

EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY
FACULTY SENATE MINUTES

OCTOBER 15, 1985

The second regular meeting of the Faculty Senate for the Academic Year 1985-86 was held on Tuesday, October 15, 1985 at 2:10 p.m. in Mendenhall Student Center, Room 244, with Chair Ken Wilson presiding.

Members absent were: Bredderman (Health ^{Sciences} Services Library), Daugherty (Math), Duckett (Medicine), Elmore (Art), Haritun (Faculty Assembly Rep.), Rees (Theatre Arts), Schellenberger (Business).

Alternates present were: Patton for Knoke (Aerospace), Gaiser for Adler (Physics), Chappel for Capen (Business), McAllister for Deters (Counseling Center), Steele for Gallagher (Home Economics).

Agenda Item II: Approval of the Minutes

The Chair announced the following correction to the minutes of September 17, 1985. E. Pierce was present. The minutes were approved as corrected.

Agenda Item IIIA: Announcements

1. You may want to note the Excused Absence Policy from the ECU Bulletin 1984-1986 Page 64, Section 3, Class Attendance Regulations:

Instructors are expected to recognize and honor official University excuses, i.e., excuse students without penalty for absence because of participation in authorized University activities. Verification, if required by the instructor of these authorized absences, may be obtained by the student by contacting the Office of the Associate Dean of Students, Whichard Building. Verification of personal illness may be obtained by calling the Student Health Service. Official written excuses are not issued for personal illness, except in the case of a final examination when a grade of incomplete is recommended.

There is no formal procedure for verifying a death in the family.

2. The Media Board has approved WZMB's request to submit an application for a new frequency that would not interfere with WUNC or WVSP and increased power. If the application is approved, the change of frequency could occur right away but the power increase would need to be discussed further in light of the change of audience that it implies.
3. Please add to the Senate Roster, Robert Fulghum as a Faculty Assembly Representative.

4. The following changes need to be made on the Academic Committee Roster

- a. Sallie Mann has resigned from ECU effective October 6, 1985 and therefore, will no longer be serving on the Faculty Welfare Committee.
- b. Joyce Pettis has taken a Leave of Absence from ECU and therefore, she is no longer a member of the Libraries Committee.
- c. Doug Wilms is no longer in Institutional Advancement and therefore, he is not serving as a representative from that division on the Faculty Computer Committee.

The replacements for these vacancies have not been named.

5. The December meeting which was scheduled to be held in Mendenhall Student Center, Room 221 has been changed and will meet in Room 244.
6. If not present at roll call, Senators should **notify** the Secretary before leaving so as not to be counted absent.
7. Student enrollment increased this Fall by 294 to an enrollment of 14,121. This is the first time that we have topped 14,000. The average SAT score for entering freshmen increased by 15 points from 840 (402V and 438M) to 855 (409V and 446M). The proportion of black students on campus also increased from 10.9% to 11.4%.
8. Congratulations to the School of Nursing upon their 25th anniversary.
9. The School of Medicine will hold a special open house for the "University Family" on Tuesday, October 22, 1985 from 3:00-6:00 p.m. in the Brody Medical Sciences Building. The Radiation Oncology Center will be open from 4:00-6:00 p.m.
10. The Call for Proposals of Teaching Grants and Research/Creative Activity Grants will go out on October 28, 1985 with the deadline for submission being January 13, 1986 at 5:00 p.m. to the Faculty Senate Office, Rawl Annex 140.
11. In the October issue of the ECU Entertainer the October Calendar shows October 18 as the first day of Fall Break. However, Fall Break does not begin until Monday, October 21, 1985.
12. The newly elected Senator from the School of Medicine is Charles Boklage whose term expires in 1987.
13. Warren Baker, WZMB News Director, submitted the following announcement:

The ECU faculty holds a great deal of information...a great deal of untapped information. WZMB is sending reporters into

various areas getting comments from faculty members concerning international, national, state and campus issues. We would appreciate your cooperation in helping the student station disseminate information via the news department. Some of the interviews can be either taped in person or by phone line. Other interviews will not be taped. We hope that you will participate and help WZMB with its various news projects. Thank you.

