

FACULTY SENATE

Minutes of April 20, 1971

The Faculty Senate met on Tuesday, April 20, 1971 at 3:00 P.M. in Room 103, Biology Building. The Chairman called the meeting to order and asked for corrections to the minutes of the March 16, 1971 meeting of the Senate. There were none, and the Chairman ruled that the minutes were accepted as presented. The roll of the Senate was checked with the following members absent: Ball, Gibbons, Hayek, Gordley, Brandon, Snyder, McAllister, Parham, Hudson, Weaver, Stillwell, Mann, Hurley, Aliapoulios, Hampton, Kim, Ellenberg.

SPECIAL ORDER OF THE DAY

There were no announcements to be made.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

The Chairman stated that confirmation of the Nominating Committee for Officers of the Faculty Senate (See minutes of March 16, 1971 for names) was necessary at today's meeting due to a lack of a quorum at the end of the March 16th meeting. She stated that the action taken at the March 16th meeting was necessary because of a constitutional requirement. The names of the nominating committee were read. Mr. Crawley made a motion that the nominating committee be confirmed, the motion was seconded by Ragan. The motion was voted on and passed.

The next item on the agenda was the completion of the Report of the Student, Scholarship, Fellowship and Financial Aid Committee, and the motion that Mr. Grossnickle made on March 16th that "Honor Fraternities and Sororities may apply for matching University scholarship grants and that these scholarships carry the name of the Honor Fraternity or Sorority, with the recognition in the catalogue that matching University Funds have been supplied". Mr. Grossnickle stated that he did not agree with the report, but due to a lengthy agenda, he wished to withdraw his motion. There were no objections, and the Chairman ruled the motion was no longer before the body. Mr. Lambeth made a motion that the report for the Student Scholarships, Fellowships and Financial Aid Committee be accepted as presented, Mr. Johnson seconded the motion. In order to determine if the motion had passed, the Chairman ordered a standing vote; the motion passed.

The next item on the agenda was the Report of Vocational Education Committee. This report was presented by Mr. Fuller. The Chairman stated that the report was of the informational type and therefore required no action. There were no questions.

The next item on the agenda was to be a Report of the Faculty Affairs Committee to be given by Mr. Calhoun. Mr. Calhoun was not present at the meeting, therefore, the Chairman stated that the Senate would move to the next item.

Dean Capwell presented the Statement of University Purposes. A motion was made by Mr. Capwell that the Senate accept the Statement, the motion was seconded by Mr. Hester and the motion passed, (See attachment to Agenda for March 16th meeting).

The next item was the adoption of the Faculty Senate Calendar for 1971-72. Mr. Grossnickle moved the calendar be accepted, Mr. Resnik seconded the motion and the motion passed.

REPORT OF COMMITTEES

Mr. Grossnickle presented the University Curriculum Committee Report. He moved that the Senate adopt the B.S. in Clothing & Textiles, Major & Minor. The motion was seconded by Romita. The motion was voted on and passed. Mr. Grossnickle then moved the Senate adopt the B.S. in Business Education, and the motion was seconded by Hume. Mr. Ferrell stated that when the Faculty Senate considered the revision of the General Education Requirements in the Autumn and Winter, the main, if not the only, argument given at the time by the proponents of the revision, emphasized the necessity for more freedom on the part of the student in the selection of his courses in the area of general education. It now seems clear that the argument was not a serious one; in the last three meetings of the Senate, departments and schools, in the necessity to get under the umbrella of the General College, have submitted cognate requirements that serve to restrict rather than to extend the student's choice. Certainly, the Curriculum Committee is not at fault but the insertion of more tightly structured and complicated requirements continues and the sincerity of earlier arguments is now suspect.

Mr. Grossnickle stated that Miss Dempsey of the Business Education department was there to answer any questions. The Chairman asked if Miss Dempsey wished to comment on Mr. Ferrell's remarks. Miss Dempsey stated that the State Board of Education required certain courses for certification of teachers, and since these courses were required they were included in the general education requirements.

The question was called for on the approval of the new Business Education major. The motion was voted on and passed.

