Minutes
Faculty Senate of East Carolina University
6th Regular Meeting of 1978/79 Academic Year
27 February 1979
The Faculty Senate met on Tuesday February 27, 1979, at 2:10 p.m. in Mendenhal

The Faculty Senate met on Tuesday, February 27, 1979, at 2:10 p.m. in Mendenhall Student Center, Room 221. The meeting was called to order by the Chair, Henry Ferrell. Upon the calling of the roll, the following members were absent: Tadlock (Aerospace), Kane (Allied Health), Hines (Business), Ward (Continuing Education), Ray (Drama and Speech), Stone (Education), Levey (Education), Mikkelsen (Education), Snyder (Geology), Pories (Medicine), Brinn (Medicine), Kirkpatrick (Nursing), Shackelford (Nursing), Eagan (Nursing), Kim (Political Science), Coble (Science Education), Rhea (Sociology and Anthropology). The following alternates were present: Edward Ryan for Allen (Biology), Conner Atkeson for Price (History), G. Lynis Dohm for Fulghum (Medicine), Wilbur Castellow for Graham (Psychology), and Jerry Tester for Daniels (Technology). The following ex-officio members were absent: Brewer, Holt, Monroe, Howell, P. Adler.

Agenda Item 2: E. Ryan corrected the Faculty Senate Minutes for 30 January 1979 by deleting from page 4, line 5 the words, "Benz for Ray joined the session." The minutes as corrected were approved. (Stone joined the session.)

SPECIAL ORDER OF THE DAY

(Coble, Hines, Snyder joined the session.)

Agenda Item 3A: The Chair made the following announcements and comments:

(Levey, Mikkelsen, Eagan, Tadlock joined the session.)

1. The following letter has been received by the Chair, dated February 26, 1979:

With regard to the actions taken at the Faculty Senate meeting on January 30, the administration is embarking on a program of long range planning which will be done with the assistance of external consultants. We have engaged a Raleigh firm to do a traffic study of the campus which will take several months. It is more than probable that improvements in our parking and traffic flow will necessitate an increase in the parking fee. Recommendations for this increase will be ready for action by the Board of Trustees at the April 7 meeting.

Resolutions 79-5, 79-6, 79-9, and 79-12 are approved. The two Senate resolutions, 79-7 and 79-8 have been referred to Dr. Howell for consideration by the Advisory Committee which reports to him concerning revisions in the code. Finally, resolutions 79-10 and 79-11 do not require any action or the approval of this office.

- The Faculty Senate Office has received the minutes of: Appalachian State
 University (January 15, 1979); Academic Cabinet of UNC-Greensboro (December 6,
 1978).
- 3. Representative Jo Graham Foster has acknowledged receipt of Resolution 79-11.

 The Chairman presented Resolution 79-10 to the offices of Senator Rachel Gray and Representative Thomas Ellis, Jr. Professor R. J. Hursey has expressed the gratitude of the N. C. Federation of Teachers for the Senate's support of the proposal to provide a tax shelter option for faculty members.

 (Smith for Kane and Ward joined the session.)
- 4. Those interested in group insurance, whose coverage has now been increased, should contact Mrs. Harrell of the Personnel Department.

(Mary Ann Rose for Snackelford joined the session.)

5. The Undergraduate Grade Distribution for Fall 1978 is available for inspection in the Faculty Senate Office.

- 6. The President's Report for 1977-78, annually prepared for the Board of Governors by the President of UNC is available for inspection in the Senate Office.
- 7. Two Senators, Professors Betty Levey and Henry Ferrell, have been named to the search committee for the new Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs.
- 8. The Report on Faculty Workload and Academic Tenure in the University of North Carolina can be consulted in the Senate Office.
- 9. The Chair attended the Graduate Council meeting on February 19. General concern included the role of the Council in developing graduate programs at ECU. In the Fall Semester 1978, 931 applications for admission to the Graduate School had been processed. Of these, 788 were accepted for admission, of which only 36 had qualifications below minimum admission standards adopted by the Graduate Council.
- 10. Through a buying service sponsored by the ECU Chapter of AAUP, faculty and staff may purchase personal copies of books in print at the same discount given to libraries by contacting Anne Briley, Serials Department, or Artemis Kares, Reference Department, Joyner Library. The AAUP is beginning a new service for purchasing records and tapes, usually at a discount. For further information on this new service, contact Mary Lou Pelletier, Music Library or Artemis Kares, Joyner Library.

Agenda Item 3B: The report of the meeting of the Board of Trustees was presented by Professor Ione Ryan, Vice Chairman of the Faculty. The Board had met on Saturday, February 17, 1979. Among the topics discussed by the Board were: the revision of the Board's Bylaws; the pedestrian overpass at Tenth Street and College Hill Drive; the possibility of establishing an Institute for Verbal Language Development for Children. Vice Chancellor Howell reported to the Board that the projected enrollment for 1979/80 is 12,200 students. Chancellor Brewer asked the members of the Board to do what they could to defer the move in the Legislature to increase tuition for out-of-state students by 24 percent. The Chancellor also reported to the Board on the progress in the search committees for the new Vice Chancellors.

Agenda Item 3C: The Faculty Assembly Report was presented by Professor Henry Ferrell. (See attachment.)

Eleveli . aul of bestelen peed referred to be. 79-5 have been referred to be.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Agenda Item 4: There was no unfinished business.

REPORT OF COMMITTEES

Agenda Item 5A: The report of the Agenda Committee was presented by Professor Robert Hursey, Chair. (See Faculty Senate Agenda for February 27, 1979.) On a voice vote, the report was approved by the Senate. (See Resolutions Passed 79-13.)

Agenda Item 5B: The report of the Calendar Committee was presented by Professor Robert Gantt, Chair. (See Faculty Senate Agenda for February 27, 1979.) First, Gantt offered the Senate the Summer School Calendar for 1980. On a voice vote, the Calendar was approved.

Second, Gantt presented the Calendar for Fall Semester 1980. Grossnickle asked that in the future the Committee take the calendar of the Greenville City Schools into account. Gantt replied that this would be impossible to do since the City Calendar is not announced early enough. Ayers asked why the Chemistry common exams were listed for May. Gantt replied that this should be edited to show the date to be Saturday, December 13. Muzzarelli asked why a distinction is made between undergraduate and graduate evening courses. Howell replied that the purpose of the

distinction was to give the graduate courses more class time. On a voice vote, the Fall Semester 1980 Calendar was approved.

Gantt then presented the Calendar for Spring Semester 1981. He commented that he believed the Calendar Committee would not be opposed if the Senate decided to move back the Spring break, with Preregistration and Change of Major also changed. With no objection, the Senate agreed to accept Chairman Gantt's recommendation that Change of Major be moved to February 23-March 6; Preregistration would be moved to March 1-6 the Spring Recess to March 8-15, with classes resuming on March 16.

