

## FACULTY SENATE

Minutes of March 25, 1969

The Faculty Senate met on Tuesday, March 25, 1969, at 4:00 p.m. in Room 312, New Austin. The Chairman called the meeting to order and asked the secretary to check the roll by the seating chart. The following members were absent: Vincent Bellis, John Ball, Garland Bailey, William Hart, William Sanderson, Sheldon Downes, Albert Pertalion, Leon Johnson, Ione Ryan, Charles Brown, Patricia Benson, Ray Lanfear and Sylvene Spickerman.

The Chairman asked for additions or corrections to the minutes of February 18, 1969. Since there were none, the motion was made to approve the minutes and the motion passed.

Mr. Price stated that an item had been left off the Agenda. He stated that the Senate must approve the list of May graduates at this meeting. He stated this had to be done at this time so the list of graduates could then go before the Board of Trustees. Mr. Price said he had a list of proposed graduates which the senators could see. Mr. Gray moved to suspend the rules so that this item could be discussed at this time. The motion passed with the necessary three-fourths vote. Mr. Grossnickle moved approval of the proposed graduates and the motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Price noted that the election of a Nominating Committee for the 1969-70 senate officers appeared last on the Agenda. He stated he would entertain a motion to suspend the rules so this item of business could be taken up at this time. The motion was made to suspend the rules; the motion was seconded and passed with the necessary three-fourths vote.

Mr. Hooks, parliamentarian, read the section from the senate constitution in the faculty manual concerning the nomination of officers for the faculty senate; he stated that the Senate was to elect seven senators by secret ballot to serve on the Nominating Committee.

The following senators were nominated from the floor:

Amos Clark	Frank Saunders
William Holley	Y. H. Kim
Joseph Steelman	Beatrice Chauncey
Lia Dunn	Ray Jones
Emily Boyce	

A motion was made that the nominating cease. This motion was seconded and the motion passed. Mr. Ragan, Mr. Dixon, and Mr. Hooks were named as counters and they retired to tabulate the voting.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Mr. Ray Jones, chairman of the Faculty Welfare Committee presented the committee report. (See report attached to the Agenda and supplement attached here) Mr. Jones stated that the rationale behind the proposal

of the committee was to achieve the needed fifty percent participation in the insurance plan. He stated that to date participation was only thirty-five percent of those eligible faculty members. He said that this proposed approach was typical and was employed at many other institutions.

Mr. Jones moved that "all new faculty are to be automatically enrolled in the group life plan, with such insurance to become effective on the first day of the calendar month following the month that the faculty member reports for duty. Any new faculty member who does not desire such coverage may indicate his election not to participate in writing prior to the effective date of coverage." This motion was seconded.

Mr. Holley expressed concern over the proposal and he suggested that a poll might be taken which would show the number of people who would not wish to participate in the insurance plan. He said it would not seem to be fair since the new faculty members did not vote on this proposal. He said it was inconvenient for the new faculty to have to write a negative statement to keep from being enrolled in the group life plan. Mr. Holley said it would be better to have the new faculty simply check a card checking yes if they want to participate and no if they do not want to participate.

Mr. Jones said all that was needed to say no was their signature on a form. He said there was no process to it and it was designed to be easy. Mr. Holley asked if a person was able to drop out of the group life plan. Mr. Jones said yes.

Mr. Holley offered an amendment to the motion moving that there be a form to be signed by all new faculty members indicating whether or not they wanted to enroll in the group life plan.

Mr. Price ruled that the amendment was out of order since it was in direct opposition to the motion on the floor. Mr. Hooks advised that Mr. Holley's proposed motion could not be accepted under Robert's Rules of Order. Mr. Everett asked what percentage of people at Chapel Hill had enrolled in their group insurance plan. Mr. Jones stated he had no figures on this. Mr. Price said at least seventy-five percent.

In the discussion that followed, Mr. Jones said the greater the participation the greater benefits on all levels. Mr. Reynolds stated that he wished the plan had been available when he had first come to East Carolina. Mr. Howell inquired when the proposal would go into effect if passed. Mr. Jones said the way it was worded it would go into effect on the first day of the month following the month that the faculty members reports for duty. Mr. Howell said in that case it would be necessary to give prospective faculty members already contacted an option. Mr. Williams said the names of the people in this category who were hired could be made available and therefore, they could be exempt from automatic enrollment. The question was asked if Home Security had set a date. It was stated that they had not felt it essential to establish a date.

Mr. Holley wondered if the number of faculty members participating in the group plan was known. Mr. Jones said no. In the discussion that

followed Mr. Jones continued to clarify the proposal. The vote to put the question passed by the necessary two-thirds vote, and the motion was then voted on and carried with six opposing votes.

Mr. Price then moved to number 4 B on the Agenda. Mr. Everett as chairman of the Agenda Committee proposed that all four items be referred by the chairman to the appropriate committee. Since the Senate had no objection, Mr. Price stated that the elimination of double cuts before holidays and the unlimited cut system would be referred to a special committee. He stated that the Calendar Committee would investigate a study day before examinations begin. He said the Credits Committee would investigate the establishment of a system by which students could take courses outside their major or minor field in which the grade would be pass or fail. Mr. Price said that the other two items would be referred to a Special Committee. The following were appointed to serve on this Special Committee:

Joe Davis, Chairman  
Bill Hart  
Blanche Watrous

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

Mr. Everett presented the Curriculum Committee report for Mrs. Stella Daugherty, chairman of the Curriculum Committee. The committee recommended no action be taken at this time on the proposed standardization of general education requirements. The report was received by the Senate and no further action was taken.

