

FACULTY SENATE MINUTES

December 17, 1974

The Faculty Senate met on Tuesday, December 17, 1974, at 2:00 p.m. in Room 101, Nursing Building. The following members were absent: McGee, Ross, Distefano, Grimsley. The following alternate was present: Tom Johnson.

The following corrections were made in the minutes for November 12, 1974. On page two beginning in the fourth line from the top, the sentence concerning the statement made by Mr. Grossnickle should read "Mr. Grossnickle stated that on March 22, 1973, the Curriculum Committee had endorsed the concept of a minimum of approximately 15 quarter hours of free electives for all Baccalaureate degree programs." Also on page 2 in the third paragraph, the first sentence should read "With respect to item 2, Ms. P. Daugherty stated that she didn't know if the committee objected and the Chairman of the Faculty Affairs Committee, who was present, stated that the Faculty Affairs Committee did not object to having the charge changed so that the Faculty Welfare Committee had the responsibility of considering fringe benefits and other compensation." On page 2, the seventh line from the bottom, the word "eminent" should be "imminent." On page 3 under New Business, the word "unit" in the seventh line should be "union." Mr. Moeller moved that the minutes for November 12, 1974 be approved as corrected. The motion was seconded and passed.

SPECIAL ORDER OF THE DAY

The Chairman announced that due to the resignation of Bart Reilly a vacancy existed on the Credits Committee. Due to the small number of volunteers to serve on this committee, anyone interested in serving is asked to please contact Mr. Woodside within one week after these minutes are distributed. Mr. Yarbrough volunteered. In the interest of balance on the committee, it is requested that others volunteer in order that a proper selection may be made.

The Chairman stated that he had been informed that a new Committee of Solicitation had been formed. He also had learned that the committee considers anything that is placed in the Student Union. The recommendations passed by the Faculty Senate in November will be sent to the new committee.

The Chairman stated that people had been asking him about the rumor that the Board of Trustees planned to amend the Code so that department chairmen and deans would have veto power. He asked the members of the administration who were present if they would clarify the situation. Dr. Holt stated that he did not know of any such plans.

The Chairman commented about the meeting of the Faculty Assembly at which President Friday reported that the raises recommended by the Advisory Budget Commission were 5% and 0%; the only capital improvement approved was for the ECU Medical School. The Advisory Budget Commission also approved the doubling of aid to private schools. Several resolutions were passed at the meeting, one of which concerned the waiver of tuition for faculty at all constituents of the University. A need for better communications between campuses was discussed. The letter written by Mr. Ferrell to President Friday was mentioned. The letter requested President Friday to investigate a situation where a member of the Faculty Assembly had been reprimanded by his Chancellor concerning statements made before the Faculty Assembly. Mr. Ferrell pointed out to President Friday that the Faculty Assembly could not be a forum where faculty viewpoints may be expressed if this type of thing was allowed to continue. Mr. Ferrell also commented on the meeting. He suggested that the faculty write to the representatives in the legislature with regard to faculty salaries and other problems. Mr. Ferrell stated that he would get the names of the representatives

and make them available. Collective bargaining was openly and specifically mentioned at the meeting. It was stated that for each 1% raise it costs the State \$33,000,000. It was commented that other state employees get across the board raises while University faculty raises are based on merit. The question was raised as to what merit means in comparison at the various campuses such as Chapel Hill and Pembroke. It was suggested that salaries above \$20,000 be frozen with the money for raises being used on a merit basis to those with salaries below \$20,000. The question was also raised as to why new programs such as the ECU Medical School and the veterinary school should be funded if present programs cannot.

Mr. Woodside announced that Mr. Richard Lennon of the Computing Center will cooperate with Mr. Mauger and Mr. Thornton in processing the faculty evaluation undertaken by the Instructional Survey Committee last spring if they will let him know what they want done.

Mr. Woodside asked the Continuing Education Committee to look into the recommendations on outside employment as it refers to continuing education.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

There was no unfinished business.

REPORT OF COMMITTEES

A. Curriculum Committee

Mr. Grossnickle presented the recommendation concerning a second undergraduate degree (see Faculty Senate Agenda for December 17, 1974). The recommendation was approved.

B. Calendar Committee

Mr. Pennington presented the Calendar for 1975-76 (see attachment to the Faculty Senate Agenda for December 17, 1974). Ms. Bond moved that the calendar be returned to the committee with the request to give more time between Winter and Spring quarters. Mr. W. Collins seconded. Mr. Pennington stated that this would either push the end into June or shorten the Easter break. Mr. Ferrell commented that if you have exams on the last day, then you will still be grading them on registration day if there is not a break between quarters. Mr. C. Adler moved to amend the motion to direct that the time lost be made up at Easter. Mr. P. Adler seconded. The question was called. Mr. C. Adler's amendment passed. Bond's motion to refer back to the committee as amended did not pass. The Calendar was approved with the following editorial changes for each quarter under the Examination Schedule: under Exception, change "and Saturday morning" to "and in the morning on Saturday" and under common examinations, Accounting "252" should be "152."