C. Kledaras asked if the Student Health Services Center was aware of the fact that it is the faculty's right to inquire if a student was seen at the Infirmary and should be excused from an exam. V. C. Meyer replied that there had been some complaints that desk personnel did not always cooperate fully with faculty because of their concern for student privacy rights. Attempts have been made to acquaint desk personnel with the policy that permits them to report if the student was seen in the infirmary and if the complaint was sufficiently serious to miss an exam. If faculty have trouble with desk personnel they should ask to speak directly to Dr. McAllister.

Agenda Item IIIB. Dr. David Stevens, University Attorney
Discussion of Liability Insurance

The Chair explained that Dr. Stevens had volunteered to explain to the Senate the brochure sent to faculty concerning Supplemental Liability Insurance. Dr. Stevens explained the following:

Faculty are covered by a University insurance policy for personal injury suffered in the performance of their contracted duties. They are also covered for involvement in injury to a third party under the N. C. Tort Claims Act, when in performance of their University duties. This insures the Faculty up to \$100,000 and provides the Faculty with an attorney from the Attorney General's Office.

The Supplemental Coverage is to protect faculty from claims over \$100,000 and because it is relatively inexpensive, is well worth the minor cost in terms of peace of mind.

In 1977, in response to a raft of federal regulations regarding civil rights, a University of N. C. system wide state policy up to \$2,000,000 annually was established to protect faculty accused of discrimination against sex, race, religion, etc. or other civil rights violations.

Dr. Stevens made available to the Chair a summary of the insurance regulations and interested faculty are invited to read these in the Faculty Senate Office.

Discussion followed. Stevens explained that the coverage includes field trips and while traveling in a State car or University approved transportation. Faculty interested in purchasing the supplemental coverage should contact the Personnel Office in Business Affairs and ask for the brochure and application.

Agenda Item IIIC: Discussion of Chancellor Search Committee

The Chair drew the Senate's attention to his report concerning previous chancellor searches distributed to Senators prior to the meeting (see attachment 1).

Mr. Kinsey has asked the Faculty Senate to consider establishing an Ad Hoc Committee on Criteria for Selecting a new Chancellor. The Chair asked for the will of the Senate on this and called for discussion. There was no discussion. James LeRoy Smith moved that the Senate elect seven faculty members to a criteria committee. The motion was seconded and passed. Gaiser asked why the number seven. The Chair replied that the number seemed reasonable unless there was an objection. He further clarified that the nominees need not be Faculty Senators. (Res. 85-35)

The following faculty were nominated:

James LeRoy Smith, Philosophy
Nancy Mayberry, Foreign Languages
Frank Saunders, Math
Robert Fulghum, Medicine
Martha Engelke, Nursing
Larry Hough, Political Science
Al King, HPERS

Henry Ferrell was nominated but declined.

A. Crawley moved that nominations be closed and that the slate be accepted by acclamation. The motion was seconded and passed on a voice vote. Henry Ferrell asked for the charge to this committee. In reply the Chair read the last paragraph of his report, beginning with the second sentence (see attachment 1).

James LeRoy Smith asked for further clarification of whether faculty will be on the Search Committee. The Chair replied that Mr. Kinsey has reported that the Faculty Senate is being asked to nominate three faculty members to the Search Committee. This Committee will not be formed until the Spring Semester. Mr. Kinsey would like to attend a Faculty Senate meeting in the Spring to discuss the Chancellor Search.

Smith noted that this time table provides sufficient time for units to consider the matter and to bring nominations to the Senate.

The question was raised concerning whether the Senate should indicate who would call the organizational meeting of the Criteria Committee. The Chair, Ken Wilson, volunteered to do this.

Two more elections (The Committee for Development of Search Procedures, and The Committee for Development of the Campus Interview Procedure) will be scheduled for the next Faculty Senate Meeting.

Agenda Item IIID. Faculty Assembly Report

Larry Hough presented the Faculty Assembly Report (See Attachment 2).