Mr. Donald F. Bailey, chairman of the Admissions Committee, presented the committee report: "On Monday, February 17, 1969, the Admissions Committee passed the following motion:

"In order to remain in school, students must maintain a 1.45 grade point average their freshman year (3 quarters in residence), 1.70 grade point average their sophomore year (6 quarters in residence), and a 1.85 grade point average their junior year (9 quarters in residence)." He said that the intention was that the requirements go into effect in a minimum of four years and the requirements would then effect the freshman class.

Mr. Saunders said the proposal was not clear due to the word "maintain". Mrs. Stapleton said perhaps the word obtain should be used instead of maintain.

Mr. Dixon moved that the proposal be "in order to remain in school, students must possess a 1.45 grade point average at the end of their freshman year (3 quarters in residence), a 1.70 grade point average at the end of their sophomore year (6 quarters in residence), and a 1.85 grade point average at the end of their junior year (9 quarters in residence)".

Mr. Hooks read from page 83 of the current University Undergraduate Catalogue concerning the scholastic eligibility standards. He said the thing to do was to just substitute the new values passed by the Admissions Committee and retain the present terminology used in the catalogue.

With the permission of the senator who made the original motion and the seconder, the original motion was withdrawn and Mr. Hooks moved that the new requirements be inserted in the catalogue fitting the statements currently there.

Mr. Everett said this motion would be different from the Admissions Committee report and it would make an examination of the records necessary each quarter. Mr. Horne said the Registrar's Office checked a student's grade point average at the end of each quarter. Mr. Bailey, in answering a question concerning the number of students which might be affected stated that there will probably be a five percent increase in flunks. He said, however, that students have a tendency to perform on the level expected of them.

Mr. Everett said the wording in the catalogue would have to be consistent with what the registrar does. Mr. Tucker asked if Mr. Bailey had statistics showing the number of students who barely had an 1.85 grade point average and yet graduated. He said there was a lot lacking here that should be investigated before this proposal was passed. Mr. Bailey said they had no statistics.

Mr. Tucker offered a substitute motion, moving that the Admissions Committee study this further. He clarified by moving to refer this back to the committee. This motion was seconded and Mr. Price and Mr. Hooks agreed that this motion was in order. The question was called. Since there was no objection to putting the question directly, the vote was taken to refer the committee report back to committee. The vote was 25 in favor and 20½ opposed.

Mr. Grossnickle stated that the Constitution Committee had no final report at this time. Mr. Price reminded the Senate that any recommendations affecting the new senators coming from the Constitution Committee would mean a special meeting of the Senate.

Mr. Gray, reporting from the Committee on Committees, stated that the report handed out to the senators was for study purposes only (see attached).

Mr. Price announced that the members of the Nominating Committee for next year's officers were as follows: Frank Saunders, Emily Boyce, Ray Jones, Beatrice Chauncey, Amos Clark, Y. H. Kim, and William Holley. Mr. Price stated that Mr. Saunders would serve as chairman of this committee.

The meeting was adjourned.

Respectively submitted,

Emily Boyce, Secretary

UNIVERSITY CURRICULUM COMMITTEE

Courses and Proposals Approved by the University Undergraduate Curriculum Committee on January 28, 1969.

Proficiency requirements for mathematics majors to apply only to students who have entered the general college after the new requirements have been published in the catalogue or supplement for 1969-70.

Requirements that must be met before a student is permitted to officially elect Mathematics as a major: to earn at least a "C" on all mathematics courses taken below the 200-level.

Courses and Proposals Approved on February 11, 1969.

Park, Recreation and Conservation Administration 200: Observation of Recreation in Varied Professional Settings (2) Prerequisite: PRCA 127

Courses Passed on February 25, 1969.

Accounting 390: Federal Income Tax for Partnerships and Corporations (5)  
Prerequisite: Accounting 290

Science Education 320G: Biological Science (BSCS: Special Materials) (9)  
(for NSF institute use only)

FACULTY WELFARE COMMITTEE  
GROUP LIFE INSURANCE EXHIBIT

Table I is a comparison of the present Group Life Insurance coverage available upon employment at three universities in North Carolina. In each instance the coverage is for an employee, aged 40, earning \$10,500. The last column shows the year the program was approved on the respective campus.

TABLE I  
Present Coverage, Employee Age 40, Salary \$10,500

	<u>Coverage</u>	<u>Cost Monthly</u>	<u>Year</u>
North Carolina State University	\$28,910	\$15.00	1953
University of North Carolina	\$29,000	\$10.00	1953
East Carolina University	\$26,040	\$10.00	1967

Table II compares the coverage for an employee age 40, salary \$10,500, in 1962 with that in 1968 at the University of North Carolina. The 1968 revision was the second upward revision in coverage since the inception of the program in 1953.

TABLE II  
Group Life Program--University of North Carolina\*

<u>Revision Date</u>	<u>Coverage</u>	<u>Cost</u>
1962	\$16,740	\$10.00
1968	\$29,000	\$10.00

\*While broad participation within the eligible group is not the only factor contributing to the establishment of a reserve position which can lead to increased coverage without increase in premium, it is deemed to be one of the more significant factors.