Mr. Sanderson presented the Admissions Committee report. Mr. Ferrell raised the question as to whether the 98 that were re-admitted were Freshmen, Sophomores, Junior or Seniors. Mr. Sanderson stated that he did not know the actual breakdown; however, the information was available in Mr. Horne's office.

The Chairman stated that the report was of the informational type and, therefore required no action.

Mrs. Hodgin presented the Continuing Education report (see attached). This report was of the informational type and, therefore required no action.

NEW BUSINESS

The Chairman stated that the next item on the agenda was the approval of the List of Graduating Seniors. She stated that a list of the Graduating Seniors had been in the office of the Faculty Senate and there had been no questions raised. Dr. Durham moved that the list be accepted, Mr. Johnson seconded and the motion passed.

The next item on the agenda was the "Clarification of Class Absences Excuses"; Mr. Tucker commented on this and offered to answer questions. Mr. Lambeth raised the question as to whether or not the words "or hospital" could be added behind infirmary. He stated he had had a problem with one of his students concerning this. The Chairman then asked if he would like to make his question in the form of a motion. He stated he would, the motion was seconded by Mrs. Douglas. There were questions raised by Adler, Marshall, McDaniel, Lowry, Hester, Bailey. Mr. Ferrell stated that he would like to make a substitute motion. He stated that he would like to make a motion amending the Recommended changes in class attendance regulations that were passed by the Senate on February 16, 1971, such

that the words "by the student" be added to item 3, next to the last sentence. The motion was seconded by Mr. Crawley. There was further discussion by Ferrell, Woodside and Lowry. It was stated that the Office of Dean of Men did not have time to verify every excuse. Mr. Woodside noted that the attendance regulations passed February 16, 1971 already assigned the responsibility of verification of excuses to this office; Mr. Ferrell said his motion would only shift the responsibility of obtaining such verification to the student. The motion was voted on and passed. The corrected sentence will read: "Verification of these authorized absences, except death in the family, may be obtained by the student contacting the offices of the Dean of Men or the Dean of Women."

The next item on the agenda was the discussion on Library annual renewal policies. Miss Lowry stated that the library requested that all books checked out by the Faculty had to be returned in person in April and then could be checked out. She stated that she did not understand why this was necessary. Mr. Dade stated that the most practical way wasn't always the best way. He stated that the Circulation Librarian stated that it would take a full time person one month to check all of the faculty. Mr. Ferrell made a suggestion that the call back day be shifted from April 30th to September 30th. Mr. Dade stated that he had ask Mrs. Evers of the Circulation Reference Reserve Department to come to answer questions. Mrs. Evers was recognized and stated that "on April 1, of each year, we change the ribbons in our Gaylord charging machines, and subsequently we have selected the month of April for faculty renewal. For those faculty who have no further use for library material, this is a convenient time to bring their books back to the library. For those who are still using material, it is understood that they will probably not clear their files until sometime in May. However, it is strongly recommended that faculty check with the circulation department in advance of May 30th. We haven't the staff or facilities to take care of all faculty renewals in one day or even one week." No action was taken.

The Chairman stated that this concluded the agenda, therefore, the floor was opened for a motion that the Senate adjourn. A motion was made and seconded. The motion was voted on and passed. The meeting was adjourned at 3:50 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Woodside, Secretary

The following information has come to the attention of the Secretary of the Senate. It does not constitute a part of the official minutes of the Senate, but is included in the distribution to the faculty in an effort to clarify a statement in the minutes of the March 16, 1971 meeting of the Faculty Senate. The information is from Mr. Hooks of the Health and Physical Education Department. (see attached).

The following clarification is offered in regard to the statement on page three, last paragraph, in the Faculty Senate minutes which reads that "a student changing to the new catalogue (1971-72) would be required to take both Health 12 and Physical Education 12." I think that I was attempting to explain the following types of situations and did not clarify them very well.