Agenda Item IV: Unfinished Business

A. Governance Committee

Artemis Kares presented the report for the Chair of the Committee, Robert Hursey. The Governance Committee had been charged with reviewing the recommendation of the Senate regarding the eligibility requirements for Senate Committees. The Governance Committee met and reviewed the requirements. They report that they believe amplification of the requirements need to be made in the By-Laws to the Faculty Senate Constitution. They will study the matter further and report later.

Agenda Item V: Report of Committees

A. Curriculum Committee

W. Grossnickle, Chair of the Committee, presented the report as found in the minutes of September 12 and September 26, 1985, except he requested that the recommendation concerning ASAS 2004, Introduction to Japanese Culture, be withdrawn. The actions of the Curriculum Committee as recorded in the minutes of September 12 and 26, with the exception of the references to ASAS 2004, were approved. (Res. 85-36)

B. Credits Committee

1. Counseling Withdrawal Policy

Tinsley Yarbrough reported for the Chair of the Committee, Trenton Davis. He called attention to the proposal attached to the Agenda. Questions from the Faculty were addressed to Dr. Wilbert Ball, Director of the Counseling Center.

He indicated that the recommended proposal would affect eight to ten students annually and that it referred only to withdrawal from the University.

The question was raised concerning what would appear on the student's permanent transcript if the policy were approved. Several Senators expressed concern that an indication of a counseling withdrawal on a permanent transcript could be potentially harmful.

Floor privileges were granted to Ron Speier, Associate Dean of Student Services. He clarified that there are two procedures involved; what goes on internal records and what goes on the student transcript in the Registrar's Office.

Ferrell asked how a counseling withdrawal would affect scholarship students. Speier explained that all students who withdraw must have clearance from the Financial Aid Office. Special cases receive special treatment. Speier clarified that the student presently chooses one of six reasons listed for withdrawal and usually chooses "personal" reasons if the withdrawal is recommended by Counseling personnel. What is done in the Registrar's Office is outside the scope of the present proposal which involves the Counseling Center and similar agencies.

Gooding suggested that no reason for withdrawal should appear on a permanent transcript, only approved withdrawal.

Vincent asked if approving the Credits Committee Proposal would delay such a solution. Vice Chancellor Volpe clarified that the transcript issue is not a part of this proposal but could be addressed later by the Credits Committee.

Ferrell called the question and the motion passed on a voice vote. The proposal from the Credits Committee on Counseling Withdrawal Policy passed on a voice vote. (Res. 85-37)

Denney moved that the Credits Committee be charged with studying the issue of what appears on the permanent transcript in case of withdrawal from school and report back to the Senate at the November session. (Res. 85-38) The proposal passed on a voice vote.

2. Revision of Foreign Language Placement Credit

Yarbrough presented the proposal as attached to the Agenda from the Credits Committee. The proposal passed on a voice vote. (Res. 85-39)

C. Research/Creative Activity Committee

Roger Eldridge, Chair of the Research/Creative Activity Committee reported on a new format adopted by the Committee for research proposals. There are no radical changes. The new format represents a consolidation of elements on the previous form with a few revisions. These include a request for a full vita page and information on funding levels. An evaluation page is an internal instrument which will be shared with the candidate. The call for proposals will go out on October 28, 1985. The deadline for proposals will be January 13, 1986 at 5:00 p.m. Two information sessions relative to the form will be held for faculty on Wednesday, Nov. 6 at 3:00 p.m. and Thursday, Nov. 7, at 3:00 p.m. in Mendenhall 221. A representative from each department should be present. The faculty will receive copies of the new format before the meeting.

M. South questioned Page 2, 3d concerning printing costs. "The Committee

does not fund activities involved in organizing, presenting and/or disseminating the results of the research/creative activity". He noted that this is a criteria issue that should be re-examined. Presently criteria seem locked in to start up funds rather than the charges that appear at the end of a project, and which are also very legitimate and often overly expensive. Vice Chancellor Volpe clarified that page charges and title subsidies are handled through the Office of Academic Affairs, but that South's point was well taken. The original Research/Creative Activity criteria applied to seed money or start up funds and the charges referred to by South are not covered.

