## 10: Faculty Senators

E: The following was approved by the tenured faculty members of HPERS in a called meeting, Friday, April 20, 1984, $2: 10$ p.a., Minges. Also, it was approved (with minor editing) by the Faculty Governance Comaittee September $10,1984,1: 00$ p.m., Faculty Senate Conference Room.

## Proposed Amendment

## SECTION VIII. VOTING AND HEMBERSHIP ON STANDING COMAITTEES

‥ Voting on: a) A Faculty Senator; b) Unit Internal Affairs.
A faculty euber is eligible to vote who weets these criteria:

1. has the rank of Lecturer, Visiting Professor (Assistant, Associate or Full), Instructor, Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, or Professor,
2. is under yearly contract with the University as a full-time facuity member, and
3. has not less than half a normal teaching or research program (administrators within the unit are exempt from this requirement).
$\therefore$ Voting to Amend the Code
A faculty eaber is eligible to vote who is permanently tenured in the Department of Health, Physical Education, Recreation, and Safety.
f. Voting on the appointment of administrative officials, unit program (quadrenniel) evaluations, and unit administrator (quadrenniel) evaluations.

See ECU Faculty Manual, Appendix L.
I. Membership on Departmental Standing Committeea

A faculty meaber is eligible to serve who:

1. qualifies to vote in $A$.
2. is not a member of the Executive Compittee, and
3. is not already a member of two Departmental. Standing Comittees
4. Membership on Discipline Standing Comittees

A faculty meaber is eligible to serve who:

1. qualifies to vote in A., and
2. is not member of the Executive Comittee.

Faculty Senators
20: The following was approved by the tenured feculty member, of HPERS in a called maeting, April 2, 1984, 2:10 p.a., 142 Jinges, Also, it was approved (witnout editing) by the Eaculty Governance Comnittse Septsmber 10, 1984, $2: 00$ p.w.. Faculty Senote Confacence Room.

SECTIOK KITA. AHENDKENT TO HPERS CODE AS REOUIRED BY APPENDIK C A. Armuni Evaluetion of Faculty Nenbers

Dining aach spring semaster, each faculty womber shall receive an evaluation of his/her performance for the acadenic yasr by the appropricte Discipline Coordinator and the Chair (when appiicable, other Coordinators and/ox Dirgetors will be consulted). This avaluation shall be based on the faculty wewher's perfornance in the areas oz teaching, research/ crative activity, service and other responsibilities. The genersl OI iteria for performance evaluations wathin the Department aro those explained in fppendir $C$ of the Faculty Manual, as well as any approved by the Department and the Vice Chancellor for Acsdemic Affairs.

The relative waight easigned to each area of faculty perforiance say vary asong faculty members in the Departanat but must be doternined in accordance with the following giaidelines:

1. In no case way service be weighed more heavily than either teachiag or research/creative activity, and only in apecial cases msy other remponaibilities be woighted more heavily then teaching or reseach/ crestive activity.
2. Only in special cases may research/creative activity be weighed ore heavily than taaching.

The relative weight will be based on auch factors as the neture of the foculty nember's appointaent, his or her acadenic training and intereats, professionsl standards and expoctations in his/hex teaching field(s), the contintsed professionml developaent of the faculty, aember (inciuciag progrese towards tenure and/or promotion), and the goals of the Departaent. and the Uriversity.

In abaigning the relative waight to be give.. to teaching, research; castive sctivity, sarvice, and othex responsibilities in a faculty sember's amual evaluation, this procedure sill be followed:

Each faculty member, the appropriate Discipline Coordinator, and the Chair of the Department shall make a determination of the relative weight during the spring semester prior to the acadenic year to be evaluated. The determination must be in accord with the guidelines above, and a form staing the assignment of the weighting must be approved by the Chair of the Department before it is official. Following approval by the Chair the for will be filed in the faculty member's Personnel file.

This assignment of relative weight may be changed only for professional reasons and only after there has been a mesting of the Discipline Coordinstor. Chair of the Departsent and faculty member involved. All changes must be approved by the Chair of the Department.

In the event there is disagreement concerning the weighting, either with the initial assignment in the spring semester or with any subsequent change(s) that nay occur, the Chair of the Department hes the final authority to resolve the issue.

## B. Evaluation of manbers of the Exacutive Compittee

During the spring semester, each member of the Executive Comittee shall receive an evaluation of his/her performance for an acadenic year by the Chair. Due to the nature of his/her duties "Other Responsibilities" nay be weighted more heavily than either teaching or research.

