

Linwood N.C. Jan. 10<sup>th</sup> 1891.

Mr. Elias Carr, Pres. N.C. F. S. A.,

Dear Sir & Bro:-

It is made my duty as Secretary of Woodlawn Farmers' Alliance to apply to you for information in reference to the construction of some sections the State Constitution of the Farmers' Alliance.

On the 11<sup>th</sup> of May 1889, a member was suspended for three months "for conduct unbecoming a member of the Farmers' Alliance". The suspended member has made no application for restoration until now Jan. 10<sup>th</sup> 1891. On the following Constitutional points, the brethren of our Alliance are divided in opinion, and desire information from you.

1<sup>st</sup>. Is the last sentence in Sect. 1, Art. 4, of State Constitution applicable to this case?

2<sup>nd</sup>. Is a member suspended "upon charge" for three months, chargeable with dues, from the expiration of the three months to the time of his application for restoration? as is the case with a member holding a dimit-card, Art. 5, Sect. 7, and as those suspended for non-payment of dues, Art. 4, Sect. 3.

3<sup>rd</sup>. In reference to Sect. 7, Art. 6; Should the application be in form of petition - should it be in writing, or a verbal statement by a proxy sufficient?

4<sup>th</sup>. Should the latest, or the Constitution of 1889 be used in the adjudication of this case? This is asked because the clause referred to in the first question, does not occur in that of 1889.

An early reply will greatly oblige,  
Yours fraternally,

P. E. Zink, Sec.  
N. C. F. A.