Schmidt moved to amend the Calendar by making Thursday, January 8, Registration Day; Friday, January 9, Drop-add and late registration; and beginning of classes, Monday, January 12. Rasch seconded. Schmidt said that students who have preregistered and paid their fees will not come on campus until Monday, so Friday is not a good day to start. Howell noted that the Calendar provided only two days for Drop-add. South (against the amendment) said the proposed change would reduce the number of instructional days to 70, while the Senate had been providing calendars with 71 instructional days. On a voice vote, the amendment passed. Woodside moved that the last day to drop a course be moved to February 20. Zincone seconded. On a voice vote, the amendment passed. The Spring Semester Calendar for 1981, as amended, was adopted by a voice vote.

Next, Gantt placed before the Senate the Fall Semester Calendar for 1981. The Calendar was adopted by a voice vote.

Next, Gantt placed before the Senate the Calendar for Spring 1982. Gantt noted that on this Calendar, it should be added that classes begin on Friday, January 8. Howell said that usually the change of major has begun before the last day to drop a course. Gantt said that the change of major dates had been suggested by the Registrar. Schmidt moved to amend the Calendar, placing Registration on Thursday, January 7; Drop-Add on Friday, January 8; and Classes beginning on Monday, January 11. Haritun seconded. Gantt suggested that this change might create an imbalance in the number of class days and might be objected to by some of the sciences. Woodside asked that February 19 be the last day on which to drop a course. There was no objection to this request. On a voice vote, the amendment passed. On a voice vote, the main motion, as amended, passed. (See Resolutions Passed 79-14.)

Agenda Item 5C: The report of the Career Education Committee was presented by Professor John Childers, Chair. (See Faculty Senate Agenda for February 27, 1979.) Childers offered an editorial change, whereby page 13, #2 would read: "Increased opportunity for faculty participation." Bolt asked what the Committee intended by the words in the Preamble, "flexibility to change career direction without academic penalty." Childers said that an example would be the possibility of a student's changing his or her Major without a serious loss of credits. Howell said that the Preamble seems to make the statement that the University in all its programs is dedicated to the goal of career education, to producing an "employable product." This could be taken as a change in the purpose of the University. Childers said the Preamble was meant to give the Senate a feeling for what the Committee sees career education to be. The Preamble is meant for information and is not part of the resolution being offered to the Senate. P. Daugherty moved that the words, "(See Preamble)," be dropped from the resolution in paragraph 2. E. Ryan seconded. Haritun spoke against the amendment, pointing out that the Preamble would be helpful in understanding the resolution. On a voice vote, the amendment passed. P. Daughert moved to insert in the last sentence of the resolution the words, "together with the Committee's Preamble." Faulkner seconded. Schmidt spoke against the amendment, stating that it would, if passed, be in effect Senate approval of the Preamble. On a voice vote, the amendment passed. The main motion, as amended, passed on a voice vote. (See Resolutions Passed 79-15.)

Committee on Committees was presented by Professor Rodney Schmidt, Chair. First, Schmidt presented a change in the charge of the University Computer Committee. (See Faculty Senate Agenda for January 30, 1979.) On a voice vote, the resolution passed. (See Resolutions Passed 79-16.)

Secondly, Schmidt offered a change in the charge of the Faculty Welfare Committee. (See Faculty Senate Agenda for January 30, 1979.) On a voice vote, the resolution passed. (See Resolutions Passed 79-17.)

Thirdly, Schmidt reported concerning Senate Resolution 78-41. A subcommittee of the Committee on Committees recommended to the Committee at its meeting of February 12, 1979, that: (1) a consistency in wording in relationship to reporting agencies be established in each committee charge; (2) the agencies to which each committee reports be specified; (3) the Student Recruitment and Retention, Career Education, and Credits Committees be combined; (4) the Course Drop Appeals Committee be retained; (5) the officers of each committee be directed to orient new committee members at the first meeting of each committee; (6) the Committee on Committees should continue its study of the specific charges of each committee. Schmidt said he was presenting the report of the subcommittee for information only. Donnalley said that the Senate resolution had requested a recommendation from the Committee on Committees. She asked if the Committee were making this recommendation now. Schmidt replied that the Committee on Committees does not have a specific recommendation at this point. The Senate had put no time limit on when the Committee would make a recommendation, and it might be better, Schmidt continued, not to put a time limit on it now. Donnalley moved that the Senate instruct the Committee on Committees to make its recommendation concerning reorganization of committees at the April meeting. P. Daugherty seconded. Schmidt asked if this matter pertained to new business. The Chair ruled that it was proper to make the motion, since the Committee on Committees' report has been before the Senate. Ferrell said that it would be better not to put a time limit, since there are many changes that will have to be made in committee charges. Donnalley stated that the motion simply was asking the Committee on Committees to let the Senate know in general what its recommendation is, without going into detail immediately.

On a hand count, the motion failed, 20 for, 21 against. Ferrell resumed the chair.

Agenda Item 5E: The report of the Committee for Teaching Effectiveness was presented by Professor Marie Farr, Chair. Before she began her report, Ferrell read portions of the following letter from the Chancellor, a copy of which had been distributed to the Senators:

I would like to take this opportunity to provide the members of the Faculty Senate with more information on the administration of the proposed student opinion surveys now under consideration by the Senate.

- 1. The survey results would be used for both self-improvement and information for the Chairman which, along with other sources of information, form the basis for recommendations on the faculty member's teaching performance. I cannot emphasize too heavily that the results of such surveys are only one among many ways which the Chairman uses to arrive at that evaluation. The University must never permit any quantitative measure to take precedent over qualitative decisions.
- 2. The personnel committees of the various departments and schools would have access to the surveys if an action is contemplated on tenure, promotion, or re-hiring. This is required under the code. They would not have access to the surveys in years when such actions are not considered.

Agendaricen and The Chair yielded to Vice Chair and alle rendered to Vice Chair and Inches

- 3. If an administrator above the Chairman or Dean (professional school) wishes to see the survey information, it would be in the presence of the Chairman or Dean (professional school).

 In my experience this almost never happens.
- 4. Whenever a survey is to be examined by an administrator the faculty member will be informed.

I do not believe that the action taken by the Senate on February 5
[January 30] with regard to voluntary testing will be successful. The administering of the questionnaire on a voluntary basis will not bring enough results to test its reliability. I would be amenable to having a trial run (not to become part of the personnel file) of both the student survey and the administrative form on a trial basis for all faculty and administrators. I believe this is the only way in which a large enough result will be obtained to check validity.

In closing, I want to express my appreciation to the Committee on Teaching Effectiveness and the Faculty Senate for its serious consideration of the adoption of student opinion surveys.