Student Changing to New Catalogue (1971-72)

1. The student has had no health and no physical education. We would prefer that he would take Health 12 and Physical Education 12. Technically, these two courses are prerequisites for students entering school after June 1, 1971 so the student could take Health 125 (First Aid) and any one service course to get his four hours. The department recommends Health 12 because Health 125 is not a course designed for the general education program and Physical Education 12 because the course offers flexibility in choice of activities, and flexibility in demonstrating proficiency in swimming, and a body of knowledge designed for the general education program.
2. The student has had no health but has completed one or more physical education activity classes. We would prefer Health 12 on the same basis as discussed under number one above but either Health 12 or Health 125 could satisfy the requirement. The Physical Education requirement would be satisfied.
3. The student has had no physical education but has completed Health 1. We would prefer Physical Education 12 for reasons cited in number one above but any one service course would satisfy the requirement. The Health requirement would be satisfied.

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE ADMISSIONS COMMITTEE

I. April 20, 1971

To: Robert Holt, Vice President of the University
Vila H. Rosenfeld, Chairman of the Faculty

From: William C. Sanderson, Chairman of the Admissions Committee

Subject: Annual Report for the 1970-71 Academic Year

II. Membership of the Committee:

Dr. Robert Williams	Provost	Ex-officio
Dr. John Horne	Admissions	Ex-officio
Dr. William Sanderson	Education	Chairman
Dr. Donald Clemens	Chemistry	Member
Dr. Marjorie Harrison	Business	Secretary
Mrs. Virginia McGrath	Mathematics	Member
Mr. Herman Phelps	Continuing Education	Vice-Chairman
Mr. William Owens	Student	Member
Dr. T. E. Long	Psychology	Alternate
Mrs. Peggy Wood	Medical Science	Alternate

III. Meetings of the Committee:

Monday, June 22, 1970 - Members absent - Harrison, McGrath

Tuesday, September 1, 1970 - Member absent - Harrison

Thursday, September 24, 1970 - All present

Wednesday, November 11, 1970 - Members absent - Owens, Phelps

Thursday, February 18, 1971 - Member absent - Owens

Monday, April 5, 1971 - all present

IV. Date of Interim Report to the Faculty Senate:

The Admissions Committee did not make an interim report to the Faculty Senate.

V. Questions Discussed by the Committee During the Year:

The Admissions Committee reviewed 358 appeals for re-admission during the 1970-71 year covered by this report. The committee denied 210 appeals; 98 students were re-admitted with the stipulation that they enroll for a minimum of 15 hours of course work and complete all 15 hours with a grade of "C" or better. Six students were re-admitted with a requirement to complete 15 hours, all with a "C" average. The remaining 44 students were re-admitted under strict academic probation.

The chairman of the Admissions Committee was interviewed by a reporter from the student newspaper to explain appeal procedures.

A recommendation was made that the committee consider changing mathematics admission requirements. The recommendation was to delete Geometry as a specific requirement and substitute "three units of college preparatory mathematics." After consultation with the Mathematics Department, the Admissions Committee recommended that admission requirements in mathematics remain unchanged.

The Admissions Committee has recommended to the Student Guidance Committee that they review and consider adopting the following policy:

"If a student's grade point average for a quarter was 1.0 or less, he should not take more than 12 quarter hours of work the succeeding quarter. If his grade point average for a quarter was between 1.0 and 1.5, he should not take more than 15 hours of work the succeeding quarter."

VI. Policy Recommendations made by the Admissions Committee to the Faculty Senate for consideration:

The Admissions Committee will recommend to the Faculty Senate a policy change regarding transfer of credit. The policy recommendation regarding East Carolina University's acceptance of "D's" from other institutions of higher education will be submitted to the Faculty Senate for consideration on May 18, 1971.

VII. Response to the Committee's Recommendations by the Appropriate Agencies:

The policy recommendation regarding East Carolina University's acceptance of "D's" from other institutions of higher education will be submitted to the Faculty Senate for consideration on May 18, 1971. Therefore, there has been no response from the Faculty Senate at this date.

VIII. Committee's Evaluation of:

- (1) Its Structure. This committee has the proper structure for the job at hand.
- (2) Its Duties. The committee must meet throughout the calendar year to hear appeals for re-admission and admission and also to discuss changes in admission and retention requirements.
- (3) Its Functioning. The Admissions Committee has functioned very smoothly during the past 12 months.
- (4) Its Personnel. Members of the Admissions Committee have demonstrated a sincere desire to serve students, faculty and the administration during the 1970-71 academic year. It has been a distinct pleasure to serve with these dedicated professional people.