ANNUAL REPORT OF THE UNIVERSITY CURRICULUM COMMITTEE

- I. DATE: April 8, 1981 TO: Chairman of the Faculty FROM: W.F. Grossnickle, Chairman
- II. Membership of the Committee:

Ex Officio: Chancellor Brewer Dr. Tom Johnson, Chairman of the Faculty Dr. Maier, Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs

(Dr. Myra Cain served as his representative)

1981 Stella Daugherty, Mathematics, Vice Chairman Elected: 1981 John Richards, Education 1981 Bill Grossnickle, Psychology 1981 Brad Foley, Music 1982 Emily Boyce, Library Science, Secretary 1982 Ruth Broadhurst, Nursing Edward Leahy, Geography 1982 1983 George Hamilton, Allied Health Karen Hancock, HPERS 1983 1983 Robert Holt, Philosophy Miriam Moore, Home Economics 1983

III. Committee Meetings (Dates and Members Absent)

August 25, 1980 (Daugherty, Hamilton) September 17, 1980 (Cain) October 2, 1980 (Cain) October 16, 1980 (Richards, Boyce, Cain, Hancock) November 6, 1980 (Boyce, Cain, Hamilton, Richards) November 20, 1980 (Leahy, Foley) December 4, 1980 (Hamilton) (Grossnickle, Hancock) January 15, 1981 February 5, 1981 (Boyce, Cain, Moore) February 19, 1981 March 19, 1981 (Richards) April 2, 1981 (Richards, Boyce, Cain, Holt, Hamilton)

IV. Dates of reports to the Faculty Senate during the year.

The Committee reports at every Senate meeting, save the organizational meeting, through the Committee's minutes. Only when new degrees, changed degrees, or policy changes are made is an active vote of the Senate required; otherwise, the Senate votes "by acquiescence."

V . Specific Instructions Given to the Committee by the Faculty Senate. None

VI. Committee organization, subcommittees, research activities, etc.

None

Annual Report of the University Curriculum Committee Page 2 April 8, 1981

Committee Accomplishments: VII.

> New course proposal form emphasizing responsibility of unit head in stating that resources are available for course or program.

TS TO A TENA

VIII. Citation of Resolution Numbers Originating with the Committee:

The Committee recommended for Senate approval:

- A. New degrees: two majors, two minors
- B. Change degree requirements: 27 majors, six minors
- C. Delete majors or minors: None
- D. Add courses: 74
- E. Minor changes in courses (hours, level, pre-requisites, etc.): 47
- Delete courses: 33 F.
- G. Remove courses from Curriculum Bank: Six
- H. Place courses in Curriculum Bank: Nine
- Approved I. Policy for Retention of courses in the Catalogue: by Faculty Senate March 17, 1981.

The overall number of course and degree changes were up slightly from 1979-80.

IX. Proposals and/or Business to be Carried Over to Next Year: None

X. Evaluation of the Committee:

- A. Structure: Satisfactory
- Duties: Satisfactory, but Committee charge under consideration Functions: Satisfactory, but Committee charge under consideration. D. Personnel: The Chairman wishes to express his gratitude to the Committee's members for their hard work, patience, and assistance. It has been a very real pleasure to work with them.
- Suggestions for improving the effectiveness of the committee: XI.
 - A. The Committee continues to urge that units planning changes be aware of the time required to obtain all approvals necessary for curriculum changes. The effective date for submission of proposals to the Committee, if changes are to be effective the following academic year, will probably be around December 1. If the University should change to a two-year catalogue, the

chairman is not sure what effect this will have on implementation of degree changes.

As usual the committee plans to meet the first and third Thursdays Β. of each month (excluding vacations and examination periods.)

Annual Report of the University Curriculum Committee April 8, 1981 Page 3

> Cooperation of unit heads in scheduling teaching and other assignments for members is appreciated.

C. The Committee sees no reason to change its opinion (expressed in Dr. Ed Ryan's report of 1976-77) that the first year of membership is essentially an orientation period and that written procedures are not an adequate substitute for experience.

D. The Committe's charge is still being studied by the Committee on Committees. The Chairman believes that the Committee's charge may have to be reviewed again after the recommendations of the Planning Commission have been received.

> William Grossnickle Chairman



