

## FACULTY SENATE MINUTES

March 20, 1973

The Faculty Senate met on Tuesday, March 20, 1973, at 3:00 p.m. in Room 101, Nursing Building. The following persons were absent: Fabisch, Bailey, Lawler, Lunney, Douglas, Grimsley, Martinez, Distefano, Phelps, Lowe, Hampton, Richardson, Marshall, Jones.

Mr. Scott moved that approval of the minutes be postponed until the April meeting as several senators had not received copies. The motion was seconded and passed. The secretary asked that those who had not received copies please identify themselves so that copies could be forwarded to them.

### SPECIAL ORDER OF THE DAY

Mr. Woodside reported that the Faculty Assembly had met February 23, 1973, at Chapel Hill. By-laws were drawn up and accepted and officers were elected. Professor Henry C. Cook of North Carolina State University was elected Chairman; Professor Marilyn M. Jody of Western Carolina University, Vice Chairman; and Professor Vernon Clark of North Carolina Central University, Secretary.

Mr. Woodside reported that an Ad Hoc Committee had been formed to draw up administrative procedures to implement the new drop procedures passed at the February 20, 1973 meeting of the Faculty Senate. Dr. Charles Cullop is the Chairman of the committee.

Mr. Woodside read the comments made by the Administrative Council concerning the Departmental Code. The Council felt that further consideration should be given to the following points: 1) Clarification of the definition of full-time faculty, 2) What happens to a chairman with regard to salary, etc., who is voted by a department to return to teaching? 3) The Code contains an ambiguous statement pertaining to the rank of administrative officers. Can an administrative officer be classified as faculty? 4) The Chairman is responsible to the Dean, but to whom is the faculty responsible? 5) The Affirmative Action Plan must be recognized as part of this Code. 6) The Code must be broadened to be workable for the Library and the Divisions of Health Affairs and Continuing Education. 7) Should faculty evaluation be incorporated into the Code?

He also read a letter from Robert Morgan, Chairman of the East Carolina University Board of Trustees suggesting the inclusion of a clause in the Code relating to equal opportunity employment before it is considered by the Board. Mr. Woodside noted that he had referred this letter to the Faculty Affairs Committee and suggested that they work with Mr. Charles Cullop to draw up a statement that could be submitted to the Faculty Senate at its April meeting.

Mr. Woodside announced the reappointment of Dr. Charles Bland to the Board of Directors of the Student Union.

Mr. Ferrell moved that the Faculty Senate approve the following resolution: Resolved, that the Faculty Senate of East Carolina University extend an invitation to the members of the Board of Trustees of East Carolina University to meet with and speak to the Senate on May 15, 1973 if the schedule of the Board allows for such an opportunity. Mr. Keusch seconded. The resolution was unanimously approved.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

There was no unfinished business.

NEW BUSINESS

The Chairman stated that if there were no objections he would like to consider the election of the nominating committee as the next order of business since it would expedite matters if the ballots were counted while other business was conducted. There were no objections. Mr. Adler, Mr. Satterfield, Mr. Bailey, Mr. Martinez, Mr. Gartman, Mrs. Perry, Mr. Giles, and Mr. Steelman were nominated. Mr. Resnik moved nominations cease. Mr. McGee seconded. Mrs. Susan McDaniel and Mr. Fred Regan acted as tellers. The seven persons elected to the committee were Mr. <sup>Carl</sup> Adler, Mr. <sup>John</sup> Satterfield, Mr. <sup>Carl</sup> Bailey, Mr. <sup>W</sup> Martinez, Mr. <sup>W</sup> Gartman, Mrs. Perry and Mr. Giles.

REPORT OF COMMITTEES

## A. Ad Hoc Governance Committee

Mr. McDaniel presented the Constitution as revised by the committee (see attachment to Faculty Senate Agenda for March 20, 1973) and stated that the major changes were Section XV in which the Senate can set its own by-laws, on page 7 where the duties of the Vice Chairman were expanded and in Section V and VI concerning organization and representation. Mr. Resnik asked if the committee had considered changing the name to University Senate. Mr. McDaniel replied that it had not discussed any name change. Miss Steer asked that the places referring to how many constituted a quorum be clarified. The quorum on page 2 refers to the General Faculty and the quorum on page 11 refers to the Faculty Senate.

Mr. Adler moved that the statement "The Senate may establish and promulgate procedures for selecting alternates for elected and ex-officio members." be inserted before the last paragraph of Section VI. Mr. Yarbrough seconded. Mr. McDaniel was asked how the committee felt about the amendment to which he replied that the committee did not consider the amendment since it could mean someone new every meeting. Mr. Adler stated that he interpreted it to mean a process of electing an alternate. Voting rights of such an alternate would be decided upon when and if the Senate wished to implement the provision. The motion passed.

Mr. Scott asked for comments as to why 50 was considered the optimum number of members. Mr. McDaniel stated that studies of faculty governance have shown that this seems to be the best as larger bodies tend to lose efficiency and debate is inhibited.

Mr. Howell moved that the phrase "or unless he notifies the Chairman of the Faculty in writing that he has forwarded the proposal to the Board of Trustees or to the Board of Governors." be added to the last sentence of Section XIII. The motion was seconded and passed.