Agenda Item VI. New Business

H. Ferrell, noting recent developments reported in the News and Observer concerning athletics, asked for a report from the athletic committee at the next Faculty Senate meeting. The Chair asked if there were any objections and, there being none, agreed to make the request.

With no further business, Kledaras moved adjournment at 3:35 p.m.

Nancy Mayberry *N.M.*
Faculty Senate Secretary

Sharon Bland *SB*
Faculty Senate Office Secretary

Resolutions passed by the Senate:

- 85-35 Development of Ad Hoc Committee on Criteria for Chancellor Selection
- 85-36 Curriculum Committee Report (See Agenda)
- 85-37 Credits Committee: Counseling Withdrawal Policy
- 85-38 Credits Committee: Charged to study the issue of what appears on a student's permanent transcript in the event of withdrawal from school
- 85-39 Credits Committee: Revision of Foreign Language Placement Credit

Attachment 1

TO: The Faculty Senate
FM: Ken Wilson, Chair
RE: Searching for a New Chancellor

At the September meeting, the Senate asked me to review the records and report on the procedures followed during the last two searches for new Chancellors at ECU. This memo contains that history and reports on my recent meeting with Ralph Kinsey, Chair of the current search committee.

In 1977 the process began when Troy Pate, Chairman of the Board of Trustees, addressed the March 22, 1977 meeting of the Faculty Senate. He indicated that the Board had delegated the responsibility for selecting a search committee to him. He planned to have a 13 member committee composed of 5 members selected by the Board of Trustees, 5 faculty representatives (3 nominated by the Senate, and 2 at-large), the Presidents of the Alumni Association and SGA, and one at-large Alumni representative. The 2 at large faculty representatives would be selected from nominations sent directly to Mr. Pate by individual faculty or faculty organizations. At this meeting, the Senate passed a resolution [moved by Ferrell] asking Mr. Pate to allow the Senate to select all 5 faculty representatives.

At the April 19, 1977 meeting, Chair Phil Adler read a letter dated April 14, 1977 from Mr. Pate. He rejected the resolution and indicated that the Senate should select 3 nominees. Under New Business, nominations were taken from the floor for the three people to serve on the Chancellor Search Committee. Nine people were nominated. Discussion was held on whether to use the plurality or majority rule. Grossnickle moved that the Senate continue voting until each successful nominee had a majority. The motion carried. The voting was by secret ballot. The people elected were H. Ferrell, P. Daugherty, and T. Davis.

At the May 17, 1977 meeting, Atkeson presented a resolution from the Faculty Welfare Committee requesting that faculty members on the Chancellor Search Committee be granted release time by their respective departments or schools. The resolution passed.

At this point the committee seems to be operating with the Senate's nominees as members. There is no indication in the record as to whether or not they were granted release time.

The 1981 search first appears in the minutes of the September 22, 1981 meeting of the Faculty Senate. J.L. Smith, C. Adler, and B. Kane try to change the agenda to allow consideration of a

resolution and fail to get the necessary two-thirds vote. {They will try again and succeed later in the meeting when a majority vote is required.}

Under announcements, Tom Johnson, Chair of the Senate, reported on his meeting with President Friday to discuss the search. Friday expressed support for faculty input into the selection process for a new Chancellor. The topic of an interim Chancellor was not discussed.

After Ferrell completed his report on the Planning Commission, J.L. Smith moved three parts of the resolution that he had been trying to get on the agenda. It was accepted by a majority vote. A request was made for written ballots. The motion commends Chancellor Brewer, endorses the Planning Process, and

"(3) requests of President Friday and the members of the Board of Trustees that, following the precedent set in 1977, the Faculty Senate be granted the option of nominating faculty members to serve on the search committee in order to facilitate the cooperative working out of guidelines consistent with the qualitative growth that has been achieved to date."

The resolution passed 31 in favor, 17 against.

