FACULTY SENATE

CALL MEETING OF THE FACULTY SENATE, MONDAY, MAY 5, 1980, 1:30 P.M., NURSING 101*

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Special Order of the Day
 - A. Announcements
 - B. A petition containing the names of 21 elected members of the Faculty Senate has been received requesting a Call Meeting of the Senate to consider the Memorandum of the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs dated April 21, 1980, to the Deans and Directors, inviting their evaluation of faculty members for salary increases. (See Attachment)

THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF

- 20 PARTURE VENEZUE TO TOUR SUPPLIED VENEZUE A CONTROLL BOLL BOLL WE SEE

LA TERMINATION INCOMEDITE SINDER STATE OF STATE

THE RESIDENCE OF CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

entercal out and but the Balter out at the best and years and shift and the

37611.703 3 443 3 3 4 4 3

IV. Adjournment

*Note location of meeting.

Office of the Vice Chancellor

for Academic Affairs

MEMO RANDUM

TO: Deans and Directors

FROM: Robert H. Maier

DATE: April 21, 1980

We are rapidly approaching the time for considering salary increases for 1980-81 on the basis of legislative action later this year. Since many people will be away from the campus during the summer, I do not want to wait until the summer to receive your recommendations for the amount of contemplated salary increases which would be meritorious.

I would like you to submit for each faculty member an evaluation using the attached form and the following rating system for each category (teaching, research/creative activity, service) and for the inclusive rating (all categories): Please do not use ratings beyond tenths, e.g.--2.9 but not 2.95.

- 5 Outstanding
- 4 Very good
- 3 Good (average)
- 2 Adequate
- 1 Weak

I will assimilate your individual ratings with my own and when the salary package is approved for the University I will assign dollar amounts to the final rating and transmit my recommendations to the Chancellor for his consideration.

The evaluation of faculty members for salary purposes is extremely important and the quality of the response made on the attached format is very significant. Please take time to be comprehensive and objective. I can assure all that these individual recommendations will be closely scrutinized. Please do not "over-rate." In a population there is a natural distribution range.

RHM/1h

Attachments

Format for ECU Faculty Salary Recommendations, 1980-81

Please submit by May 15, 1980, to the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs. Evaluation based on performance during 1979-80.

Faculty/Staff Member Name

Department

College/School/Division

BD 119 Position Number

Teaching:

Teaching Rating 1 - 5

Include the evaluation of classroom teaching and methods used to evaluate; attach any evidences of student, alumni, and peer evaluation questionnaires; extent and quality of student academic advising and method or source of evaluation; participation on graduate thesis committees, etc.

Research/Creative Activity:

Research/Creative Activity Rating 1 - 5

Include number and kinds of refereed and nonrefereed publications (indicate which); grants
submitted and awarded; exhibitions juried,
invitational, or volunteered (state which), and
origin of evaluation; compositions and books
published; theses completed under the faculty
member's direction; consultancies; conducting;
playwriting and directing, individual performances
in the performing arts; invitational lectureships and speeches; jurying exhibitions and
performances, etc.

Service:

Service Rating 1 - 5

Include institutional and community service. What has the person contributed (quality and quantity) to making ECU a more effective institution and/or the community a more effective place in which to live and work? What is the person's contribution (quality and quantity) to institutional committees; to faculty governance; to the campus planning activities (indicate sources of evaluation); also, include conducting workshops and academic fairs; service on state, regional, and national committees, etc.

Inclusive Rating:

Inclusive Rating 1 - 5

This rating is not to be an average of the previous three ratings but should represent your overall impression of the individual.

Ratings: 5 - Outstanding; 4 - Very Good;

3 - Good (average); 2 - Adequate;

Poundant of the selection of the selecti

Please sylvenia in the religious for the level to

- HOT BEEN LIBREST BEEN BUILD BUILD BEEN BUILD BUIL

thought the file tell feet to sent a could be a file to the file of

Lagin tae with the state of the late of th

LINE WAR BRIDE LEADER LAND BELLEVILLE STROP FOR STROP AND THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF

SVERS TO BE THE RESIDENCE OF LIVE OF LIVE OF LIVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

CONTRACTOR ISSUED BUILDING TO THE STREET

1 - Weak

THE RELECTION OF THE PARTY OF T

Other Comments on the Individual You Would Like to Make:

Name of Person Completing Above Evaluation:

Date

Approved and Submitted By:

Date