## ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COURSE DROP APPEALS COMMITTEE

I. DATE: April 19, 1988

TO: Conner Atkeson, Chair of the Faculty

FROM: Susan J. McDaniel, Chairperson

II. Members: Dr. Judy Bernhardt (Nursing)

Dr. Jimmie Grimsley (HPERS), Secretary

Dr. Paul Haggard (Mathematics)

Dr. Susan J. McDaniel (Biology), Chair Dr. Barr Taylor (Education), Vice Chair

Dr. Jack Thornton (Business)

Ms. Lisa M. Horn (Student Representative)

Dr. Donald Collins (Library Sciences), Ex Officio Ms. Miriam Quick (Nursing) (term expired August, 1987) Dr. Veronica Wang (English) (term expired August, 1987)

## III. Committee Meetings:

Date

Absences (including excused)

May 20, 1987
August 19, 1987
October 6, 1987
November 10, 1987
November 24, 1987
Horn, Taylor
Haggard, Horn
Thornton, Haggard, Horn
Horn

December 24, 1987

December 2 1, 1987

December 8, 1987

Horn, Grimsley, Thornton, Collins

Horn, Collins

February 16, 1988

February 16, 1988

March 15, 1988

Haggard

Haggard

Haggard

Haggard

March 15, 1988

April 19, 1988

Collins

IV. Reports to the Faculty Senate: On November 12, 1987, the committee reported to the Committee on Committees of the Faculty Senate that its ex-officio representation was entirely appropriate. This annual report constitutes the other necessary communication to the Senate.

V. Specific Instructions: none beyond the committee charge.

## VI. Committee Operations:

The Committee meets as an appelate body. This year no sub-committees were utilized. Students seeking to appeal a late-drop denial by the General College are given an appeals petition by the Chair of the Committee. When the petition is returned, an appellate meeting is scheduled. A copy of the petition is sent to the instructor of the course which the student is attempting to drop

requesting the instructor's comments. The Chair consults with the General College concerning their reasoning for denying the petitioner initially and presents their comments to the Committee along with the student's petition to the Committee and the instructor's response. In addition to these procecures, advice is solicited from the Student Health Service and/or the Counseling Center if the student's case warrants it. At the hearing the student petitioner is given an opportunity to explain to the Committee why he/she believe that the case fits within the University's policy for a late drop. Committee members may then question the petitioner and/or the involved instructor if they have chosen to attend. After the student's presentation, the Committee discusses the petition and makes a decision. The student is notified immediately and, if a drop has been granted, is given a Change of Schedule form signed by the Committee Chair. Minutes of the meeting noting results of petition decisions are sent to each Committee member, to the General College and to the Office of the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affair.

## VII. Committee Accomplishments:

The Committee heard 24 appeals; 18 were denied, 5 were granted and one remanded back to the General College with new information. The appeals were as follows:

May 20, 1987 Holly Lynn Parker, denied Kelwyn Sherard Love, granted (for PHYE) Kelwyn Sherard Love, denied (for PSYC) Derek Edward Gray, denied William Tingle, granted Billy Darrell Mabe, denied Linda Dobrinske, denied November 10, 1987 Roxanne R. Roch, denied Thomas G. Simpson, granted Stacy T. Brinson, denied November 24, 1987 Ricky Branch, denied Joseph P. Welsh, Jr., denied December 1, 1987 Jackie Lester Armstrong, denied December 8, 1987 Derek Gray, denied James D. Gardner, granted December 15, 1987 Herbert Ray Jones, denied Todd Stewart, denied February 2, 1988 Sherry Buck, granted Richard W. Winstead, denied Leonard L. Mollo, denied February 16, 1988 Willie Eugene McNeill, denied Richard K. Thurston, remanded back to General College March 15, 1988 April 19, 1988 Richard Howe, denied Paul J. Bassett, denied

VIII. Senate Resolutions: none

IX. Recommendations: none

X. Evaluation:

A. Structure: quite adequate, given the purpose of the committee

B. Duties: clear

C. Functions; committee actually has more contact with students than the

number of petitioners indicates as more students pick up

D. Personnel: committee's size is adequate, given its responsibilities.

Current personnel have discharged their duties conscien-

tiously and with distinction.

XI. Suggestions: none

Susan J. Novaniel Chair