On September 28, 1981, Ashley B. Futrell, Chairman of the Board of Trustees sent a letter to Tom Johnson requesting three faculty senate nominees by October 6, 1981. On that same day (September 28), Tom Johnson issued a call for a special meeting of the Senate on Tuesday October 6, 1981. The call included the statement:

"Mr. Futrell has requested that nominees be 'accompanied by a letter which states the qualities and strengths [each] would bring to the Selection Committee.' Therefore, those persons making nominations are requested to provide this information to the Chair in writing, a copy of which may be provided for each Senator by the person making the nomination."

Again, procedures were discussed. Kane moved that the three members be elected separately. This motion failed. Grossnickle moved that no speeches accompany nominations. The motion passed. Ramblen moved that nominations be made according to an alphabetical list of units and ex officio members. The motion passed. Thirty-four people were nominated. Johnson and Lao were elected on the third ballot. Hursey was elected on the fifth ballot.

Kane moved that the Chairman of the ECU Board of Trustees be requested to allow the Executive Secretary of the Chancellor Selection Committee to vote as a member of that Committee. The motion carried. J.L. Smith moved that the nominees make appropriate reports at the Senate meetings. The motion passed.

In a separate letter to all faculty dated September 28, 1981, Chairman Futrell indicated that he would appoint one at-large faculty representative.

At the next meeting of the Faculty Senate (October 20, 1981) the discussion focused on criteria. J.L. Smith introduced a motion requesting of the Chancellor Selection Committee that:

- (i) evaluation criteria be made part of the job description of the position which has already been advertised as vacant,
- (ii) that this job description be circulated as widely as possible in journals and newspapers both in this region and beyond,
- (iii) that the deadline for application be set as February 15, 1982.
- (iv) and that the evaluation criteria include:
 - expertise in shared faculty governance
 - a significant research record
 - demonstrated teaching effectiveness
 - expertise in extra-mural fund raising
 - significant regional and community service at past places of residence

Smith's motion carried and the Chair agreed to deliver a copy of the resolution to Mr. Futrell.

During the discussion, the Chair indicated that the Selection Committee was composed of six members from the Trustees, five faculty, three alumni and one student.

On October 22, 1981, a petition was submitted to the Chair of the Faculty requesting a meeting with Chairman Futrell, the Executive Secretary of the Chancellor Selection Committee (Dr. Joseph Boyette) and the faculty representatives. The session was convened on November 3, 1981. The at-large faculty representatives were Professors *Carolyn Fulghum and Robert Frame. There was extensive discussion but no resolutions were passed. One major focus was the criteria and whether or not they would be made public. No clear answer was given at this time.

**Clinton Downing was an at large representative,
Carolyn Fulghum was an Alumni representative*

At the November 17, 1981 meeting, Chair Johnson urged faculty members to submit names of schools to whom announcements from the Chancellor Selection Committee should be sent. Hursey reported that a fifteen-point listing of criteria the Chancellor Selection Committee would apply in screening applicants and choosing nominees would be released today (November 17). The Chair noted that the only item from the previous resolution not considered was the extension of the deadline for applicants.

At the December 8, 1981 meeting, Lao presented an overview of the Chancellor Selection Committee's activities. The criteria were developed by a subcommittee and approved by the entire committee. They were published on November 17, 1981.

At the January 26, 1982 meeting, Hursey reported that the committee continued to carefully screen the qualifications of the applicants. J.L. Smith moved that procedures similar to those used in 1977 be employed to insure faculty involvement in the interview process. The motion passed.

* * * * *

The 1985-6 search began at the Fall Convocation when Chancellor Howell reported that he intended to resign before June 30, 1986. Mr. Ralph Kinsey, Chair of the Board of Trustees, outlined the search process at that time--

"I know that you are interested in the general approach with will be taken and the anticipated time schedule for the search. We have more than ample time to do an effective job. From now until the first of the year, the Board of Trustees will conduct an institutional analysis of ECU's present condition and future prospects and goals. I hope that you, the faculty, will play the major role in this assessment. Together with other members of the ECU family, we will develop, for adoption by the Board, the criteria by which our new chancellor will be chosen.