Ferrell said that the letter constituted an interim statement with regard to using the results of any survey of student opinion and would be in effect until final approval of the new Appendix C, which would regulate the use of the results. Farr presented to the Senate the form that had been developed by the Committee for Teaching Effectiveness. (See Faculty Senate Agenda for February 27, 1979.) The firs' part of the form contains, Farr explained, instructions to the students, along with 22 questions covering the areas considered important by the faculty in the survey taken last year. The instructor may, in addition, construct up to six questions for himself. The instructor would receive a printout of the mean score he had received for each question, along with the mean score on the question received for all faculty members and the mean score for the question in courses at the same level as the course in question. The other questions have to do with student information (29-36), including the students' attitude toward the course, the learning the students feel they have gained from the course, and other data on the student. The Committee will not attempt at this time, Farr said, to weigh the questions. The Committee hopes to find in the trial run some successful way to weigh the questions. The Committee

(Fulghum replaced Dohm and joined the session.) feels they have done the best possible job in formulating the questions. The second part of the form contains a request for the student to comment on the course. Formulating the results of the survey could only be handled by Institutional Research The Committee plans to come back to the Senate in the Fall to review the form. Farr pointed out that the Committee was following the charge of the Committee. The Chair asked that the Senate first consider general questions before getting down to specifi points in the form. C. Adler asked whether departments with their own questionnaire might be able to continue these or whether there had been any discussion of this possibility. Farr replied that this had been discussed but that the Chancellor was in favor of using one form for all faculty members. Pories said that some courses in the Medical School have as many as 19 different lecturers. Some flexibility is needed in doing the survey. Farr said that teaching excellence can be identified only if someone can be measured against a standard and against his peers. Thus it is necessary to compare the response concerning an individual instructor with responses campus-wide. Faulkner asked what the survey would be evaluated against and does the Committee have a standard in written form to act as a model. Farr replied that the instructor would get for each question the mean score for the entire faculty. At present, the Committee does not plan to rank instructors. Kirkpatrick asked if it was the practice at other universities for the results of student surveys to be given to administrators. Farr said she knew of some places where giving the data to administrators was the option of the instructor. It is not a Committee decision, however, in view of the Committee's charge. South said that a number of

people have asked him to express their feelings about student opinion surveys of the faculty. Since these surveys are based on opinion, since the choice of questions influences the results, and since biasing factors are usually present, the reliability of any questionnaire is not certain. The purpose of this survey should be selfimprovement on the part of the instructor. When any other purpose is involved, the focus on teaching is lost. Zincone, on a point of order, asked the Chair to rule whether South's remarks were addressed to the present Committee report, or to the charge of the Committee. The Chair ruled that, in part at least, the remarks were pertinent to the matter at hand. Farr said that the literature the Committee has looked at on student evaluations has generally suggested that two purposes (improvement of teaching and supplying the Administration with information) not be mingled. The Committee has not been asked to develop two separate forms though it could do so if directed by the Senate. With regard to the reliability and validity of student opinion polls, Lawrence M. Aleamoni ["Typical Faculty Concerns About Student Evaluation of Instruction," NACTA Journal (March 1976), pp. 16-23.] has shown them to be capable of being both.

The discussion then turned to specific points in the questionnaire. Schmidt moved to amend the form by eliminating "poor" from the responses. Eagan seconded. Schmidt said he had difficulty distinguishing between "poor" and "inadequate." Farr replied that in grading students, "poor" would merit a D, while "inadequate" would merit an F; thus there is a distinction between the terms. Schmidt said students are not being asked to grade the instructor; if they are, then this is a sinister document. Zincone (against the amendment) said instructors are being graded whether one likes it or not. Eagan (for) said that there would be a logistical problem having six responses for the computer to handle. Hursey suggested that the scale be the same as that used on the form for evaluation of administrators. On a voice vote, the amendment failed. C. Adler asked if any reading level tests have been done on the questions; some students might have trouble understanding them, as when asked about "intellectual stimulation." Farr said the Committee had attempted to phrase the questions so that as little as possible subjective values were reflected in the questions. South moved that the questionnaire be amended so that it have the same responses as those in the evaluation form for administrators: excellent, very good, good, fair, poor, insufficient evidence. Donnalley seconded. On a voice vote, the amendment was adopted. Donnalley moved to amend the second part of the form (page 16 in Agenda) by striking and replacing it with (first sentence) the following: "Commen briefly on (a) weaknesses of instructor, and (b) strengths of instructor." Ed Ryan seconded. On a voice vote, the amendment failed. Bolt moved to amend the instruction to the student by striking the second sentence, along with the "Therefore" from the third sentence. Rasch seconded. Rasch said that this is a trial run, and there is no reason to tell the students that the data will be used for administrative evaluation. On a voice vote, the amendment passed. C. Adler moved to delete question 1. Haritun seconded. It is out of a student's area of expertise to comment on the instructor's level of knowledge. Farr replied that the student can and does form an opinion as to how much the teacher knows. Rhea said that the Senate should understand the implications of adoption of this form. If it is used for administrative evaluation, then it amounts to a management information surveillance system. Farr replied that the Committee is required to do what the charge says. The Chair interpreted the intention of the whole structure to be teaching improvement. It is part of the responsibility of an administrator to see that the teaching in that academic unit is an effective tool. If it is used for that purpose and if there are remedial procedures to help those who need to be improved, then it is a useful document. Hursey asked whether the question before the Senate was how the questionnaire was to be used henceforth. The Chair replied that what was being considered was the composition of the questionnaire. The Senate has already called for a voluntary survey in the spring, with the Committee to come back to the Senate in the fall with the results of the survey. Pories asked if Rhea would expand his comments on the questionnaire. Rhea said that how a teacher instructs will affect the students' perception of his knowledge of a subject. If the survey is going to be used as data in making decisions about pay raises and tenure, then it could change

the methods instructors use. On a voice vote, the motion to delete question 1 failed. P. Daugherty moved that question 21 be moved down to the student information section. Woodside seconded. On a voice vote, the amendment passed. Eagan moved to delete question 34. P. Daugherty seconded. On a voice vote, the amendment failed. Coble moved to delete question 15. Tester seconded. On a voice vote, the amendment passed. Coble moved to delete question 30. P. Daugherty seconded. On a voice vote, the amendment passed. Zincone called the question and Rose seconded. On a voice vote, the call for the question passed. The main motion, as amended, passed by a voice vote. (See Resolutions Passed 79-18.)

Muzzarelli moved that the Committee for Teaching Effectiveness undertake a survey, as adopted, of all faculty during the Spring Semester in order to gather data relative to the viability of the questionnaire, and that the Committee report its findings for the Senate's consideration with regard to formal adoption of the instrument in September. Howell seconded. The Chair said the effect of the motion would be to have a trial run for all faculty so that the instrument may be reviewed and perfected. Tadlock asked if the results will be confidential. The Chair replied that, in keeping with the Chancellor's letter of February 26, the results would be reserved for the Committee. Woodside moved to amend the motion, by adding the words: "Faculty members are to be allowed to see their response to the trial questionnaire for their specific courses upon their request." Haritun seconded. On a voice vote, the amendment passed. On a voice vote, the main motion, as amended, was adopted. (See Resolutions Passed 79-19.)

Agenda Item 5F: The report of the Credits Committee was presented by Professor Bernard Kane, Chair. Kane offered a revision of the report as found in the Agenda. Kane explained that the new proposal from the Committee regulated placement in the Foreign Language program but did not preclude a student's getting bypass credit in the usual way. On a voice vote, the Senate adopted the resolution. (See Resolutions Passed 79-20.)

