

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE FACULTY GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

- I. DATE: May 24, 1985
TO: James LeRoy Smith, Chair of the Faculty
FROM: Patricia Daugherty, Chair
- II. Membership of the committee (including ex officio members):
Michael Bassman, Foreign Languages
Patricia Daugherty, Biology (Chair)
Larry Hough, Political Science
Artemis Kares, Academic Library Services
Constantine Kledaras, Allied Health
Eldean Pierce, Nursing (Secretary)
Robert Woodside, Mathematics (Vice Chair)
- Ex officio: James LeRoy Smith, Chair of the Faculty
Vice Chancellor Angelo Volpe
- III. Committee meetings (dates and members absent):
August 20, 1984 Organizational meeting
September 10, 1984 (Kledaras)
October 8, 1984 (Bassman, Pierce)
October 22, 1984 (Bassman)
November 12, 1984 (Hough)
January 14, 1985 (Bassman, Smith, Woodside)
March 29, 1985 (Bassman, Smith, Volpe)
- IV. Date of reports to the Faculty Senate during the year:
October 23, 1984 January 29, 1985
November 20, 1984 February 19, 1985
December 11, 1984 April 23, 1985
- V. Specific instructions, if any, given to the committee by the Faculty Senate, other than those found in the committee's constitutional charge.
None
- VI. A brief statement of committee organization, subcommittees, research activities, etc.:
The committee is formally organized and conducts business using Robert's Rules of Order. No subcommittees were utilized during the year. The committee met with representatives of units submitting code revisions.
- VII. List of committee accomplishments including recommendations made to agencies other than the Faculty Senate:
The committee approved and sent to the Faculty Senate Code revisions from the following units:
Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Safety
Chemistry
Academic Library Services
History

Mathematics

English

In approving the HPERS Code, the Faculty Governance Committee made a recommendation to the Faculty Senate regarding the meaning of "code development" in Appendix L. The committee's interpretation was accepted by the Faculty Senate when it approved this code. (Faculty Senate Minutes, October 23, 1984)

The committee reviewed the revised code of the School of Education and returned it to the School with the committee's suggestions for changes. (This code has not yet been returned to the committee.)

The committee considered and recommended an amendment to the Faculty Senate Constitution adding the Health Sciences Library to the list of units allotted a faculty senator.

The committee considered a suggestion that a unit whose senator serves as Chair of the Faculty be represented by an additional senator. The consensus was that unit representation is not a problem.

The committee considered the possible problem of use of anonymous information by selection committees as a result of inclusion of an "Additional Comments" section on evaluation forms. The committee took no action on the matter.

VIII. Citation of the numbers of Senate resolutions that originated with the committee:

- 84-35 (HPERS Code revision)
- 84-36 (Chemistry Code revision)
- 85-1 (Health Sciences Library receiving own senator)
- 85-10 (Academic Library Services Code revision)
- 85-27 (History Code revision)
- 85-28 (Mathematics Code revision)
- 85-29 (English Code revision)

IX. Proposals and/or business to be carried over to next year:

Code revisions received in the Faculty Senate Office, but not considered by the committee: Physics

Codes needing revisions in order to conform to Appendix C include Allied Health, Education, Medicine, Technology.

Revisions in the Sociology/Anthropology/Economics Code are to be considered (Sociology needs to supply committee with ten copies).

Topics suggested for consideration next year: 1. Possible follow-up study of anonymity and selection process; 2. Question of desirability of standardization of evaluation criteria; 3. Lengthening terms of Faculty Senate officers from one to two years. 4. Review of current

policy of allowing only tenured or tenure-track faculty to serve on academic committees (referred from April 24 Senate meeting).

X. Evaluation of the committee:

- A. Structure: satisfactory
- B. Duties: well defined in charge
- C. Functions: satisfactory
- D. Personnel: excellent

XI. Suggestions for improving the effectiveness of the committee:
None