C. Traffic Committee

Mr. Hankins presented the report of the Ad Hoc Traffic Committee. He reviewed the charge of the committee to study the entire parking and traffic situation on campus. The planning classes collected data on the number, types, location and design specifications of parking spaces plus parking sign locations and characteristics. A survey was made of the traffic flow and turning movements at strategic internal and peripheral locations from 7:30 to 9:00. Also, a survey was made of bicycle concentrations. A brief summary of the data obtained indicated 3530 parking spaces with most of them 90° parking. There was substantial variation in the design

specifications even within similar types of spaces. There was an absence of motorcycle spaces. There has been little change in the ratio of staff and student parking. There are 1260 bike rack spaces mainly on the original campus. The survey showed 1205 bikes parked outside of the racks (the racks were not necessarily in full use) with clustering in several places such as at Minges. The most heavily traveled intersections were Tenth and College Hill and the Wright Circle entrance off Fifth Street. Because of the limited data base available, the committee has not produced a series of recommendations to deal with specific campus traffic problems--a study of far greater detail would be needed for that. Increased concern regarding pedestrian and bicycle safety on campus is warranted. Studies should be undertaken of those areas on campus where a clear element of danger exists from the mix of pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists, notably the College Hill and Tenth Street intersection and the area near the Croatan. Mr. Stephenson and Mr. Hankins have made suggestions. Some changes have been made but major changes are needed and costly. A comprehensive campus-planning effort is needed immediately. Mr. Bob Lucas and Mr. Hankins were appointed as resource persons to the Subcommittee on Budget and Buildings of the ECU Board of Trustees in April 1974. ECU's 1975-76 requests to the Advisory Budget Commission included a request for \$100,000 for preparation of an updated master plan for development of the University's buildings, grounds and physical facilities. Given the fact that such a planning effort is envisioned within the near future, the committee arrived at a series of recommendations related to the proposed effort.

1. Representatives of the administration, faculty, staff, and students should be involved in all facets of the campus-planning process.
2. A campus-planning board should be organized with the above representation. This board should serve as an advisory body reporting directly to the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs, and when appropriate, to the ECU Board of Trustees.
3. Upon establishment of a planning board, a planning office, ideally with a resident planner, should become operative.
4. Information regarding the activities of the campus-planning board should be made available to the campus community as promptly as possible through the campus newspaper and/or other suitable media.
5. Copies of campus-planning documents should be made available to the University community at Joyner Library and/or through other sources.
6. Until work is begun on a new campus plan, the campus-planning board should be involved in all facets of the campus-planning process, for example, circulation and parking problems.
7. If the administration does not establish a campus-planning board prior to the preparation of a new campus plan, the Faculty Senate should establish a standing committee on campus planning and development matters. Specific topics such as parking and circulation would be handled by subcommittees or ad hoc committees which would report to the main committee. Hopefully, this formula would facilitate faculty input in the planning process in the following ways:
 - a) Give faculty input in decision-making process either directly or to a campus-planning board or to the faculty representative on the Board of Trustees subcommittee.
 - b) Avoid creation of a one-shot ad hoc committee.
 - c) Keep faculty advised of existing campus conditions and problems.

- d) Make the faculty more aware of the importance of campus planning and the availability of campus-planning documents and information.

Mr. Hankins stated that additional data will continue to be made available and that the planning classes could collect much of the pertinent data. For example, a class is now collecting data on Reade Street for the city.

Mr. Ferrell moved that the Senate accept the report. Mr. Caspar seconded. Mr. C. Adler asked if this would go to the Committee on Committees for their consideration. Mr. Woodside indicated that it would. Mr. Ferrell's motion to accept it passed.

The Chairman, noting that Mr. Hankins had asked to be replaced, then asked Mr. C. Adler to serve as Chairman of the Ad Hoc Traffic Committee until such time as the Committee on Committees makes its recommendation. Ms. Nichols and Ms. Shea asked Mr. C. Adler to investigate why the parking lot behind the Nursing Building was blocked off all day when meetings were to be held in one of the rooms of the building in the afternoon and why, when only about six cars are involved, is it necessary to block off the entire lot. Mr. C. Adler asked that questions which anyone wished the committee to investigate please be sent to him in a letter.

D. Ad Hoc Screening Committee

Mr. Campion stated that by December 18, the Screening Committee will have "processed" 12 codes, leaving a backlog of 3, with 9 promised, and 10 or 11 unaccounted for.