NEW BUSINESS

Agenda Item 6A: Professor Robert Hursey presented a resolution on FICA. Hursey said the resolution was important, in that faculty members would be penalized if the State adopts the plan of paying FICA but does not pass on to faculty the savings on the plan and does not continue to contribute to employee retirement on the basis of unadjusted gross salaries. The resolution, Hursey pointed out, would be sent to Governor Hunt, Senators Hardison, Mathis, Gray, White, and Allsbrook, and Representatives Bundy, Rountree, Ellis, and Holmes. On a voice vote, the resolution was adopted. (See Resolutions Passed 79-21.)

and the season of the season o

the second of the second of the second se

The meeting adjourned at 5:13 p.m.

THE RESERVE TO SELECT

111285

THE THE PERSON

Cat letaono

William Frid Millors Tares

Viduosia no facture seculos

Eugene E. Ryan Secretary

ter a di ingre inshiestif sort dispez dilli alt di sisted

Sharon Johnston Faculty Senate Office Secretary

Edgeorial VIII esuritation a metalinable interest

VIII Internal States and all all and the

Integrable terrible and more

UNC FACULTY ASSEMBLY REPORT

bevon seconded. On a vote amendment passed bearing passed. The Faculty Assembly met in the General Administration Building on February 16, 1979. ECU delegates Daugherty, Ferrell, Johnson, and Woodside were in attendance. Chairperson Roy Carroll has resigned his position in order to assume the office of Acting Vice President for Planning. Vice Chairperson Helen Caldwell is now Chairperson.

President Friday reported on the six member visit of officers from HEW to eight of the system's institutions, the five predominantly black universities and Chapel Hill, Greensboro, and State. UNC is trying to convince HEW that the system is speeding up its attempts to improve the quality of the predominantly black schools up to the level of the historic white institutions. By 11 March something has to happen on the HEW duplication study. President Friday also gave details of the proposed tuition increases. At present a proposal to increase in-state resident tuition by 10 percent and out-of-state by 24 percent is being considered. In addition, fee remissions will be cut, if the proposal passes, by about one million dollars. Funding of private schools will be increased over the biennium, apparently, by \$150 bringing the total to \$750 per N. C. student enrolled. Should the out-of-state tuition figure be accepted, it would place North Carolina at the top in the continental United States in out-of-state resident charges. In the area of salaries, the merit issue has been raised in the legislature but apparently some of the 7 percent raise monies will be based on merit. In addition, the completed Report on Faculty Workload and Academic Tenure in the University of North Carolina was distributed to the Assembly. Copies will soon be made available for the faculty of East Carolina University. the captained that the new proposel from the Committee regulated placement in the

The Assembly passed a resolution recommending that the Board of Governors, while appropriating monies for private schools and support through the tuition grants, should require similar program planning restraints on the private sector that now operate for the public sector. Vice President Carroll in a detailed discussion of the planning process offered insight into the patterns and problems that accrue in anticipating program needs within the university system. He urged greater faculty participation in the process and hoped that those campuses that do not have faculty planning committees develop them. In a breakdown of budgets for the fiscal year 1976-77, the following allotments were made by the Board of Governors:

-//, the following allotments were made by the Board	of Governors:
Appalachian State University	\$ 32,679,973
East Carolina University	
Elizabeth City State University	6,922,157
Fayetteville State University	10,874,098
North Carolina A & T State University	22,202,449
North Carolina Central University	16,371,592
North Carolina School of the Arts	3,779,104
North Carolina State University at Raleigh	117,714,976
Pembroke State University	6,171,096
University of North Carolina at Asheville	4,064,819
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill	204,984,203
University of North Carolina at Charlotte	22,379,219
University of North Carolina at Greensboro	31,673,247
University of North Carolina at Wilmington	9,289,223
Western Carolina University	22,367,629
Winston-Salem State University	9,815,802
General Administration (Includes ETV Network)	5,785,111
North Carolina Memorial Hospital	57,832,733
Total	\$626,741,460

The Assembly elected a nominating committee and Ferrell was elected chairman. Minutes of the session will be placed in the Reserve Book Room at Joyner Library.

> East Carolina University Delegation to the Faculty Assembly

February 27, 1979

- (79-13) The Faculty Senate approved the attached dates of meetings for 1979/80 for the Faculty Senate and Agenda Committee.
- (79-14) The Faculty Senate approved the attached Summer School 1980, 1980/81, and 1981/82 calendars.
- (79-15) The Faculty Senate approved:
 - WHEREAS, After a review of the status of career education at East Carolina University,
 - BE IT RESOLVED, That the Faculty Senate recommends the establishment of a unified Career Education Program at E. C. U. with the appropriate authority and responsibilities in line with a broad definition of Career Education. Areas of concern to the Senate include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - 1. Systematic dissemination of Career information to all students, faculty, and staff (for example, updating and disseminating the Career Profiles).
- 2. Increased opportunity for faculty participation.
 - 3. Exploration of possibilities for specific course offerings in Career Education.
 - 4. Investigation of the strengths and weaknesses of current Career Education programs on campus.

It is the wish of the Faculty Senate that a copy of the resolution, together with the Career Education Committee's Preamble, be placed before the incoming Vice Chancellor for Student Life for his information.

(See Faculty Senate Agenda for February 27, 1979, for Preamble.)

(79-16) The Faculty Senate approved the revised charge of the University Computer Committee. The revision is underlined.

The University Computer Committee shall interpret the problems and policies of the University Computing Center to the faculty and bring faculty opinions and needs to the Computing Center staff. It shall initiate recommendations affecting academic usage of computer facilities above the department and school level to the Faculty Senate or appropriate authorities. The Committee shall serve as a resource of faculty opinion on computer services and policies. It shall represent faculty interest in the selection of new computing equipment for the University Computing Center or for any academic unit seeking to duplicate existing computing services.

(79-17) The Faculty Senate approved the revised charge of the Faculty Welfare Committee. The revision is underlined.

The Faculty Welfare Committee monitors programs and policies concerned with insurance, annuities, leaves of absence, fringe benefits, and all other programs and policies which affect the general welfare of the faculty or of specific faculty members; recommends to the Faculty Senate and the Chancellor new programs and policies and changes in existing programs

and policies. Six of the elected members of the Committee shall be selected annually by the Committee to serve as members of the Faculty and Staff Benefits Committee.