Mr. Yarbrough moved that the bottom line of page 2 read "instructor or above and who are tenured or are under yearly contracts with." Mr. Adler seconded, the motion passed.

Several questions were asked about apportionment and it was pointed out that no unit can have less than one representative. Mr. Scott moved that paragraph 1 on page 3 be replaced by the paragraph "Each School or Department shall be entitled to representation in the Faculty Senate on the following basis: 1-15 faculty members, 1 representative; 16-30 faculty members, 2 representatives; 31-45 faculty members, 3 representatives; 46-60 faculty members, 4 representatives; 61-75 faculty members, 5 representatives." Mr. Leith seconded. An explanation of the new system was made and it was pointed out that when projections were made the representation very closely reflected the proportion of faculty members of the various schools and departments. Mr. Adler called for the question. Mr. Yarbrough seconded. The question was called. Mr. Scott's amendment failed to pass.

Miss Bell asked where the Health Affairs Library would stand as it is not under the jurisdiction of the main Library nor the School of Allied Health. Mr. Ferrell stated that if the Faculty Governance Committee (see attachment to Faculty Senate Agenda for March 20, 1973) proposed by the Committee on Committees passes, this committee would be able to settle the question. Mr. Adler called for a vote on the entire constitution as amended. Mr. Yarbrough seconded. The call for the question passed. The revised constitution as amended, with certain editorial changes indicated, was approved with three abstentions.

Mr. McDaniel moved that the new Constitution be effective on the date of the first Faculty Senate Organizational Meeting following its adoption by the General Faculty of East Carolina University. Mr. Bishop seconded. The motion passed.

Mr. McDaniel suggested that the Senate consider making a statement to the effect that Senators should be elected so that where a unit has more than one Senator their terms will be staggered. The Chairman stated that this could be accomplished by having such a statement incorporated into the letter sent to the electoral units advising them of the number of Senators to which they are entitled.

#### B. Committee on Committees

Mr. McDaniel, Chairman of the Committee on Committees, presented the proposal for the creation of a Faculty Governance Committee (see attachment to Faculty Senate Agenda for March 20, 1973). Mr. Yarbrough moved that item 6 be changed to read "This Committee shall hear appeals by any faculty member concerning the conformity of his unit code of operations with the principle of the East Carolina University Code." The motion died for lack of a second. The Faculty Governance Committee as proposed by the Committee on Committees was approved.

Mr. McDaniel announced that the letters requesting volunteers for committee appointments had been sent out and that with about 35-40 positions open on about 16 committees it was impossible to give 600 people a committee assignment.

#### C. Agenda Committee

Mr. Ted Ellis moved that the proposed dates for the Faculty Senate and Agenda Committee meetings for 1973-74 be approved (see attachment). Mr. Leith seconded. The motion passed.

## D. Kilcoyne Ad Hoc Committee

Mr. Byron Coulter, Chairman, presented the report of the committee (copies are available in the Faculty Senate office or from the Chairman). The secretary was asked to read some questions relating to the report. The committee was aware that the guidelines were contradictory and they did not inquire into the legal significance of this. In regard to the guideline concerning demonstrable objectivity, the committee had no evidence since if such evidence was available, it was withheld. Also, this evidence does not have to be demonstrated to anyone except other administrators concerned. There was an inquiry from the floor as to whether there is a law anywhere that files must be open to the individual concerned. It was implied that whether these files should be open or not was a prerogative of the Board of Trustees. A question concerning resume was asked. Mr. Coulter read from the letters written to Dr. Kilcoyne and stated that the committee interpreted them to contain warnings. The committee did not know if other people were told the same thing. In regard to the personal conferences the committee heard only from Dr. Kilcoyne, the department chairman made no statement. Mr. Coulter was then asked if the committee had been informed that Vice Chancellor Holt had told Dr. Kilcoyne's Chairman that he might give his reasons against Kilcoyne if he cared to do so. Mr. Coulter replied that the committee had no knowledge of this. Mr. Resnik asked what power the Faculty Senate has. Mr. Adler moved that the committee be thanked for the work it had done. The motion was seconded and passed.

A quorum was called. There was no quorum. The meeting ended at 5:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Stella Daugherty, Secretary

SD:em

FACULTY SENATE AND AGENDA COMMITTEE

DATES OF MEETINGS FOR 1973-74

Tuesday, September 11, 1973, Agenda Committee

Tuesday, September 25, 1973, Faculty Senate

Tuesday, October 9, 1973, Agenda Committee

Tuesday, October 23, 1973, Faculty Senate

Tuesday, October 30, 1973, Agenda Committee

Tuesday, November 13, 1973, Faculty Senate

Tuesday, November 27, 1973, Agenda Committee

Tuesday, December 11, 1973, Faculty Senate

Tuesday, January 8, 1974, Agenda Committee

Tuesday, January 22, 1974, Faculty Senate

Tuesday, February 5, 1974, Agenda Committee

Tuesday, February 19, 1974, Faculty Senate

Tuesday, March 5, 1974, Agenda Committee

Tuesday, March 19, 1974, Faculty Senate

Tuesday, April 2, 1974, Agenda Committee

Tuesday, April 16, 1974, Faculty Senate

Tuesday, April 30, 1974, Agenda Committee

Tuesday, May 14, 1974, Faculty Senate

Wednesday, May 15, 1974, Faculty Senate  
(Organizational Meeting)