Mr. Campion then presented the Geography Department Code with the recommendation that it be approved with the proviso that corrections be made. Mr. Chestang was present from the Geography Department. The following corrections should be made: Everywhere the phrase "permanent tenured" or "tenured" appears, it should be replaced by "permanently tenured." On page 4 following II, add "(elected annually)." Also on page 4 under II 1., change phrase to "Associate or Full Professor." Beginning on page 5, line 4 from bottom, place an "e" in front of the line and renumber the letters from "a" through "f" with "1" through "6." On page 8 under IV, add to the last sentence the phrase "in the department, whereafter it must receive the approval of the Faculty Senate and the Chancellor." On page 8 under V, delete the phrase "and after adoption of the University Code by the Board of Trustees and the approval of the code by the Faculty Senate and the Chancellor" and replace it by the phrase "and upon its approval by the Faculty Senate and the Chancellor." On page 7 in the middle of the page, put a "III" in front of "Guidelines for . . ."

Ms. Wilder pointed out that on page 3, a reference was made to Schedule C of the Faculty Manual which in the future could change. Mr. Chestang stated that an editorial change would be acceptable to the Geography Department. Thus, on page 3, line 2 from the top, replace the phrase "Schedule C of the Faculty Manual" with the phrase "that portion of the current Faculty Manual that deals with matters of salary and tenure." Mr. Martinez pointed out that there was nothing in the entire Geography Code about dismissal. Mr. Chestang stated that in considering rank, salary, tenure, and moving along toward tenure it was felt that the weeding out was done before tenure. Mr. T. Williams felt that dismissal was implicit in dealing with tenure, promotion, etc. Mr. Ferrell stated that most codes include the term dismissal and dismissal of someone permanently tenured must be included. Mr. Ferrell moved that the word "dismissal" be inserted in the appropriate places on pages 3 and 4. Mr. Martinez seconded. The motion passed. Mr. C. Adler noted that the ECU Code does not call for the code to deal with salary--could this be illegal? Mr. Hoots observed the absence of the democratic process for evaluation and handling of merit raise. Mr. Brown asked about the problem of evaluating student teacher supervisors and other spots of professionalism where fields overlap. Mr. Campion stated that the Screening Committee could ponder it. Mr. Reep asked the Screening Committee for a routine Amending and Enabling Clause. Mr. Campion stated the Committee had dealt

with this. The question was called. The Geography Code as amended passed. Mr. Woodside stated that 6 copies should be sent to Chancellor Jenkins. In the interest of conservation, please use the back of the paper, too, in copies sent to the Senate.

NEW BUSINESS

A. Foreign Languages Department Petition to the Senate

In the Foreign Languages Department Petition (see attachment to Faculty Senate Agenda for December 17, 1974), it was pointed out that "1974" should be "1973." Mr. T. Williams moved the petition be adopted. Mr. Brown seconded. Mr. C. Adler asked if the period of time wasn't set by the Board of Trustees. The motion passed.

B. Proposal to Change Catalog

Mr. C. Adler moved that the proposal (see attachment to Faculty Senate Agenda for December 17, 1974) be approved. Ms. J. Jones seconded. The motion passed.

C. Inquiry Addressed to the Faculty Chairman

With regard to the inquiry addressed to the Faculty Chairman concerning the ECU Code and the Selection Committee for the ECU Medical School, Mr. Ferrell stated that the issue had been raised to him. The Board of Trustees adopted a procedure by which deans of professional schools be selected. The concern was that in the code 3/5 of the committee was to be elected from the faculty of that unit. Mr. C. Adler moved that the Chairman request of the Chancellor as to why the specifications of the Code were not followed in the appointment of the ECU Medical School Search Committee. Mr. Martinez seconded. In the discussion, it was apparent that the Chancellor was told to appoint the committee by President Friday. It was also stated that in raising the question no one was asking that the committee which had been appointed be dismissed. Mr. C. Adler's motion passed.

D. Proposed Resolution From the Library Faculty

Ms. Wilder moved that the resolution (see Faculty Senate Agenda for December 17, 1974) be accepted. Mr. Brown seconded. Mr. Ralph Scott brought an exhibit to show the deterioration of the books due to mildew. Also, some types of microfilm when not stored at the proper temperature disintegrate into a gas which then destroys other things, including the metal bookcases. Mr. Rees stated that the electronic equipment in the library is sensitive to changes of temperature with large repair bills as a result. It was stated that Mr. Russell had exhausted everything he knew to do. Mr. Bearden stated that the Senate should express itself concerning the problem of its main resource. Mr. P. Adler stated that he was in favor of backing up the library faculty in this. Mr. Ferrell moved to amend the resolution to insert the phrase "and to prevent irreparable damage to the resources of the library" after the word "staff." Mr. Grossnickle seconded. The amendment passed unanimously. The resolution as amended passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 4:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Stella Daugherty
Secretary