- (79-18) The Faculty Senate approved the attached instrument for assessing student opinion of instruction.
- (79-19) The Faculty Senate approved:
 - RESOLVED, That the Committee for Teaching Effectiveness undertake a survey using the (79-18) instrument for all faculty during spring semester 1979 to gather data and report findings for Senate consideration for formal adoption of the instrument in September 1979. Faculty members are to be allowed to see their response to the trial questionnaire for their specific courses upon their request.
- (79-20) The Faculty Senate approved the attached foreign language by-pass credit policy.
- (79-21) The Faculty Senate approved:
 - WHEREAS, The Legislative Research Commission's Committee to Study the Tax Shelter of Employee Retirement Contributions has requested continuation to further study the feasibility of providing a tax shelter for retirement contributions for State employees and will, therefore, not sponsor the tax shelter proposal for legislative consideration this session; and
 - WHEREAS, The Governor's Study Committee has recommended to the Advisory
 Budget Commission that the State assume employee Social Security
 contributions and simultaneously reduce employee salaries by the
 assumed amount (said proposals being known as "FICA IIA" and
 "FICA IIB"); and
 - WHEREAS, The Advisory Budget Commission has endorsed the recommended FICA proposal and will promote its adoption in this legislative session; and
- WHEREAS, The chief actuary of the Social Security administration has warned that adoption of the FICA proposal will ultimately disadvantage most workers by reducing future Social Security benefits and, possibly, other retirement benefits unless the State returns to its employees all savings accruing to the State from adoption of the FICA proposal;
 - THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That adoption of the FICA proposal by the
 Legislature shall be accompanied by ratification of the following
 two conditions: (1) that all savings accruing to the State from
 adoption of the FICA proposal shall be returned by across-theboard salary increases to State employees; and (2) that the State
 and its employees shall continue to contribute toward employee
 retirement at the present 9.12% and 6% rates, respectively, on
 unadjusted employee gross salaries, thereby guaranteeing that no
 diminution in State retirement and death benefits shall occur
 as a consequence of the adoption of the FICA proposal.

on the states for the removed teconsistent of the first tracks of the

thances for new programma best solicites best solic

to item per see 9

Table 19 1 deligner

FACULTY SENATE AND AGENDA COMMITTEE DATES OF MEETINGS FOR 1979/80

HACKATAN YTTBREYEND

Summer Februar 1980

2:00	p.m.	Tuesday,	September 11, 1979, Agenda Committee
2:10	p.m.	Tuesday,	September 25, 1979, Faculty Senate
2:00	p.m.	Tuesday,	October 9, 1979, Agenda Committee
2:10	p.m.	Tuesday,	October 23, 1979, Faculty Senate
2:00	p.m.	Tuesday,	November 6, 1979, Agenda Committee
2:10	p.m.	Tuesday,	November 20, 1979, Faculty Senate
2:00	p.m.	Tuesday,	November 27, 1979, Agenda Committee
2:10	p.m.	Tuesday,	December 11, 1979, Faculty Senate
2:00	p.m.	Tuesday,	January 15, 1980, Agenda Committee
2:10	p.m.	Tuesday,	January 29, 1980, Faculty Senate
2:00	p.m.	Tuesday,	February 12, 1980, Agenda Committee
2:10	p.m.	Tuesday,	February 26, 1980, Faculty Senate
2:00	p.m.	Tuesday,	March 4, 1980, Agenda Committee
2:10	p.m.	Tuesday,	March 18, 1980, Faculty Senate
2:00	p.m.	Tuesday,	April 8, 1980, Agenda Committee
		Tuesday,	April 22, 1980, Faculty Senate
2:10	p.m.	(Organiza	April 23, 1980, Faculty Senate ational Meeting)

Final Examinations

UNIVERSITY CALENDAR

Summer School 1980

5 Mondays, 5 Tuesdays, 5 Wednesdays, 5 Thursdays, 5 Fridays, Actual days each term: 1 day for Registration, 1 day for Final Exams

FIRST TERM

May 19, Monday Registration

19779, Aggeradu Committe pe

Classes Begin; Drop-Add and Late Registration May 20, Tuesday

THE OFF

2:10 0

en of Oil

May 21, Wednesday Last day to Register or Drop-Add for

First Term

of 1979, Account Committee Last day to drop a course or withdraw June 2, Monday

without grades 20, 1979, Faculty Sonato

June 23, Monday Classes End

June 24, Tuesday Final Examinations

SECOND TERM

Distry January 29, 1989, Esculty Schains

Registration June 25, Wednesday

Classes Begin; Drop-Add and Late Registratio June 26, Thursday

2:00 p.m. Saluesday, January 15, discouds Committee

Tuesday

Last day to Register or Drop-Add for June 27, Friday Second Term

assitioned sbeena casti Independence Day; No classes July 4, Friday

Last day to drop a course or withdraw July 10, Thursday without grades edsample Faculty Senate Tanada U.S. S.

Classes End July 31, Thursday

Final Examinations August 1, Friday

August 25, Monday

August 27, Wednesday

UNIVERSITY CALENDAR

Fall Semester 1980

(Actual class days:	14 Mondays,	15 Tuesdays, 14 Wednesdays, 14 Thursdays,
		14 Saturdays)

July 28, Monday	Last day for persons holding a bachelor's degree
	to apply for admission to Graduate School for the
The article of Lorent Lorent Lorent Contract	Fall Semester

Faculty Meetings

naround the first continue the line and the continue of the

COLDERED SERVICE ALBERTA

ATTIE GG CH

THE STATE OF THE S

HEALT DESCRIPTION

Drop-Add; Late Registration

August 15, Friday	Last day to pay or secure Fall Semester fee	es
TENDER OF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE	without penalty	

		LEGIS Did say	The second second	. set butnesse.	
August 2	26, Tuesday		Registration (C	lass schedules	of preregistered

students	must be	obtained	by 4:00	p.m.)

August 28, Thursday	Classes I	Begin; Drop-Add;	Late Registration

August 29, Friday	Last day for Drop-Add and Late Registration
	(undergraduate and graduate students); Last day
	to apply for graduation in December

September 1, Monday	Labor Day Holiday	2001 1000

October 9, Thursday	Last d	ay to	drop a	course	or withdraw	from	school
---------------------	--------	-------	--------	--------	-------------	------	--------

October	6 -	17	Change	of	Major

November 26, Wednesday	Last day to remove incompletes given during
	Spring and/or Summer terms, 1980

November 26, Wednesday 10:00	p.m	Thanksgiving	Holidays	begin
------------------------------	-----	--------------	----------	-------

December 1, Monday	8:00 a.m Classes resume

December	9,	Tuesday	Classes	end

The control of the second of t

A Freedition of United and the State of the

FI MONTHER FOR WESTERSTON WESTERSTON FOR THE PORT OF T

The state of the s

The tradition of the state of t

Collinson and the property of the little of

The company of the second seco

EXAMINATION SCHEDULE

Fall Semester 1980

There will be no departure from the printed schedule, except as noted below: All examinations for one credit hour courses and for evening and Saturday classes will be held during the last regular meeting of the class. Classes meeting more than three times a week will follow the examination schedule for MWF classes.

Exception: Examinations in graduate courses (5000, 6000, 7000) meeting at night on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and in the morning on Saturday will be held on the first night or the morning of their usual meeting during the examination period (December 11 through December 18), excluding reading day, rather than on the last regular meeting of the class.

These classes beginning between hours will have the final examination at the time scheduled for the hour during which the class begins (e.g., 9:30-11:00 TTh will meet the exam schedule of the 9:00 a.m. TTh class).

Common examinations will be held according to the following schedule:

Two-hour examinations in Economics 2223 and Accounting 2401 and 2521, will be given on Saturday, December 13 from 8:00-10:00 a.m., 10:00-12:00 a.m., and 2:00-4:00 p.m. Students will be notified which time the examination in each course is scheduled.

