I. DATE: April 19, 1988

TO: Conner Atkeson, Chair of the Faculty
FROM: Susan J. McDaniel, Chairperson
II. Members: Dr. Judy Bernhardt. (Nursing)

Dr. Jimmie Grimsley (HPERS), Secretary
Dr. Paul Haggard (Mathematics)
Dr. Susan J. McDaniel (Biology), Chair
Dr. Barr Taylor (Education), Vice Chair
Dr. Jack Thornton (Business)
Ms. Lisa M. Horn (Student Representative)
Dr. Donald Collins (Library Sciences), Ex Officio
Ms. Miriam Quick (Nursing) (term expired August, 1987)
Dr. Veronica Wang (English) (term expired August, 1987)
III. Committee Meetings:

Date
May 20, 1987
August 19, 1987
October 6, 1987
November 10, • 1987
November 24, 1987
December 1, 1987
December 8, 1987
December 15, 1987
February 2, 1988
February 16, 1988
March 15, 1.988
April 19, 1988

Absences (including excused)
Horn, Taylor
Haggard, Horn
Horn
Thornton, Haggard, Horn
Horn
Horn
Horn, Grimsley, Thornton, Collins
Horn, Collins
Horn, Haggard
Bernhardt, Collins
Haggard
Collins
IV. Reports to the Faculty Senate: On November 12, 1987, the committee reported to the Committee on Committees of the Faculty Senate that its ex-officio representation was entirely appropriate. This annual report constitutes the other necessary communication to the Senate.
V. Specific Instructions: none beyond the committee charge.

## VI. Committee Operations:

The Committee meets as an appelate body. This year no sub-committees were utilized. Students seeking to appeal a late-drop denial by the General College are given an appeals petition by the Chair of the Committee. When the petition is returned, an appellate meeting is scheduled. A copy of the petition is sent to the instructor of the course which the student is attempting to drop
requesting the instructor's comments. The Chair consults with the General College concerning their reasoning for denying the petitioner initially and presents their comments to the Committee along with the student's petition to the Committee and the instructor's response. In addition to these procecures, advice is solicited from the Student Health Service and/or the Counseling Center if the student's case warrants it. At the hearing the student petitioner is given an opportunity to explain to the Committee why he/she believe that the case fits within the University's policy for a late drop. Committee members may then question the petitioner and/or the involved instructor if they have chosen to attend. After the student's presentation, the Committee discusses the petition and makes a decision. The student is notified immediately and, if a drop has been granted, is given a Change of Schedule form signed by the Committee Chair. Minutes of the meeting noting results of petition decisions are sent to each Committee member, to the General College and to the Office of the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affair.
VII. Committee Accomplishments:

The Committee heard 24 appeals; 18 were denied, 5 were granted and one remanded back to the General College with new information. The appeals were as follows:

May 20, 1987

November 10, 1987

November 24, 1987
December 1, 1987
December 8, 1987
December 15, 1987
February 2, 1988

February 16, 1988
March 15, 1988
April 19, 1988

Holly Lynn Parker, denied Kelwyn Sherard Love, granted (for PHYE)
Kelwyn Sherard Love, denied (for PSYC)
Derek Edward Gray, denied William Tingle, granted Billy Darrell Mabe, denied Linda Dobrinske, denied
Roxanne R. Roch, denied
Thomas G. Simpson, granted
Stacy T. Brinson, denied
Ricky Branch, denied
Joseph P. Welsh, Jr., denied
Jackie Lester Armstrong, denied
Derek Gray, denied
James D. Gardner, granted
Herbert Ray Jones, denied
Todd Stewart, denied
Sherry Buck, granted
Richard W. Winstead, denied
Leonard L. Mollo, denied
Willie Eugene McNeill, denied
Richard K. Thurston, remanded back to General College
Richard Howe, denied
Paul J. Bassett, denied
IX. Recommendations: none
X. Evaluation:
A. Structure: quite adequate, given the purpose of the committee
B. Duties: clear
C. Functions: committee actually has more contact with students than the number of petitioners indicates as more students pick up petitions than return them to the committee for hearing D. Personnel: committee's size is adequate, given its responsibilities. Current personnel have discharged their duties conscientiously and with distinction.
XI. Suggestions: none