"I expect to begin forming the search committee in January or February, 1986. This will provide about 18 months to complete the process. The size of the committee has not been determined. It will consist of members who represent the broad interests of the University community, including the faculty and staff, student body, alumni and general community. I will be seeking the input and recommendations of the faculty and other university groups and organizations relating to the membership of the committee. Appointment to and service on the committee will be at the discretion of the Chair to assure a well balanced committee.

"I am pleased to report that, by and with the approval of President Friday, Dr. JoAnn Bell has accepted my invitation to serve as executive Secretary of the Search Committee. Prior to the appointment of the committee, she will work closely with you

and the board in conducting our insitutional analysis. I welcome her commitment to serve in this capacity.

"After the committee has been organized, Chancellor Howell and President Friday will be invited to meet with the committee to share ideas, advice and guidance. We will also have a public hearing to solicit ideas and recommendations from the community on the criteria for selection.

"Next, we will develop a pool of candidates. Our search will be national in scope. There will be a period of time set for accepting nominations and applications. A screening process will follow to identify those that the committee feels might have the qualifications we seek for this University. The process will narrow the field through evaluations, inquiries and off-campus visitations. Top candidates will be given the opportunity to visit our campus. The faculty, students and University community will participate in this visitation process.

"I would anticipate that sometime during the early Spring of 1987 the committee will be able to recommend at least two names to the Board of Trustees. If the Trustees accept the committee's recommendation, the nominations will then be forwarded to the President of the University of North Carolina System, for consideration and appropriate recommendation to the UNC Board of Governors, which will be the final approving authority.

"We have an outstanding University with more than our share of talented people. I am confident that we will be successful in the important task of conducting a chancellor search."

On October 3, 1985, Ken Wilson (Chair of the Faculty) met with Mr. Kinsey to explore the nature of the search. Several points emerged clearly from the discussion. First, Mr. Kinsey considers the Selection of the new chancellor the most important decision facing ECU. He plans a national search that is designed to actively seek out as many high quality nominees as possible. This will involve educating many people about the positive aspects of ECU so that they will decide to apply. Mr. Kinsey expressed the hope that faculty members individually, as well as the faculty senate, would get involved in this process since they have many contacts with colleagues at other universities that could be used to uncover some highly qualified people who may not consider applying on their own. He hopes that we will spread the work through our networks as well as identifying potential candidates to the search committee. While the search will be national in scope, qualified individuals at ECU will be considered. Mr. Kinsey is also open to any suggestions that we may have for locating additional candidates. The Faculty Senate should consider appointing an Ad Hoc Committee On Procedures for Identifying Chancellor Candidates.

Second, Mr. Kinsey is concerned with the development of the criteria. In the past, the Search committee was delegated the responsibility for developing the criteria which were sent to the Board for approval. During this search, the Board of Trustees will develop the criteria. However, Mr. Kinsey clearly wants faculty input. He is asking for individual faculty to respond either to the closed-ended questionnaire or to write a letter expressing their ideas. He assured me that these will be seriously considered. He also hopes that the Faculty Senate will examine this issue and present its position to him. Mr. Kinsey's comments indicate that he does not expect radical changes in the 1981 criteria, but he feels that the criteria must be carefully re-examined to be certain that they reflect our current situation. The Faculty Senate should consider appointing an Ad Hoc Committee on Criteria for Selecting a New Chancellor.

Third, Mr. Kinsey is planning to appoint a smaller search committee than in the past. The exact size has not yet been determined but Mr. Kinsey has indicated that the Faculty Senate will nominate three faculty members to the Search Committee. The Executive Secretary, Dr. JoAnn Bell, is a member of the committee staff and not a voting member of the committee. Currently Mr. Kinsey is the only member of the committee. He plans to appoint the rest of the committee during Spring Semester (There is nothing for the committee to do right now since the Board of Trustees is working on the criteria). He would like to attend a Senate meeting next Spring to discuss his plans and answer questions, and we should be prepared to hold our election fairly soon after this presentation.