French 1001, Spanish 1001, German 1001

and French 1003 . . . Thursday, December 11, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

French 1002, Spanish 1002, German 1002

and Spanish 1003 . . . Monday, December 15, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Geography 1000 . . . Tuesday, December 16, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

todamened at noitaubuty wor viegs of

Chemistry 0150, 1120, 1150, 1160, 2620 . . . Saturday, December 13, 8:00-10:00 a.

Physics 1251, 1261 . . . Saturday, December 13, 12:00-2:00 p.m.

Mathematics 1063, 1065 . . . Friday, December 12, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Other examinations will be held on Thursday, December 11; Friday, December 12; Monday, December 15; Tuesday, December 16; Wednesday, December 17; and Thursday, December 18.

Times	Class	Regularly
	Meets	

Time and Day of Examination

militia sinsin - congetto not

1.10003		I THE COLOR	
	Classer resume	m.c. 00:8	
8:00 MWF		2:00- 4:00,	Thursday, December 11
8:00 TTh		2:00-4:00,	Friday, December 12
9:00 MWF		2:00- 4:00,	Monday, December 15
9:00 TTh		2:00-4:00,	Tuesday, December 16
10:00 MWF		2:00- 4:00,	Wednesday, December 17
10:00 TTh		2:00-4:00,	Thursday, December 18
11:00 MWF		8:00-10:00,	Thursday, December 11
11:00 TTh		8:00-10:00,	Friday, December 12
12:00 MWF		8:00-10:00,	Monday, December 15
12:00 TTh		8:00-10:00,	Tuesday, December 16
1:00 MWF			Wednesday, December 17
1:00 TTh		8:00-10:00,	Thursday, December 18
2:00 MWF		11:00-1:00,	Thursday, December 11
2:00 TTh		11:00-1:00,	Friday, December 12
3:00 MWF		11:00-1:00,	Monday, December 15
3:00 TTh			Tuesday, December 16
4:00 MWF		11:00-1:00,	Wednesday, December 17
4:00 TTh		11:00-1:00,	Thursday, December 18

MARKINGS TO I

SPEC DEST

UNIVERSITY CALENDAR

Spring Semester 1981

(Actual class days: 14 Mondays, 14 Tuesdays, 14 Wednesdays, 14 Thursdays, 14 Fridays, 14 Saturdays)

December 10, Wednesday

Last day for persons holding a bachelor's degree to apply for admission to Graduate School for the Spring Semester

December 29, Monday

Last day for continuing students to pay or secure

Spring Semester fees without penalty

January 8, Thursday

Registration (Class schedules of preregistered students must be obtained by 4:00 p.m.)

January 9, Friday Drop-Add; Late Registration

January 12, Monday

Classes begin; Last day for Drop-Add and Late

Registration (undergraduate and graduate students);

Last day to apply for graduation in May

February 20, Friday Last day to drop a course or withdraw from school

BELLE BELLESON TO LESSES DE LES BERNETE LE CONTRE DE MALES DE MALE

February 23-March 6 Change of Major

March 1 - 6

Preregistration for Fall Semester and
Summer Sessions

March 8 - 15
(Sunday to Sunday)
Spring Recess

March 16, Monday 8:00 a.m. - Classes resume

April 15, Wednesday Last day to remove incompletes given during Fall Semester, 1980

April 20, Monday State Holiday, No classes

April 27, Monday Classes end

April 28, Tuesday Reading Day

April 29, Wednesday Exams begin

May 2, Saturday Common exams

May 6, Wednesday 10:00 p.m. - Exams for Spring Semester close

May 8, Friday Commencement

AND THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PARTY OF THE

of the state of th

Spring Semester 1981

There will be no departure from the printed schedule, except as noted below: All examinations for one hour courses and for evening and Saturday classes will be held during the last regular meeting of the class. Classes meeting more than three times a week will follow the examination schedule for MWF classes.

Exception: Examinations in graduate courses (5000, 6000, 7000) meeting at night on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and in the morning on Saturday will be held on the first night or the morning of their usual meeting during the examination period (April 29 through May 6), excluding reading day, rather than on the last regular meeting of the class.

These classes beginning between hours will have the final examination at the time scheduled for the hour during which the class begins (e.g., 9:30-11:00 TTh will meet the exam schedule of the 9:00 a.m. TTh class).

Common examinations will be held according to the following schedule:

Two-hour examinations in Economics 2223 and Accounting 2401 and 2521 will be given on Saturday, May 2, from 8:00-10:00 a.m., 10:00-12:00 a.m., and 2:00-4:00 p.m. Students will be notified which time the examination in each course is scheduled.

French 1001, Spanish 1001, German 1001
and French 1003 . . . Wednesday, April 29, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

French 1002, Spanish 1002, German 1002
and Spanish 1003 . . . Thursday, April 30, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Geography 1000 . . . Monday, May 4, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Chemistry 0150, 1120, 1150, 1160, 2620 . . . Saturday, May 2, 8:00-10:00 a.m.

Physics 1251, 1261 . . . Saturday, May 2, 12:00-2:00 p.m.

Mathematics 1063, 1065 . . . Friday, May 1, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Other examinations will be held on Wednesday, April 29; Thursday, April 30;
Friday, May 1; Monday, May 4; Tuesday, May 5; and Wednesday, May 6; as

Times	Class	Regularly
	Meets	

follows:

Time and Day of Examination

Meet	5	Time and	Day of Examination
		Sing rectally	and the second s
8:00	MWF	2:00- 4:00,	Friday, May 1
8:00	TTh	2:00-4:00,	Monday, May 4
9:00	MWF	2:00- 4:00,	Tuesday, May 5
9:00	TTh	2:00- 4:00,	Wednesday, May 6
10:00	MWF	8:00-10:00,	Wednesday, April 29
10:00	TTh	8:00-10:00,	Thursday, April 30
11:00	MWF	8:00-10:00,	Friday, May 1
11:00	TTh	8:00-10:00,	Monday, May 4
12:00	MWF	8:00-10:00,	Tuesday, May 5
12:00	TTh	8:00-10:00,	Wednesday, May 6
1:00	MWF	11:00-1:00,	Wednesday, April 29
1:00	TTh	11:00-1:00,	Thursday, April 30
2:00	MWF	11:00-1:00,	Friday, May 1
2:00	TTh	11:00-1:00,	Monday, May 4
3:00	MWF	11:00-1:00,	Tuesday, May 5
3:00	TTh	11:00-1:00,	Wednesday, May 6
4:00	MWF	2:00-4:00,	Wednesday, April 29
4:00	TTh	2:00-4:00,	Thursday, April 30

August 24. Monday

August 26, Wednesday

October 12 - 16

UNIVERSITY CALENDAR

Fall Semester 1981

(Actual class	days:	14 Mondays,	15 Tuesdays,	14 Wednesdays,	14 Thursdays,
			14 Saturdays		

July 27, Monday	Last day for persons holding a bachelor's degree to apply for admission to Graduate School for the
	Fall Semester

Faculty Meetings

Drop-Add; Late Registration

Preregistration for Spring Semester

August 14, Friday	Last day to pay or secure Fall Semester fee	S
	without penalty	

					Contract the second
August 25. Tuesday	Registration	(Class	schedules	of	preregistered

August 23, 1 ucsuay	McRiperaeron (orang penegates of Lo-
	students must be obtained by 4:00 p.m.)

