ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CONTINUING EDUCATION COMMITTEE

ed bluoris droaque dens standard specifies that support should be

I. Date: April 12, 1984

To: Faculty Senate

From: Continuing Education Committee

II. Membership of the committee Ex officio: Susan McDaniel, representative for Vice Chancellor for

Academic Affairs

James LeRoy Smith, Chair of the Faculty

Wilbert Ball, Counseling Center

Herman Phelps, Continuing Education

Therese Lawler, Nursing (chair)
Leonard Lilley, Education (vice chair)
Carl Gooding, Business (secretary)
Robert S. Tackers, Psychology
Edith Webber, English
James Eudailey, student

III. Committee meetings (dates and members absent)

August 23 (Tacker)
September 21
November 16 (Tacker, Eudailey)
February 29 (Smith, Gooding, Lilley)
April 18 (Task group met)

- IV. Date of reports to the Faculty Senate during the year: None
- V. Specific instructions, if any, given to the committee by the Faculty Senate, other than those found in the committee's constitutional charge: None
- VI. A brief statement of committee organization, subcommittees, research activities, etc.

Therese Lawler, chair Leonard Lilley, vice chair Carl Gooding, secretary

VII. List committee accomplishments including recommendations made to agencies other than the Faculty Senate.

A task force to investigate the need for evening degree programs was formed and made its report to the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs. The task force reported that there is a need for several degree programs to be offered during evening hours. The groups recommended that the responsibility for organizing and promoting the evening degree programs be assigned to the Division of Continuing Education, specifically the University College. It is clearly understood that the academic responsibility for the programs will rest with the deans and departmental chairpersons. The Division of Continuing Education will be responsible for promotion, recruiting, registration, and other logistical assistance needed by adult students. The program will begin fall, 1984.

The committee discussed two illustrations contained in Standard 9,

published by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, which may be a problem in the future. One illustration deals with funding continuing education. The Standard specifies that support should be consistent with support of all units in the University. The other illustration deals with central coordination of continuing education programs. No specific action was taken.

Herman Phelips Continuing Education

eriol trasey of grants of easting the easting the years were districted the

V. Specific instractions, if any, given to the committee by the Faculty

Senate, other than those found in the committee's constitutional

W. A brief statement of committee organization, subcommittees, research

of shem booldaboomnopen goldbulbons educade Lomosons seddingood dekle .IIV

A task force to investigate the need for evening degree programs was

belong to be offered during evening hours. Engage et ansagong

partieb Lettaves for been a si enedi ded beitogen earen weet ent

anoldsoubs animalded to neighbor on bemisses ed emsancing

formed and made its report to the Vice Charcellor for Acedemic Alfalies.

termes for responsibility for organizating and promoting the evening by

specifically the University College, It is clearly understood that the

diged film margord edil reinebude dinbs vd bebeen eonstataes isoldergof

bos enseb ent hith deer Liw emergong ent wol willdregoneen oinebece

departmental one repensons. The Division of Continuing Education will

andic bus nolistican, rechibing, regulating, relations, not eldinooper ed

We bushard at centedace encited the cut beesto estrimoco ent

Leonard Lilley, Education (vice chair)

(deseds anedman bos seatsb) empireem seatsbers absent

(velill landbeod interl) PS visindel

November 15 (Tacker, Edailey)

(Jam Capris Mest) & Lingh

Americal) ES demoud

September 21

cnow assume

poet .Liet

A STATE LESS TO THE

Therese Lawler chain

Carl Gooding, Secretary

Leonard Lilley, vice onanced

examples other than the Faculty Senate.

- VIII. Citation of resolutions that originated with the committee: None
 - IX. Proposals and/or business to be carried over to next year: None
 - X. Evaluation of committee:
 - A. Structure: very good
 - B. Duties: clear
 - C. Functions: very well
 - D. Personnel: very interested and supportive
 - XI. Suggestions for improving the effectiveness of the committee: None