I. Date: April 30, 1973

To: Robert Woodside, Chairman of Faculty Senate
From: Thomas H. Johnson, Chairman Career Education Committee
II. Membership of Committee:

Alice Arledge
Ruth Broadhurst Bea Chauncey Trenton Davis

| William Durham | David Lunney |
| :--- | :--- |
| Susan Jewe1 | Oscar Moore |
| Thomas Johnson | Cheryl Olmstead |
| Lu Langstrom | Dorothy Satterfield |
|  | Malcolm South |

David Lunney
Oscar Moore

Dorothy Satterfield Malcolm South
III. Meetings of the Committee:

January 22, 1973; February 1, 1973; February 15, 1973; Apri1 10, 1973; and April 25, 1973. The final meeting is scheduled for May 10, 1973.
IV. Reports to the Faculty Senate during this school year:

None to date. (This is a new Committee).
V. Questions discussed by the Comittee during the year:
A. Structure, scope and function of the Committee.
B. Definition of Career Education.
C. Various possibilities for funding of an administrative position and office of Director of Career Education.
D. Faculty participation in career planning and preparation of East Carolina University students.
E. Possible establishment of Career Information Center in Cooperation with the Counseling Center, the Career Planning and Placement Service and the General College including information on each major offered on campus and career opportunities available for graduates.
F. Student involvement in planning and preparation for the worlds of work and leisure.
G. Career education and exploration emphasis in the new Competency-Based Teacher Preparation Guidelines recommended by the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction and approved by the North Carolina Board of Education.
H. Study of CAREER EDUCATION. A Report of the North Carolina Career Education Task Force and its implications upon the work of this Committee.
VI. Policy recommendations made by the Committee:

None to date. (This is a new Committee).
VII. Responses to the Committee's recommendations by the appropriate agencies:

None to date. (This is a new Committee).
VIII. Committee's evaluation of:
A. Its structure - appropriate.
B. Its duties - appropriate.
C. Its function - challenging tasks.
D. Its personnel - enthusiastic Committee.

# Final Report of the Recruitment Committee 

1972-1973
I. Date: April 30, 1973

To: Chairman, Faculty Senate Vice Chancellor and Dean

From: Paul E. Waldrop, Jr., Chairman Recruitment Committee
II. Membership of the Committee

John Ayers
Ruth Boxberger
K. H. Hu

Granville Spry
Bob Tate
Richard Wells

Admissions
School of Music
Chemistry
Student Government Association
School of Technology
Allied Health and Social Professions
III. Meetings: The committee met on the following dates:

Sept. 14, Oct. 19, Nov. 16, Dec. 13, Jan. 30, Feb. 13, Mar. 29, April 17 and April 27.
IV. Interim Report to the Faculty Senate:

See minutes of Faculty Senate for February 20, 1973
V. Questions discussed by the committee during the year.
A. Items requiring action by Faculty Senate. See policy recommendations below.
B. Items not requiring action of the Faculty Senate. See recommendations filed with Faculty Senate on February 20, 1973.
C. Addenda from subsequent meetings.

1. The Recruitment Committee recommends that emphasis be placed upon recruitment of special students., e. g., veterans, handicapped, honor students, minority students, specially talented students and provisionally qualified students.
2. The Committee recommends a review and promotion of registration of E.C.U. in Overseas Data Banks. It recommends advertising of the language orientation programs now available at NCSU and inclusion of such information in E.C.U. Information Bulletin.
3. The Committee recommends that each school and department review its recruiting efforts and where practicable assign an advisor from both its faculty and student body to assist in counseling potentially interested students. It is further recommended that transportation facilities be provided for school and departmental recruiters on a regular basis.
4. The Committee recommends that schools and departments look anew at junior college and community college two-year graduates for recruitment purposes.
5. The Recruitment Committee strongly urges the Faculty Senate to establish a guidance, remedial, and tutorial program for students who enter the probationary summer program.
VI. Policy recommendations made by the Committee.

Under Item VI Policy recommendations the committee moved and passed a policy change as follows: ECU Faculty Manual page 10, item 9 reads: "The Student Recruitment Committee recommends policies governing recruitment and orientation of students entering East Carolina University. In addition, the committee makes special recommendations to the Dean of Admissions and to the Associate Dean of Student Affairs for Men regarding special recruitment and orientation problems relatinc to minority groups." The committee requests that the Faculty Senate delete the words which are underlined above, "For Men" and "relating to minority groups."

The last sentence would then read ". . Associate Dean of Studen: Affairs regarding special recruitment and orientation problems."
VII. Responses to the Committee's recommendations by the appropriate agencies.
A. The Admissions Committee invited the chairman of the Recruitment Committee to sit in on one of its meetings. A good understanding of the workings of the Adm?siions Committee was thus obtained.
B. Consultation with members of th. Guidance Department concerning student services resulted in greater understanding of student needs and present services available.
VIII. Committee Evaluation of:
A. Its structure:

The structure seems adequate.
B. Its duties:

Its duties ace adequately described in the faculty manual.
C. Its fur=tions:

The cormittee functions quite well. There has always been a quorum.
D. Its perciluic...

The peasonne: of the comaictee have taken initiative in making availa! information to the cowsittee requiring considarable outside visitacion a 1 effort. Memberis hage tended to represent fairly and impartia? iy ail facets of tise Usirersity in the deliberations of the committee.

## RESOLUTION

CONCERNING THE EMPLOYMENT OF RELATED PERSONS


#### Abstract

WHEREAS, decisions concerning the employment, evaluation, promotion and compensation of academic personnel should be based in every instance on considerations of individual merit, and WHEREAS, the policy recently adopted by the Board of Governors of the University of North Carolina prohibits decisions being made on individual merit and qualification in some cases, The Faculty Senate of East Carolina University respectfully requests the Board of Governors to reconsider its Resolution Concerning the Employment of Related Persons and that the Roard of Governors attempt to prevent nepotism (familial advantage) in a fashion other than one that prevents qualified persons from obtaining supervisory positions in the University.


On October 24, 1972, the ECU Faculty Senate, recommended that the following statement be added to the Faculty Manual.
"East Carolina University has no prohibition against appointment of members of the same family to the faculty nor any limitations on their subsequent advancement. Faculty members shall neither initiate, nor participate in institutional decisions involving a direct benefit (initial appointment, retention, promotion, salary, leave of absence, etc.) to members of their immediate families."