			,			
August 27,	Thursday	Classes	Begin;	Drop-Add;	Late	Registration

August 28, Friday	Last day for Drop-Add and Late Registration
	(undergraduate and graduate students); Last day
	to apply for graduation in December

September 7, Monday	Labor Day Holiday	

October 8, Thursday		Last day t	o drop a	course o	r withdraw	from school
---------------------	--	------------	----------	----------	------------	-------------

October 5 - 16	Change of Major

November 25, Wedn	lesday	day to remove :	incompletes	given during
	spri	ng and/or summer	r terms, 198	31

November 30,	Monday	8:00 a.m.	-	Classes	resume

December	8,	Tuesday	Classes	end
December	8,	Tuesday	Classes	e

THE MARKET SOUTH TO SEE THE SECOND SE

Fall Semester 1981

There will be no departure from the printed schedule, except as noted below: All examinations for one credit hour courses and/or evening and Saturday classes will be held during the last regular meeting of the class. Classes meeting more than three times a week will follow the examination schedule for MWF classes.

Exception: Examinations in graduate courses (5000, 6000, 7000) meeting at night on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and in the morning on Saturday will be held on the first night or the morning of their usual meeting during the examination period (December 10 through December 17), excluding reading day, rather than on the last regular meeting of the class.

Those classes beginning between hours will have the final examination at the time scheduled for the hour during which the class begins (e.g., 9:30-11:00 TTh will meet the exam schedule of the 9:00 a.m. TTh class).

Common examinations will be held according to the following schedule:

Two-hour examinations in Economics 2223 and Accounting 2401 and 2521, will be given on Saturday, December 12 from 8:00-10:00 a.m., 10:00-12:00 a.m., and 2:00-4:00 p.m. Students will be notified which time the examination in each course is scheduled.

French 1001, Spanish 1001, German 1001

and French 1003. . . Thursday, December 10, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

French 1002, Spanish 1002, German 1002

and Spanish 1003 . . . Monday, December 14, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Geography 1000 . . . Tuesday, December 15, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Chemistry 1120, 1150, 1160, 0150, 2620...Saturday, December 12, 8:00-10:00 a.m.

Physics 1251, 1261 . . . Saturday, December 12, 12:00-2:00 p.m.

Mathematics 1063, 1065 . . Friday, December 11, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Other examinations will be held on Thursday, December 10; Friday, December 11; Monday, December 14; Tuesday, December 15; Wednesday, December 16; and Thursday, December 17.

Times	Class	Regularly
	Meets	

Time and Day of Examination

1.1000		Time and	Day OI Examination
8:00 8:00 9:00 10:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 12:00 1:00	MWF TTh MWF TTh MWF TTh MWF TTh MWF TTh	2:00- 4:00, 2:00- 4:00, 8:00-10:00, 8:00-10:00, 8:00-10:00, 8:00-10:00, 8:00-10:00, 11:00- 1:00,	Wednesday, December 16 Thursday, December 17 Thursday, December 10 Friday, December 11 Monday, December 14 Tuesday, December 15 Wednesday, December 16 Thursday, December 17 Thursday, December 17 Thursday, December 10 Friday, December 11 Monday, December 11 Monday, December 14
12:00	TTH MWF TTH MWF TTH TTH	11:00- 1:00, 11:00- 1:00, 11:00- 1:00, 11:00- 1:00, 11:00- 1:00, 2:00- 4:00, 2:00 4:00,	Thursday, December 10
4:00	TTh	2:00-4:00,	Tuesday, December 15

ALTERON !

HWEL-OD-OI

HIM OF I

THE ROOM

7191 1000

are core

UNIVERSITY CALENDAR

Spring Semester 1982

(Actual	class	days:	14	Mondays,	14	Tuesdays,	14	Wednesdays,	14	Thursdays,
	1600.245	ing brie			-	Saturdays)				

December 9, Wednesday	Last day for persons holding a bachelor's degree to apply for admission to Graduate School for
to sair em fonds, noon recor	the Spring Semester

December 28, Monday	Last day for continuing students to pay or
A CO THE BOOK SHIP OF THE WAY	secure Spring Semester fees without penalty

January 7, Thursday	Registration (Class schedules of preregistered
	students must be obtained by 4:00 p.m.)

January	8,	Friday	Drop-Add;	Late	Registration
				Charles of the Control of the Contro	0

January 11, Monday	Classes begin; Last day for Drop-Add and Late
	Registration (undergraduate and graduate students);
	Last day to apply for graduation in May

February 19, Friday	Yand Jase da	1	and weight danger Commen makes 1
redruary 13. Friday	Last day to	grop a course	or withdraw from school
		arab a course	or me condition we can observe

February	22	-	March	5	Change	of	Major	
----------	----	---	-------	---	--------	----	-------	--

March 1 - 5	Preregistration	for Fall	Semester and Su	mmer
	Sessions			

March 7	- 14		
(Sunday	to Sunday)	Spring Rec	ess

March 15,	Monday	Clacene	macuma	0.00	
march 13,	Monday	Classes	resume -	0:00 8	L.M.

April	12,	Monday		State	Holiday;	No	Classes
					,		

April 14, Wednesday	Last day to remove an incomplete given during
	Fall Semester, 1981

A 1	21	3/ 7			
April	20,	Monday		Classes	end

TENYER AVELEGRAPH LODEL BUSIEF

Seviele Library, on the Legist

Per Tittle Webstell of the South

the state of the s

Spring Semester 1982

There will be no departure from the printed schedule, except as noted below: All examinations for one credit hour courses and/or evening and Saturday classes will be held during the last regular meeting of the class. Classes meeting more than three times a week will follow the examination schedule for MWF classes.

Exception: Examinations in graduate courses (5000, 6000, 7000) meeting at night on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and in the morning on Saturday will be held on the first night or the morning of their usual meeting during the examination period (April 28 through May 5), excluding reading day, rather than on the last regular meeting of the class.

Those classes beginning between hours will have the final examination at the time scheduled for the hour during which the class begins (e.g., 9:30-11:00 TTh will meet the exam schedule of the 9:00 a.m. TTh class).

Common examinations will be held according to the following schedule:

Two-hour examinations in Economics 2223 and Accounting 2401 and 2521, will be given on Saturday, May 1, from 8:00-10:00 a.m., 10:00-12:00 a.m., and 2:00-4:00 p.m. Students will be notified which time the examination in each course is scheduled.

woint to see all

French 1001, Spanish 1001, German 1001

and French 1003 . . . Wednesday, April 28, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

French 1002, Spanish 1002, German 1002

and Spanish 1003 . . . Thursday, April 29, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Geography 1000 . . . Monday, May 3, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Chemistry 1120, 1150, 1160, 0150, 2620... Saturday, May 1, 8:00-10:00 a.m.