The committee will work over the summer screening applications and selecting people to invite for on-campus interviews. These interviews should be conducted during Fall Semester. Mr. Kinsey is very unhappy with the structure of the on-campus interviews conducted in 1981. He indicated that the same questions were asked repeatedly, and the answers could never be probed. He would like a process that allows depth. He would also like a process that devotes part of the time to "selling" ECU to the candidates. He would like the Faculty Senate to work on designing this new format. The Faculty Senate should appoint an Ad Hoc Committee on Designing On-campus Interviews.

The Criteria Committee needs to be elected at the October Senate meeting. It should review the 1978 and 1982 criteria for selecting a new chancellor, and recommend criteria for the 1986 search to the Faculty Senate. The committee should report on its progress at the November meeting, and make a final report at the December meeting. If the criteria are approved by the Faculty Senate, they will be forwarded to the Chancellor Search Committee. The other two committees may either be elected in October or November since they will be reporting in the Spring.

FACULTY ASSEMBLY REPORT

The Fifty-fourth meeting of the Faculty Assembly of the University of North Carolina convened at Chapel Hill on October 4, 1985.

Several reports were made to the Assembly bringing it up to date on the events of the past four months. Among these were:

R. D. MacMillan reporting on the actions of the General Assembly in the recent session concerning Salaries, the Health Program and Retirement. In regard to the Health Insurance there is not too much good to present in that estimates made during previous years were some \$51 million short of expenditures; though the Assembly did appropriate enough to cover 2/3 of the shortfall with the state employees having premiums increased to cover the additional \$17 million. The request for additional state contributions to the optional retirement program was not granted, but an increase in contribution to the state system from 10.5% to 11.2% was made.

Donald Steadman reported on the creation of the Task Force on Teacher Preparation which was to hold its first meeting October 9 and further information shall be forthcoming.

President Friday and Vice-President Dawson discussed the activities of the Board of Governors Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics, and activities of the NCAA Committee. President Friday expressed disappointment at their watering down of Proposition 48 into the so called "Indexing" of grades and S.A.T. scores to determine Freshman eligibility. Friday indicated that the UNC Board of Governors has mandated compliance with the provisions of Proposition 48 and the Chancellors have been notified that this was no longer something for individual interpretation, but is "Policy" of the University.

Dr. Roy Carroll discussed the 1984-89 Long Range Plan, copies of which were to be presented to the Board of Governors at their October 11 meeting. Further information will be forthcoming on this. Emily Boyce will have these.

In the Second Plenary Session of the day the various committees reported on their plans for the coming year presenting several resolutions. The Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee will be studying tenure provisions on the campuses; the Budget Committee will be reviewing and attempting to extend faculty involvement in the Budgetary process on each of the campuses; the Planning and Programs Committee will be discussing the Lateral entry proposals and will be keeping in contact with the recently appointed Task Force on Educational Programs; Professional Development Committee will be continuing its study of Professional Leave begun during 1984-85; The Welfare Committee will be continuing its study of the Health Care Programs, the Retirement System and are seeking additional information from all faculty on these two issues. They will also begin a study of Summer School payment schedules and

the variations thereof from campus to campus. The Governance Committee reported on the status, as they understood it, of the Presidential Search process and offered a Resolution asking for more faculty input. From news reports and rumor -- though nothing official -- it would appear the process is in its interview stage and there has been no involvement of the Advisory Committee since the Drafting of Criteria back in June, and thus no involvement of any Faculty, contrary to previous Resolution and implied promises. Another resolution which is on the Senators desks was sent. The Assembly also presented for President Friday's transmission to the Board of Governors a Resolution of support for the intent of Proposition 48, that Athletic Committees of the various constituent institutions should include "responsible faculty involvement on all athletic committees. . . and that avenues be explored whereby Senates and Councils might make recommendations to the Chancellors regarding policies on eligibility and policies, with the requirement that such committees also report to the Senate or Councils on each campus.