

Dimit. Cord is valid.

Statesville N.C.
Sept - 20th 1889.

Elias Carr. Esq.

Dear sir. Bro.

I drop you a few lines, for the purpose of getting some information on some Alliance matters. Bros. A. on one side, and B and C. on the other; fall out ~~on~~ about some pecuniary matters, and agree to have it settled by the board of arbitration. And it is settled in favor of B. and C. Afterward. A. applies for a dimit. The vote is taken by the usual voting sign. B. and C. voting against him. The President claims that he is entitled to the dimit, and

orders it given, and it is given
signed by both Pres. and Secy.
At the next meeting, R. H. P.
claims that the limit ^{is} not good
and that it was given unconsti-
tutional. Article 6. section 7. of
of the constitution. says the
President shall order the ballot
taken, and if clear the request
shall be granted. etc. Does
not the majority vote decide
it. even if B. and C. chose
to bring charges. would you
not consider that a personal
matter. Now I have stated the
the case the best I know how.
The main thing I want to know
is this, Is the limit good as
it is, in the hands of Bro. C.
Or can any action of the
Alliance make it void

under the circumstances that
it was issued. Please
self-addressed stamped envelope
for a reply. Hoping to hear
from you soon.

Yours fraternally
John A. White,
Secy Fairfield Alliance No 560.