LENGIS TO THE TENTON TIES.

Physics 1251, 1261 . . . Saturday, May 1, 12:00-2:00 p.m. Mathematics 1063, 1065 . . Friday, April 30, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

Other examinations will be held on Wednesday, April 28; Thursday, April 29; Friday, April 30; Monday, May 3; Tuesday, May 4; and Wednesday, May 5, as follows:

Times	Class	Regularly		
	Meets			

Time	and	Day	of	Examination
(O) (E) (O)				

Meets	Time and Day of Examination
8:00 MWF	8:00-10:00, Wednesday, April 28
8:00 TTh	8:00-10:00, Thursday, April 29
9:00 MWF	8:00-10:00, Friday, April 30
9:00 TTh	8:00-10:00, Monday, May 3
10:00 MWF	8:00-10:00, Tuesday, May 4
10:00 TTh	8:00-10:00, Wednesday, May 5
11:00 MWF	11:00- 1:00, Wednesday, April 28
11:00 TTh	11:00-1:00, Thursday, April 29
12:00 MWF	11:00- 1:00, Friday, April 30
12:00 TTh	11:00-1:00, Monday, May 3
1:00 MWF	11:00- 1:00, Tuesday, May 4
1:00 TTh	11:00- 1:00, Wednesday, May 5
2:00 MWF	2:00- 4:00, Wednesday, April 28
2:00 TTh	2:00- 4:00, Thursday, April 29
3:00 MWF	2:00- 4:00, Friday, April 30
3:00 TTh	2:00- 4:00, Monday, May 3
4:00 MWF	2:00- 4:00, Tuesday, May 4
4:00 TTh	2:00- 4:00, Wednesday, May 5

INVENTORY FOR STUDENT OPINION OF INSTRUCTION (Part I)

To the student:

Please complete the following statements so that they convey as accurately as possible your opinion of the instructor's performance in this course. Do not write your name on this form. Your opinions are to be anonymous and you should feel free to answer honestly.

All responses will be tabulated and after the semester is completed your instructor will receive a summarized report of responses to each item. Please answer carefully and thoughtfully.

	(Specific instructions for responses.)
Cou	rse name, number, and section
1.	The knowledge which the instructor had of the subject seemed to be _ExcellentVery GoodGoodFairPoorInsufficient evidence
2.	The instructor's level of interest in the subject seemed to beExcellentVery GoodGoodFairPoorInsufficient evidence
3.	The clarity and audibility of the instructor's speech was _Excellent _Very Good _Good _Fair _Poor _Insufficient evidence
4.	The instructor's decision in regards to the amount of assignments was _ExcellentVery GoodGoodFairPoorInsufficient evidence
5.	The contribution of assignments to your understanding of the subject was _Excellent _Very Good _Good _Fair _Poor _Insufficient evidence
6.	The clarity with which course requirements were made known was _Excellent _Very Good _Good _Fair _Poor _Insufficient evidence
7.	The intellectual stimulation you received from the teaching was _Excellent _Very Good _Good _Fair _Poor _Insufficient evidence
8.	The instructor's responsiveness to student difficulties within the class was <u>Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Insufficient evidence</u>
9.	For the purpose of evaluating your learning, the amount of testing was Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Insufficient evidence
0.	The instructor's willingness to help students outside the class was _Excellent _Very Good _Good _Fair _Poor _Insufficient evidence
1.	The fairness of the instructor in grading was _Excellent _Very Good _Good _Fair _Poor _Insufficient evidence
2.	The opportunity provided by the instructor to ask questions was _Excellent _Very Good _Good _Fair _Poor _Insufficient evidence
3.	The instructor's information to the class early in the semester as to how the grading system would work was
	ExcellentVery GoodGoodFairPoorInsufficient evidence
4.	The clarity with which the instructor explained material was Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Insufficient evidence

79-	18 (Continued)
15.	The extent to which examinations covered material emphasized in the course wasExcellentVery GoodGoodFairPoorInsufficient evidence
16.	The time allowed to complete exams was _ExcellentVery GoodGoodFairPoorInsufficient evidence
17.	The clarity with which course objectives were made known to you was _ExcellentVery GoodGoodFairPoorInsufficient evidence
18.	The clarity of phrasing in examination questions was _ExcellentVery GoodGoodFairPoorInsufficient evidence
19.	The instructor's preparation for class seemed to be _Excellent _Very Good _Good _Fair _Poor _Insufficient evidence
20.	The extent to which the course objectives were achieved was _Excellent _Very Good _Good _Fair _Poor _Insufficient evidence
21-2	6. (Questions supplied by instructor)
	STUDENT INFORMATION
27.	When you registered, was this a course you looked forward to taking? Yes No
28.	The contribution of the textbook(s) to your understanding of the subject was Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Insufficient evidence
29.	Your satisfaction with your own contribution to the course is _ExcellentVery GoodGoodFairPoor
30.	The grade you expect to receive in this class is A B C D F I
31.	Your class is Freshman Sophomore Junior Senior Grad. Other
72	Voum cov in Female Male

34.

33. Your grade point average to date is 3.5-4.0 3.0-3.4 2.5-2.9 under 2.5

34. Is this course In your major In your minor General College requirement An elective Other TOOL DUCK TOOK SHOLLDOXE

the principal series of the principal principa

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

and the second series and the second series and the second series and the second series and series are series and series and series and series are series are series and series are series are series and series are series

the Interpresentation of the state of the St

(Part II)

On this page write any comments which you believe would help the instructor to understand his/her level of effectiveness in the course. After the semester is completed, this page will be sent to the instructor.

Constitute treatministration to the contract of the contract o

established in the language of the language of

Assisbly dreinil with the book wish book book are delicated

FOREIGN LANGUAGE

To determine the University level of foreign language study at which students may most profitably continue the language(s) they took in high school, a placement test is required of all students who have only high school credit in foreign language(s) and wish to earn college level credit at East Carolina University. (Read carefully the section headed PLACEMENT TESTING.)

Students who take the placement test may also receive by-pass credit for language levels 1001 through 1004, but only upon satisfactory completion of validating procedures administered on this campus, as follows:

- 1. If they place in language 1002, they will receive by-pass credit for level 1001 upon receiving a passing grade in language 1002;
- If they place in language 1003, they will receive by-pass credit for levels 1001 and 1002 upon receiving a passing grade in language 1003;
- If they place in language 1004, they will receive by-pass credit for levels 1001, 1002, and 1003 upon receiving a passing grade in language 1004;
- 4. If they place above language 1004 on the written exam, they will receive credit for levels 1001, 1002, 1003, and 1004 upon applying for and demonstrating proficiency on a departmental qualifying test.

Entering students who plan to continue their language study are strongly advised to do so as soon as possible after admission to the University. Any questions concerning placement and by-pass credit should be directed to the Chairperson of the Department of Foreign Languages and Literatures.

Students who have not studied a foreign language previously, as well as those who wish to study a different language from the one studied in high school, may earn credit beginning with level 1001.

(Note: Existing policy may be found on page 41 of the current catalog.)