

EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY
FACULTY SENATE MINUTES
January 21, 1986

The Senate met in a special called session on Tuesday, January 21, 1986 in Mendenhall Room 244, at 2:10 p.m., chair Ken Wilson presiding.

Members absent were: Capen (Business), Ferrell (History), Jones (Science Education), Knoke (Aerospace), O'Brien (Medicine), Terrell (Education), and Chancellor Howell.

Alternates present were: Mehne for Laupus (Medicine), Steele for Gallagher (Home Economics), Sadler for Catlett (Library and Information Studies), Broadhurst for Sparrow (Technology), and Holt for South (English).

Agenda Item II. A: Announcements

1. The following Senate resolutions have been approved by Chancellor Howell:

- (85-40) Curriculum Committee Report of October 24, 1985
- (85-41) Credits Committee Policy on Withdrawal
- (85-42) Philosophy and Goals and Criteria submitted by the Ad Hoc Committee on Criteria for Chancellor Selection
- (85-44) Curriculum Committee Report of November 14 & 21, 1985
- (85-45) Report of the Calendar Committee
- (85-46) Libraries Committee Resolution
- (85-47) EPP Committee recommendation 1 & 2 re: Cheerleading
- (85-48) EPP Committee recommendation
- (85-49) Theatre Arts Resolution regarding WZMB

2. Dr. Trenton Davis, Professor of Environmental Health, has been appointed Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Support. Dr. Davis has resigned as Chair of the Faculty Senate Credits Committee since assuming his new role as Associate Vice Chancellor.
3. Associate Professor Anne Briley retired from the University January 1, 1986 leaving a vacancy on both the Faculty Affairs and the Reconsideration Committees.
4. There is a correction to the Spring 1986 Examination Schedule:

The 1:00 T & Th exam should be administered from
2:00-4:00 p.m., Thursday, May 8, 1986
5. There was an error in the memo to all faculty concerning election of Faculty Assembly representatives. The term of alternate representative, Stephen Thomas, Allied Health & Social Work, should have been listed 1985-88 instead of 1983-86.
6. Current UNC policies prescribe that a faculty member must file his or her "written notice of appeal" within ten calendar days of receipt of notice of the decision from which he wishes to appeal. Administrative Memorandum 230 from President Friday clarifies this time limit.

Concern has been expressed by members of the University community that the prescriptions concerning a ten-day limit on filing notice may be understood or interpreted to require the grievant to present his complete appellate case (i.e., appellate record, written arguments, etc.) within the ten-day period. Such was not the intention of the Board of Governors, and no such approach has been followed by this office in responding to requests for review addressed either to the President or to the Board of Governors. Rather, the consistent interpretation has been that the ten-day limit applies only to require that a simple "notice" of request for review be filed in a timely manner. Thereafter, staff of this office responds by prescribing a chronological listing of steps, and corresponding due dates, which are essential to perfection of the appeal for consideration by the appellate body, e.g., submission of the proposed record on appeal, response to such submission by the original decisionmaker, submission of stated grounds for appeal and accompanying arguments, reply by the decisionmaker, etc. Such a calendar is constructed in a manner designed to assure completion of these preliminary steps on a schedule that permits the appellate body to consider and resolve the appeal within the limited timeframe prescribed for its action.

7. The meeting date for the fifth regular Senate meeting is Tuesday, January 28, 1986 rather than Monday.

Agenda Item III A: Agenda Committee Report

Carl Adler reviewed the history and need for procedures to fulfill a balanced slate according to Mr. Kinsey's criteria.

He reported on receiving from John Maiolo objections to item number 7 on the grounds they might be construed as antagonistic, and might forfeit the faculty's privilege to have input into the search procedure.

Eugene Ryan questioned number 5, especially the words "need to" which seem to contradict number 7. It was clarified that "probably should" was the intent of the Agenda Committee.

Constantine Kledaras questioned whether the division included Professional Schools, Medical School and Arts & Sciences.* Gooding questioned if two people from Arts & Sciences and one from the Med School would satisfy the division. The Chair replied in the affirmative.

Beatrice Chauncey commented that there should be representation by the kind of people who know how to examine the applications and read human nature, and perceive who would be a good administrator.

R. Fulghum and R. Morrison questioned the eligibility requirements for the nominees.

Morrison moved that people who are nominated must be in tenure track faculty positions. It was seconded by Boyce and the motion passed as item number 8.

C. Kledaras noted that nominations have been made after careful consideration of the criteria.

The division is Academic Affairs and ~~Arts & Sciences~~ *Medical Affairs*

Ed Ryan asked if any on the current list of nominees do not meet the requirements of number 8. Vice Chancellor Volpe replied that all nominees from Academic Affairs met the requirements, and P. Mehne (alternate for Laupus) noted that Dr. Zubie Metcalf is EPA administrative staff. Dr. Duckett does meet the requirements.

The questions was called on the amended committee report and it passed on a voice vote.

1. Nominations will be accepted from the floor providing prior consent of the nominee has been obtained and information on the nominee's qualifications is made available to the Senators.
2. All nominees should be clearly identified as to unit of origin.
3. All nominees should be clearly identified as to "minority" status.
4. Each of the three positions should be nominated and elected separately.
5. After the first election has been successfully completed, the Chair should specifically mention the character of the nominees needed for the remaining positions, e.g., "Having selected Professor Rocky Wasp from the Department of Abstract English for the first position, we need to select a member from the Medical School and/or a minority/woman for our next nominee".
6. If there is not an election after a given ballot, all nominees with less than ten percent of the total number of votes cast will be dropped from the nominee list prior to the next ballot.
7. All faculty should be eligible for nomination at each stage regardless of their unit of origin or minority status. If, after having given Mr. Kinsey's wishes serious consideration, the Senate ultimately selects three candidates who do not completely mirror the criteria as outlined by Mr. Kinsey, they are the best three choices and should be forwarded to Mr. Kinsey.
8. People who are nominated must be in tenure~~X~~ track faculty positions.

The meeting adjourned at 2:42 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Nancy Mayberry, Secretary *n.m.*
Faculty Senate

S.B.
Sharon Bland, Office Secretary
Faculty Senate