearing, Cain	
1	Mar.	1988	none	
55	Mar.	1988	Daugherty, Miller	
5	Apr.	1988	none	

- IV. Date of reports to the Faculty Senate: None
- V. Specific instructions, if, given to the committee by the Faculty Senate, other than those found in the committee's Constitutional charge: None
- VI. A brief statement of committee organization, subcommittees, research activities, etc.

Early in the year the Committee chose two objectives to pursue in respective subcommittees: Academic Advising and Evaluation of General Education Requirements.

Academic Advising Subcommittee (Ryan (chair), Harris, Miller, Bailey (exofficio)).

Ways to enhance academic advising were considered. Generally these ways were in the form of positive reinforcement for faculty to improve advising. A major outcome of the subcommittee's work with Dean Bailey was the institution of an outstanding General College Adviser Recognition Award. The subcommittee serves as a core for the establishment of a second committee through the Dean's office to administer the award. It is hoped that two awards can be given for excellence in advising for the 87-88 academic year.

Evaluation of General Education Requirements Subcommittee (Cain (chair), Terrell, Fearing, Christian). The subcommittee developed and distributed a survey of faculty opinion of general education requirements in Fall 1987 (copy attached is Appendix I). Overall the majority of the faculty were satisfied with all aspects of general education. The two areas which were considered with least satisfaction were the English 1100/1200 and Mathematics requirements (details attached as Appendix II). Other major activities. With the Committee's aid, the first student evaluation of General College advisers was instituted in Spring 1988. The Committee supported efforts to establish a pilot program for EDUC 1000 - The Freshman and the University, a student orientation course to aid retention. The Committee met with and worked with the Student Recruitment and Retention Committee. It was instrumental in submitting two resolutions to the Faculty Senate through the Student Recruitment and Retention Committee: one on student work load/course load and one on availability of courses to transfer and other students who register after the normal period in the previous semester. The Committee responded to a request from Deans Coble and Ryan to advise on general education requirements of education majors. The Committee responded to a request from Vice Chancellor Bloodworth to advise on the use of general education courses for credit toward a second major.

Details of all of these items may be found in Committee minutes.

VII. List of committee accomplishments including recommendations made to agencies other than the Faculty Senate.

This has been largely covered in VI.

The Committee:

- helped establish a General College Adviser Recognition Award and considered other ways to improve advising
- 2) surveyed faculty for general education requirements
- 3) helped institute the student evaluation of advisers
- 4) helped establish the EDUC 1000 program
- 5) aided in resolutions on student work load/course load and availability of courses

- advised Deans Coble and Ryan that education majors have general 6) education requirements comparable to other students
- advised Vice Chancellor Bloodworth that it is appropriate that courses may be counted for both general education and a second major
- VIII. Citation of the resolution numbers of Senate resolutions that originated with the committee. None
 - IX. Proposals and/or business to be carried over to next year.
 - 1) The subcommittee on Academic Advising will continue to pursue ways to improve advising.
 - The Committee has received a positive reply from the Chancellor to a letter requesting that he meet with the Committee to discuss administrative committment and policy toward advising. This meeting will be held next year.
 - The subcommittee on Evaluation of General Education Requirements will make recommendations toward improvement based on the survey.
 - The Committee expects to receive proposals on SCIE 1270, 1271, 1280, 1281 and MATH 2127, 2129 as to the appropriateness as general education requirements.
 - X. Evaluation of the Committee:
 - A. Structure. The Committee structure is appropriate.
 - B. Duties. The Committee continues to be concerned over its role relative to general education requirements and the University Curriculum Committee.
 - C. Functions. As with duties.
 - D. Personnel. Personnel is appropriate.
- Suggestions for improving the effectiveness of the committee:

A decision should be made involving the General College Committee, the University Curriculum Committee, and ultimately the Faculty Senate as to the destinctions between general education policy and requirements that determine the pathways of reporting for the General College Committee.

Signed: Chairperson Relat R. Chat

Secretary Menlyn 6. Miller

MEMORANDUM

TO: All Faculty

FROM: The General College Committee

DATE: September 25, 1987

SUBJECT: Survey of Faculty Opinion of General Education Requirements

The general College Committee solicits your opinion concerning how well ECU's current general education requirements (1) provide a core of common knowledge valuable for the intellectual development of the student, and (2) prepare students for specialized study in the major in accord with the Philosophy and Practical Considerations of General Education at East Carolina University, approved by the Faculty Senate, 1977. (See pp. 75-76, Undergraduate Catalogue for general education requirements for all baccalaureate degree programs.)

I. In your opinion, how well do the following general education requirements prepare students for your program? (Circle the appropriate response.)

Requirements Fu	ully	Satisfactorily	Unsatisfactorily	Not at All	No Basis for Opinion
A. English 1100/ 1200 (6 s.h.)	1	2	3	4	5
B. Jibrary Science 0000 (1 s.h.) C. Sciences (8 s.h.)	1	2 2	3	4	5
D. Social Sciences (13 s.h.)	1	2	3	4	5
E. Mathematics (3 s.h.)	1	2	3	4	5
F. Humanities & Fine Arts (10 s.h.)	1	2	3	4	5
G. Health & Phys. Education (3 s.h.)	1	2	3	. 4	5

If you have circled "Unsatisfactorily" or "Not at All", please provide a brief explanation on the back of this sheet.

II. In your opinion, how well does the current general education curriculum provide a core of knowledge valuable for the intellectual development of the student?

Fully Sati		Unsatisfactorily	ar HII	for Opinion
1	2	3	4	5

On the back of this sheet, please share with the Committee your suggestions for improvements.

Per se identify your department or school and return this sheet to the Faculty Senate Office, 140 Rawl Annex by October 9, 1987.

Approved by: William A. Bloodworth, Acting Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs

	Satisfied+	Unsatisfied-
Health/PE	151 (91%)	15 (9%)
Humanities/Fine Arts	165 (86%)	26 (14%)
Social Sciences	163 (86%)	27 (14%)
Sciences	145 (81%)	35 (19%)
Library Science	149 (76%)	46 (24%)
Mathematics	117 (62%)	71 (38%)
English 1100/1200	130 (62%)	80 (38%)

Of 71 (38%) who responded that the <u>mathematics</u> requirement prepared students "unsatisfactorily" (57 or 30%) or "not at all" (14 or 8%) for their program,

- <> 20 (11%) recommended additional required courses in math or computers. The most vocal were Science, Mathematics, and Allied Health faculty.
- <> 24 (13%) said that--for whatever reason--students were deficient in basic computational skills (e.g., addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, fractions, percents, ratios). The most vocal were Nursing, Science, and Psychology faculty.

Of the 80 (38%) who responded that the English 1100/1200 requirement prepared students "unsatisfactorily" (73 or 35%) or "not at all" (7 or 3%) for their program,

- 25 (32%) said that students cannot express themselves on essay questions or orally in class. The most vocal were Psychology and Allied Health Sciences.
- 23 (28%) said that the basic writing skills were needed. The most vocal were the School of Technology and Nursing.
- 9 (11%) said that the English Dept. needed to raise the standards in freshmen composition, add oral communication, and speed reading exercises. The most vocal were HPERS, Sociology, Politica Science and English.